IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY CASE NO. CRC14-0216CFAES

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VOLUME I

CURTIS J. REEVES,

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS: Stand Your Ground Motion

DATE:

February 20, 2017

BEFORE:

The Honorable Susan Barthle

Circuit Court Judge

PLACE:

Robert D. Sumner Judicial Center

38053 Live Oak Avenue Dade City, Florida 33523

REPORTED BY:

Charlene M. Eannel, RPR

Court Reporter PAGES 1 - 129

VERBATIM PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS, INC. 601 Cleveland Street, Suite 380 Clearwater, Florida 33765 (727)442-7288

```
1
     A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
 2
 3
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
     THE STATE OF FLORIDA:
 4
 5
     Glenn Martin, Assistant State Attorney
     Office of Bernie McCabe, State Attorney
 6
     Pinellas County Judicial Center
     14250-49th Street North
 7
     Clearwater, Florida 33762
 8
     Manny Garcia, Assistant State Attorney
     Stacy Sumner, Assistant State Attorney
 9
     Robert D. Sumner Judicial Center
     38053 Live Oak Avenue
10
     Dade City, Florida 33523
11
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
12
     THE DEFENDANT:
                     CURTIS REEVES
13
     Richard Escobar, Esquire
     Dino Michaels, Esquire
14
     Rupak Shah, Esquire
     ESCOBAR & ASSOCIATES
15
     2917 W. Kennedy Blvd.
     Suite 100
16
     Tampa, Florida
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	
2		PAGE
3	WITNESSES FOR DEFENSE	
4	JENNIFER SHAW	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Michaels Cross-Examination by Mr. Martin	14 57
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Michaels	73
7	MATTHEW REEVES	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Michaels	79
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2			
3	EXHIBIT #	DESCRIPTION	PAGE REC'D
4	1	Photographs	80
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

THE COURT

THE COURT: All right. Everybody is present

here on State of Florida versus Curtis Reeves. We

have Mr. Garcia, Ms. Sumner and Mr. Martin for the

State. Mr. Escobar. I see Mr. Reeves is present.

Mr. Michaels and Mr. Shah for the Defense.

Are we ready to begin?

MR. MICHAELS: We're ready to begin, Your

Honor, Defense is.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MARTIN: I think there's just a couple

12 matters, then we can get started.

THE COURT: All right. What do we need to

14 address first?

MR. MARTIN: Yesterday, as you know, the State

filed a response -- filed a Motion in Limine to

exclude the testimony of Dr. Cohen. It was based not

only on my opinion under 701, but also under 702,

19 and also citing Daubert.

20 As the Court's well aware, Friday -- about

21 | 10:00 in the morning last Friday, the Florida

22 Supreme Court declined to adapt the Daubert standard

as it applies to procedural matters in the state of

24 Florida.

25

That's kind of put us in a little bit of a

flux. I don't believe that Dr. Cohen is going to be the first witness. The Defense has filed a response to my motion. I have filed a reply to that addressing specifically now the three opinions that they're going to call Dr. Cohen on.

What I'd like to do is provide you with a courtesy copy at this point. I'd also like to file my original pleading, and I would suggest to the Court that prior to Dr. Cohen testifying this morning, if we can just take a short five-minute break to discuss logistically how we're going to proceed, I think that would help both Mr. Michaels and myself as to our presentation, both in direct and cross, not only for Your Honor's benefit, but for the appellate record.

Is that acceptable to the Court?

THE COURT: That sounds fine. Defense?

MR. MICHAELS: Good morning, Your Honor. One of the problems here is that we got this Motion in Limine last week, a week before trial, which forced us to respond. As the Court knows, we responded with case law -- tabbed and provided the case law, provided some -- some transcripts of some of the depositions.

The State, I don't recall the date, but this

was a deposition that was taken on June 30, 2016, and now we're having these issues. I think the last time we were here the Court decided that we would have Dr. Cohen testify. The Court has both the State's Motion in Limine, our response, and now the State's reply, which we received some time after 11:00 last night. So frankly, Your Honor, I'm not in a position to be able to intelligently argue that.

I was up, but I still had not had an opportunity to research the issues that Mr. Martin broached in that reply. It looks kind of like they're just kind of the same objections as before, and I know that's what he was kind enough to speak to me about.

So what I would suggest, we proceed as we -- as we planned before, have Dr. Cohen testify, and have the Court make the decision. The State said, I'm not going to argue anything else. I provided my Motion in Limine. We're in a similar position.

We've argued in our motion that's a response to their motion. We intend on calling her this afternoon, so certainly there's -- there's no issue in addressing it right now. So I would suggest that we proceed as we planned last week, have her

testify, and then have the Court make a decision after the State has the opportunity to cross-examine her after my direct examination.

THE COURT: Response, Mr. Martin?

MR. MARTIN: I don't think that's anything different than what I suggested to the Court. The only nuance is, is try to figure out exactly how we are going to proceed procedurally now that, as of Friday of last week, we're in a little bit of a quandary, as far as the gatekeeping standards, that the Court has to apply.

I have some suggestions on that, but I don't think we need to do that now. That's why I suggest if I can just file my response, if we could take a break at the appropriate time, let's talk through logistically how we're going to do this now, since, at the time we made that decision, we didn't have the Florida Supreme Court pulling the rug out from under our feet right before we're going to have a hearing.

And I don't say that disparagingly, but that's what happened. This placed us in a position where we drafted everything, researched everything, and now we need some Court direction from the Court.

So I don't think anything that I said is any

different from what Mr. Michaels said. We're just going to need some time with the Court to logistically go through it, and then we're going to proceed. That's all.

MR. MICHAELS: If it's just going to take a couple minutes, Judge, I don't have a problem with that, but if we're going to be arguing the merits of Dr. Cohen's potential testimony, then that I do have a problem with.

So if we're just going to talk about, you know, we'll let her testify and we'll be able to file some legal argument concerning both standards and that sort of thing, then I'm fine with that so we can do that later on, if the Court's is amenable to that.

THE COURT: All right. We're going to just proceed as we had previously indicated. It is a little snag that the Supreme Court came out with that decision Friday, but we will deal with it. We are well familiar with both standards, and we can proceed accordingly.

So more than likely, we're going to proceed just as we indicated earlier, and obviously, we don't have a jury, so I'm going to be the gatekeeper either way, so there's no sense in us doing Frey and Daubert hearings separately. We're just probably

1 going to proceed and we will go from there. 2 MR. MARTIN: May I approach the Court with a 3 courtesy copy of my reply? 4 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 5 MR. MARTIN: May I file the original with the 6 clerk? 7 THE COURT: And obviously with the late filing, 8 I haven't had a chance to review it as -- the same 9 with Defense's, I think it was about 600 pages that 10 were filed on Friday that I received late Friday. I 11 did not get through much of it. 12 MR. ESCOBAR: We have also hard copies for you with the tabbed cases. 13 14 THE COURT: I've got them. 15 MR. ESCOBAR: You do? Okay. 16 THE COURT: Yeah. Fran printed them out for me 17 on Friday. 18 And one other housekeeping matter, please be 19 mindful of our stenographer over here. I know 20 sometimes Counsel gets rattling off quickly and 21 she's got a rough job as it is, and it's going to be 22 a long two weeks for her, so please be mindful of 23 her. 24 I may interrupt if you get going too quickly,

particularly when you're reading stuff, so.

All right. Mr. Martin?

MR. MARTIN: Judge, I filed an -- on December 14th of 2016, a State's Request for Judicial Notice

of the Florida Administrative Code, specifically Rule 11B-27.00212, regarding the maintenance of an

officer's certification.

I also filed a Request for Judicial Notice for Florida Administrative Code, Rule 11B-27.00212(14), and I also filed a Request for Judicial Notice of Rule 11B-27.014, and that one deals specifically with the implementation of the Federal Law Enforcement Officer Safety Act of 2004, which is referred to in the Florida Administrative Code.

You'll hear a term called an "HR218 license," and basically that's what it is. The significance and the relevance is that Mr. Reeves has an HR218 license, and, therefore, he's subject to the firearms qualifications pursuant to the Florida Administrative Code, as promulgated by the Florida Department of Law Enforcement.

And what I'm asking the Court at this time is to go ahead and take judicial notice of that administrative code, and it should be in the court file. I have a copy here, if you would like to look at it, but I anticipate that may be even the second

witness that I will be using the information in that particular code. Would you like --

THE COURT: Any objection to that?

MR. SHAH: Your Honor, no objection. We actually specifically cited the Law Enforcement Officer Safety Act of 2004, on the Stand Your Ground Motion, and we will certainly be addressing that issue because we've contended that he was a retired law enforcement officer so under the statute, so no objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MARTIN: All right. So may I, then, if the Court's going to accept that judicial notice, during the cross-examination of the witnesses that I feel is appropriate subject to any type of relevancy argument, may I go ahead and freely refer to the administrative code in the cross of those individuals?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Are we ready to proceed? Does either side wish to have a brief opening?

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, we do.

MR. GARCIA: There's one other matter that we

```
1
                            I spoke to Mr. Escobar this
          need to address.
2
          morning. I think we're in agreement that Mrs.
 3
          Oulson is obviously going to be here for the
 4
          proceedings and throughout the entire proceedings.
 5
               THE COURT:
                           All right. And is there any --
 6
          first of all, somebody want to invoke the rule?
 7
               Thank you, Mr. Garcia.
8
               MR. GARCIA: Yes.
 9
               THE COURT: And are there any witnesses present
10
          other than Mrs. Oulson?
11
                             There are, Your Honor.
               MR. ESCOBAR:
12
               THE COURT: Is there an agreement as to Mrs.
13
          Oulson's presence?
14
               MR. ESCOBAR:
                             There's no objection, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15
16
               Okay. Are you ready for a brief opening?
17
               MR. MICHAELS: Yes, Your Honor.
18
          (Opening arguments were reported, but not
19
     transcribed per request of Counsel.)
20
               THE COURT: Mr. Escobar?
21
               MR. ESCOBAR: Soon enough, Your Honor.
22
          Tomorrow is my -- is my turn.
23
                THE COURT: Mr. Michaels?
24
               MR. MICHAELS:
                              We would call Jennifer Shaw.
25
               THE COURT: Jennifer Shaw?
```

1 Step this way, stand right here. THE BAILIFF: 2 Face the clerk, raise your right hand to be sworn. 3 (Thereupon, the witness was duly sworn on oath.) 4 THE BAILIFF: Come have a seat up here. Adjust 5 the mic. Speak in a loud and clear voice for the 6 Court. 7 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Michaels. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. MICHAELS: 10 Please state your name. Q. 11 Jennifer Shaw. Α. 12 And is it Mrs. Shaw? Q. 13 Α. It is still Mrs. Shaw, but I am in the process 14 of going through a divorce. 15 Q. What is your maiden name, please? 16 Α. Reeves. 17 And how are you related to Curtis Reeves? Ο. 18 He is my father. Α. 19 And your mother's name? Q. 20 Α. Vivian Reeves. 21 How long have they been married? **Q**. 22 Α. This year in October, it will have been 50 23 years. 24 And do you have any siblings? Q. 25 Α. I have one brother, Matthew Reeves.

- Q. And how old is he?
- 2 A. He is 40.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. What does he do for a living?
 - A. He is a police officer for the City of Tampa.
 - Q. And what do you do for a living?
- A. I am a branch manager for a bank in -- here in Dade City.
 - Q. And do you have any children?
 - A. I do. I have one daughter.
- 10 Q. And how old is she?
- 11 A. Her name is Madison, and she's five.
- 12 Q. And do you have any family in the area?
- A. Yes, sir. We have pretty much all of my family
 on my mom's side and my dad's side live here. All of my
 immediate family. I have one uncle that lives in
 Oklahoma. But outside of that, everybody's in the
 Tampa/Orlando area.
- 18 Q. And are you -- would you describe yourself as a 19 close-knit family?
- A. Very much so. We get together on all of the holidays and have barbecues and get the kids together to play and all of that.
 - Q. Now, where do you live?
- A. I live in Brooksville with my parents.
- 25 Q. And how long have you lived with your parents?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I've lived with my parents since April of 2013.
- Q. And is that because of the divorce and that situation?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. And does your -- your daughter live there as well?
- A. Yes, sir. I have 50 percent custody of my daughter, and the other half of the time she's with her father. When she's with me, she does live there.
- Q. Now, where did your parents live prior to Brooksville?
 - A. They lived in Lutz.
 - Q. For how long?
 - A. Gosh, for as long as I can remember. The same house that I was born in. They -- let's see. They moved to Brooksville in 2005 so -- and I was born in '73, so for that period of time. I'm not sure how much prior to that they lived there.
 - Q. And why did they move?
 - A. I think part of the reason was --
- MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
- That calls for speculation. May we approach please,
- 24 Judge?
- 25 (Sidebar conference conducted.)

_ _

MR. MARTIN: Judge, we're here on an immunity hearing and the issue of what is relevant is what Mr. Reeves knew at the time of the shooting based on his knowledge, based on the circumstances of what he knew.

Now, painting a picture of him being a family man and having kids, that is not what we're talking about in an immunity hearing. Most everybody has that and just because you have a family and kids, is not one of these -- I want to say elements of criteria. It is actually irrelevant in determining whether or not his actions were reasonable.

Now, all they're doing here is painting a picture of Mr. Reeves throughout this entire life.

What is relevant, I might suggest, is his background as a police officer, his physical ailments, that is what is relevant because the reason that he pulled a gun is not because he has a daughter, it's because he pulled a gun because he could get hurt. He wanted to prevent great bodily harm.

So all his training as a police officer he is able to discern danger, all of that is relevant, but all this family stuff and how many kids I have and I love them, I go to church, that is all irrelevant.

Now, I understand that he is charged with second degree murder and maybe at the trial his character would be relevant, but we are not at a trial. We are at an immunity hearing.

So what I'm suggesting, and I'm going to object and get it out of the way first, I'm objecting to this line of questioning about all of his background because he will go on forever that he mows his lawn, he picks up his paper, he doesn't let the dog -- you know, whatever. That is -- it's just not relevant.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, I'm not asking about whether he picks up his paper or mows his lawn, we are talking about what his background is and that certainly is relevant while we're dealing with a case of perception because that's what we are dealing with.

I appreciate, you know, the prosecutor's direction as to what areas to inquire on, but his character is relevant in a case where we are dealing with the perception of the individual.

So we need a big picture, not just necessarily, you know, skimmed it down to what the prosecutor is asking.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow it to some

1 extent. We don't need to spend days on this, but I 2 will overrule it for now. 3 And for the stenographer's purposes, let's 4 just -- we don't have a jury, we can just argue in 5 open court. We are not going to approach. So keep 6 that in mind, too, as far as your argument unless it 7 is very sensitive --8 MR. MARTIN: I thought --9 THE COURT: -- and I appreciate it. 10 MR. MARTIN: Am I to -- then I will rely on the 11 Court. When you've had enough, you will stop it and 12 tell me to just sit down? 13 THE COURT: Yes, I will. 14 MR. MARTIN: Is that --15 THE COURT: I will indicate with "Let's move 16 on." You are welcome to object and make the same 17 objections. 18 I understand, but I don't want MR. MARTIN: 19 to -- you've said that you will let it go to a 20 certain point, so I'm assuming you're going to say 21 let's move on at some point? 22 THE COURT: I will, but if it is particularly 23 24 MR. MARTIN: I understand. 25 THE COURT: -- you're welcome to object as

1 well. We can just... 2 MR. MARTIN: If it is along these lines, I 3 apologize for interrupting, but I will just indicate 4 that I have a 402 objection based on the same 5 argument I made at the bench. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 (Sidebar conference concluded.) 8 BY MR. MICHAELS: 9 **Q**. So you grew up in Lutz; is that correct? 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 Now, were you aware that -- what your father 12 did for a living? 13 Yes, sir, I was. Α. 14 Q. Okay. That he was a police officer? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Did you become aware that he also went to Q. 17 college while he was a police officer? 18 Yes, sir. Α. 19 Tell me, then, as a child, I guess, you must 0. 20 have never seen him around the house; is that true? 21 Α. No, sir, not at all. I'm not sure. I mean, 22 we're so -- everything's so busy and rushed, it seems, 23 today and I don't know how he'd fit in all the time with 24 the family that he did spend. 25 What sort of things would he do with you? Q.

1 My brother was -- my brother played soccer and Α. 2 my dad coached the team. 3 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'll have the same 402 4 objection. 5 THE COURT: Overruled for now. 6 THE WITNESS: I was in Girl Scouts, Matt was 7 in Boy Scouts. I played piano. My dad was involved 8 in all of those things. Earning badges -- like if 9 you're familiar with the Girl Scout and Boy Scout 10 manuals, you can earn different badges by doing 11 different things, and there were weekends where my 12 dad would open the book and say, you know, "Let's do 13 this one this weekend," and that kind of thing. 14 But there's -- I don't really remember, when I 15 think back on my childhood, a time that he wasn't 16 present. 17 BY MR. MICHAELS: 18 Now, like all children, I would imagine you Q. 19 weren't a perfect child. 20 Α. No sir. 21 0. So did there come a time when you were ever 22 disciplined? 23

Yes, sir, I was. Α.

Q. By your father?

Yes, sir. Α.

24

1 I object under the 402, relevance. MR. MARTIN: 2 THE COURT: Sustained. Let's move on. 3 MR. MICHAELS: Judge, if I may, again, this is 4 an issue regarding -- the prosecutor has made an 5 argument, frankly, during opening statements that 6 Mr. Reeves acted out of anger, and Mr. Reeves acted 7 in a way -- in a revengeful way because he didn't 8 like popcorn thrown in his face. I think his character is relevant throughout 9 10 the years of painting a picture that that's not the 11 kind of man he was, that he was a kind man. 12 punishment, he didn't react out of anger. That's 13 what I'm trying to -- to get out of this witness, 14 Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. I agree. I'll allow 16 that. 17 MR. MARTIN: My only objection would be that --18 the timing. I mean, we only know -- this could be 19 30 years ago, so my objection is 402 and without the 20 timing we have a 403 argument. People change. 21 THE COURT: I'll allow it to that extent but 22 let's, again, stay focused. 23 BY MR. MICHAELS: 24 Okay. What -- what kind of age were you at Q.

when we're talking about spankings?

A. I would say 14 and younger.

- Q. Did your father ever spank you while angry?
- A. Not that I can remember. I mean, I made him angry plenty of times but usually the protocol that Matt and I would remember is being sent to our room to think about what we've done.

And then he would come in to talk to us about it and there was a discussion that took place, and then he decided whether or not you were getting spanked or if you were going to be on restriction or both or -- but I was never slapped or spanked or hit out of anger right -- right at the moment.

Q. Now, as a child, I remember learning how to cuss driving around with my mother, frankly.

Did you have occasion to drive around in a car with your father when you were younger?

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Did you ever see him exhibit any kind of road rage of any sort?
 - A. No.

MR. MARTIN: I'm going to object, Judge, 402, same argument at the bench.

THE WITNESS: Not as a child or as an adult.

THE COURT: How about a time frame?

BY MR. MICHAELS:

_

Q. Okay. Tell me whether you remember any specific incident of an accident or something, your father's reaction?

A. Yes, sir. I do remember, and it's been -- I might have been, like, 13 or 14. We were rear-ended in North Carolina camping.

MR. MARTIN: Excuse me, Judge, I'm going to object. If we're talking character evidence, character evidence cannot be proved by a specific act. It has to be with a reputation in the community. So I object to the form of the question and the admission of specific acts as it deals with character pursuant to the Evidence Code.

THE COURT: Response?

MR. MICHAELS: Again, Judge, it's a similar argument. The allegation here is that Mr. Reeves acted in a certain way because he didn't get his way. And certainly the prosecutor has made it an issue now here at the trial, and so I think it's fair to flesh out what his character was throughout the years to determine whether or not his actions were reasonable.

The prosecutor may not have a problem with his perception. I don't know. We'll get to that. But if we're talking about what Mr. Reeves' reaction

1 | 2

was, then certainly it's -- it's relevant because it goes to what his character was and it goes to what his background is.

THE COU

THE COURT: Response?

MR. MARTIN: Judge, he indicated exactly what I objected to. He wants to go through specific incidences over time saying at this time -- at this time this did not occur. That's not the way you prove character. It's by reputation within the community. And as the Court's well aware in an immunity hearing, the rules of evidence apply -- strictly apply.

I object to specific incidences in order to prove character that would, then, go to argue that the character on 1/13/2014 is consistent with specific incidences, and that is not acceptable under the rule.

THE COURT: All right. For purposes of this hearing, I'm going to be a little -- I'm going to allow a little more than I might. I'm not going to be quite as strict as I would if we were having a jury over there. Obviously I'm the trier of fact. The rules of evidence apply. I'm going to allow some of this testimony for the big picture of Mr. Reeves -- who he is.

As far as character evidence, Mr. Martin is correct that this isn't -- it's not really appropriate to show his character. Clearly, there's the insinuation and argument or statement by the prosecutor that this -- that this was done out of anger and resentment of having something -- someone tell him, you know -- not respond in the way that Mr. Reeves indicated. That was in the opening statement, no doubt.

Is this proper testimony for character? Unless you're going to have, you know, 10 or more witnesses as to that, I'm going to have to agree with Mr. Martin. But this sort of evidence, in my humble belief, is similar to hearing testimony about someone wearing a seat belt. They always wore a seat belt, always wore a seat belt. Did they wear a seat belt on the night in question? We don't know.

So, I'm going to utilize it for that purpose. It certainly will help me get an understanding of Mr. Reeves' background and who he is, which is what the Defense is hoping to do. So for all of those reasons, I'm going to allow this line of questioning. Again, though, let's not go too far -- too far with it.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- Q. Did you ever see your father react angrily as a result of an auto accident?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Do you have any specific examples?
- A. Just the one that I started talking about where we were rear-ended in North Carolina, and it bent a rack that my father had on the back of his car. He got out of the vehicle, resolved it amicably. Told the guy don't worry about it. He would bend the rack back and fix it and it was over with.
- Q. Now, the man you married was a police officer, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you remember going to your father and telling him about the divorce?
- A. Yes, sir, I do.
 - Q. Tell me about that, how did your father react?

 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I will object, 402.
- 21 BY MR. MICHAELS:
 - Q. How did your father react? Did he try to get involved and tell you what to do? What was his reaction?
- A. No, sir. My father was very angry because my bushand had been unfaithful but he didn't --

THE COURT: Overruled.

1 Your Honor, again, we have not MR. MARTIN: 2 only a 402 argument, but now even a 403 argument 3 even though you're the trier of fact. This is the 4 type of testimony that's totally inappropriate. 5 THE COURT: Response? 6 MR. MICHAELS: Judge, I'll ask a different 7 question. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 BY MR. MICHAELS: 10 Did your father try to take charge of the 11 situation? 12 No, sir, he did not. He just offered support Α. and let me know that he was there and asked if he -- if I 13 14 wanted him to come over. 15 So he didn't tell you what to do? Ο. 16 Α. No, sir. 17 He didn't confront your soon-to-be ex-husband? Ο. 18 No, sir. Α. 19 Growing up, do you remember your father being Q. 20 into fitness at all? 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 Tell us about that and give me a time period of 23 what you -- what you remember. 24 Growing up, dad had to maintain physical --25 being physically fit for the police department. He also

was commander of a SWAT team, and he had to maintain a high level of fitness for that. For a number of years, I remember as a teenager he would run at night. He would go out running.

And I don't ever remember him being heavily involved in a gym or a gym membership or anything like that, but just really staying active at home and the running.

- Q. And at some point did he stop running?
- A. He did stop running.
- Q. Around what time period are we speaking of?
- A. I'm not certain of the time period. I'm going to guess it was somewhere right around 14, 15. He had a problem with his sciatic nerve that bothered him.

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.

It calls for speculation and a lay opinion as far as the medical condition.

THE COURT: Sustained.

19 BY MR. MICHAELS:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Let me ask you: Did you observe your father having difficulty running?
- A. On several occasions, I tried -- I couldn't keep up but I tried to run with him.
- Q. Okay. But at some point, did he have difficulty in running?

```
1 A. Yes, sir, he did.
```

- Q. Okay. And you observed that, correct?
- A. On several occasions. I didn't run with him all the time but I have observed that.
 - Q. And at some point, did he stop running?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.

2

5

7

9

- Q. Do you know how old he was?
- 8 A. I'm not certain.
 - Q. Do you know how old you were?
- 10 A. I might have been around 14 or 15.
- Q. Was he still with the police department when he stopped running?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do you know if he stopped running because he got lazy?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, again, calls for speculation.
- THE COURT: I will overrule it. She can tell
 what she observed.
- 21 BY MR. MICHAELS:
 - Q. Did he take up another form of fitness?
- A. Yes, sir. He took up riding a -- rode a bike, bicycling.
- Q. Okay. And again, to maintain cardiovascular

1 fitness? 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object. 4 It's leading and calls for speculation. THE COURT: 5 Sustained. 6 BY MR. MICHAELS: 7 Now, let's talk a little bit about your mother, 0. 8 okay? 9 Α. Okay. 10 How old is your mother? Q. 11 My mom is 70. Α. 12 And do you know if she is being treated for --Q. 13 she was being treated at a hospital of any sort? 14 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to 15 this line of questioning as being not relevant under 16 402 based on the discussions at the bench. 17 Judge, it's relevant because we MR. MICHAELS: 18 have an elderly woman who also is declining in 19 health in the movie theater. Certainly, Mr. Reeves 20 is justified if he's acting to thwart an attack or 21 thwart any imminent threat of attack to himself or 22 to his wife. 23 So I think it's important that we hear this 24 testimony so that we can understand Mr. Reeves' 25 state of mind and his perception with the big

picture that he is sitting next to his wife and she's also in declining health and getting older.

MR. MARTIN: Then Mrs. Reeves can take the stand and testify when, where, and why she was in the hospital, and where she was sitting. But for Ms. Shaw to come up here and be a conduit for Ms. Reeves is inappropriate.

THE COURT: To the extent that she has personal knowledge and that alone, I'll overrule.

MR. MARTIN: We would ask for a date.

THE COURT: And times.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. All right. Do you know any specific dates?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Or time periods?
- A. Well, I know that she's had -- she had a knee replacement in the last couple of years. She's also --

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, it's nonresponsive to the question. The question was: Do you know any of the dates when she was in the hospital, and now the responses are hearsay and calls for a medical expert testimony, but the only way she would know that is if Mrs. Reeves told her, therefore, it is hearsay.

THE COURT: She's her daughter. To the extent that she knows, I don't need medical details, just

1 basically the recitation of time period and 2 procedure. 3 BY MR. MICHAELS: 4 Q. So about two years ago -- do you agree or 5 disagree with me that about two years ago your mother 6 received some sort of treatment? 7 Α. Yes, she had a knee replacement. 8 0. Okay. So she had some sort of surgery; is that 9 fair to say? 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 And do you agree or disagree with me that your 12 mother has also been visiting Moffitt Cancer Center? 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 And is that for a period of years now? Ο. 15 Yes, sir. It has been over a period of years. Α. 16 Now, you -- are you aware of whether or not Q. 17 your mother and father exercise together? 18 They do on occasion. Yes, they do. Α. 19 And what sort of exercise? 0. Sometimes they will -- well, prior to -- what 20 Α. 21 time frame are we talking about? 22 Ο. Prior to 2013. 23 Okay. Yes, they would walk together in the 24 neighborhood. At that point in time, I guess my mom's

doctors at Moffitt had told her there was a possibility

1 she might have to have surgery and that she needed to get 2 in shape so that recovery could --3 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, again, they're talking 4 about -- I object. First, it's hearsay, what her 5 mother told her, and the question was walking so it 6 is nonresponsive also. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. Let's go back to it. 8 MR. MICHAELS: Thank you, Judge. 9 BY MR. MICHAELS: 10 In 2000 -- between 2013 and 2014, did your 11 mother and father exercise together? 12 Yes, sir. Α. 13 Q. Okay. And what sort of physical activities did 14 your father do between 2013 and 2014, that you're aware 15 of? 16 Α. Together? 17 Just your father. Ο. 18 Oh, just my father. He walked with my mother. Α. 19 He also rode his bike and then he did calisthenics, just 20 kind of stretching exercises. 21 And what sort of bike did he ride? Was it a 0. 22 road bike or mountain bike? 23 Well, he has both bikes. If he rode with Α. No. 24 my mother, he would typically ride a mountain bike.

then if he rode with friends or by himself for

- 1 exercise -- more so for exercise, he would ride a road
 2 bike.
 - Q. Okay. And let's talk about your daughter. Her name is Madison?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - O. And how old is she?
- 7 A. She's five.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. When she was born, that means your -- was your father already retired at that point?
 - A. Yes, sir, he was.
- Q. And tell me whether or not your parents helped you to care for the newborn?
- A. Yes, sir. I stayed home with her for three months, and then they kept her for six months before she went into day care.
- Q. Are you aware of any plans that your father had for retirement before Madison came?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, again, I'm going to object. We're far afield based on my objection at the bench for a 403 -- I mean, 402.
 - THE COURT: Response?
- MR. MICHAELS: Again, we're -- we're getting
 background on Mr. Reeves. We're trying to paint the
 big picture here. We're going to get to the police

certifications. We're going to get to all of that but that's not the only person he is in that theater. He's both sides of that.

I think we can expect that the prosecutor's going to argue something to the effect of, well, he's a retired police officer who didn't get his way, and therefore he's being authoritative and that's what this is all about.

And so I think that it's important to hear that in retirement that that's not what Mr. Reeves is about. In retirement, he's -- he's a family man. In retirement, he's a grandfather. In retirement, he's -- he's not a police officer 24 hours a day.

MR. MARTIN: Again, Mr. Michaels has identified specific acts of conduct that they want to be able to argue that he acted in conformity there with on January 13, 2014. The Court's well aware of the specific acts of conduct in regarding character to determine how a person acted on a particular time is not — is not admissible. It's character by reputation within the community. That's how we prove character, not by specific acts.

THE COURT: Response to that?

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, I'm going to connect it

up to his disabilities and actually interacting with the child, and so this is just kind of laying the foundation to get to that point.

THE COURT: We're laying a pretty big foundation. Let's narrow it down a little bit, but I will overrule it.

MR. MICHAELS: Okay.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So are you aware of whether or not your father changed his retirement plans in order to stay home with the child, with your little girl?
- A. Changed his plans in retirement, not to retire.

 He had already retired, but yes, he did. Him and my mom

 decided not to travel to stay home and watch Madison.
- Q. Okay. And did they help you take care of Madison?
 - A. They did.
 - Q. For how long?
- 19 A. It was about six months.
 - Q. And whose idea was it to do that? Was that your idea?
 - A. No, sir. We hadn't even -- I hadn't even discussed it with my parents because I knew their travel plans, and I knew having a child was my responsibility and my husband's responsibility. So no, I never asked

them to watch her. It's something that they came to me and said we feel like this is important for family and we'd like to do that.

- Q. Okay. Now, when Madison was a toddler, did your father play with Madison, did you observe that at all?
 - A. Yes, sir, I did.

- Q. What sort of things would he do with her?
- A. He would do all kinds of things with her.

 There were limitations as far as him crawling around on the floor and the things that she wanted him to do. But he played with her all the time as far as reading her books and playing games with her and singing songs and...
- Q. Now, when she was a baby, when she was a toddler, did your father pick her up?
 - A. I have seen him pick her up, yes, sir.
 - O. And is he still able to do that?
- A. No, sir, not really. I don't think I've seen that in the last couple of years.
- Q. And do you remember an occasion where your father was trying to play with Madison on the floor and couldn't get up?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, again, I'm going to object to the leading nature of the question at this

1 time.

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, number one, it wasn't leading. I asked her if she remembers. I didn't say, "You remember, don't you?" That's leading. If I give the witness a choice to answer the question yes or no, that's not leading, number one.

Number two, if we're going to talk about the degenerative process here, certainly Ms. Shaw's observations of her father's limitations and his diminishing capacity are relevant in this case.

THE COURT: I'll overrule.

MR. MARTIN: Judge, it's only relevant if we have a date. Every question needs to have a date frame, otherwise we're just throwing it out there and I can't -- we can't make it relevant without a date.

THE COURT: Time frame.

MR. MICHAELS: Okay.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. How old is Madison now?
- A. She's five.
- Q. Okay. So that means she's been here on this earth five years. So it was sometime during -- between the time she was born and now, right, when your father couldn't get up?

1 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Do you know more specifically? Was she two years old, three years old, four years old? How old was she?
- A. I've seen it on more than one occasion that that's occurred. It's rare that he gets down on the floor with her, but when he -- when he has, he doesn't get up very easily and it takes a little while and a little help.
- Q. Okay. And does he ever try now to get on the floor, or that's over with?
 - A. No, sir. I think that's over with.
- Q. Now, when you were growing up, what were the sort of things your father did with his hands? What sort of hobbies, what did he like to do?
- A. He did woodworking, he did leather work. I can't remember what he couldn't do. He could kind of do a little bit of everything.
 - Q. Does he still do woodworking?
- A. He has tinkered with it. Being confined to the house, I think one of his friends got him into making some toys for churches around the area and gave him -- bought him the wood and the patterns and the materials he needed to make those toys. So dad's been making toys for those kids.

1 Q. Does he work at it like he used to for hours at 2 a time? 3 Α. No, sir, not at all. And I believe I heard him 4 say at one point in time he even simplified a couple of 5 the patterns. 6 MR. MARTIN: I will object to hearsay. 7 I'll move on, Judge. MR. MICHAELS: 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 BY MR. MICHAELS: 10 Now, as a child, would your father kind of take 11 you out and do things in the great outdoors? 12 Yes, sir. We spent most of our time outside as Α. 13 kids riding bicycles, hiking in the woods, camping, fishing, canoeing. 14 15 Okay. And kayaking? 0. 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 And did you -- have you kayaked recently with 0. 18 your father? 19 The last time we went kayaking was actually in Α. 20 April of 2013. 21 And at that point, did he have any difficulties 0. 22 with any of the equipment associated with kayaking? 23 Yes, sir. The paddles, the two-part paddles Α.

was not able to pull them apart.

that you would put together and have to pull apart, he

24

- Q. But in that instance, is it true that the paddles were pretty stuck and you had to help him pull it apart?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2/20/2017

- Q. Have you ever seen before that on occasion where he couldn't pull them apart and they weren't that difficult to get apart?
 - A. Not that I witnessed.
- Q. Your father got a motorcycle after retirement; is that true?
 - A. Yes, sir, he did.
- Q. Okay. He didn't have any problem riding it, did he, or did he not?
- A. The riding part I don't think is the hard part.

 I think the getting on and off and getting started and

 stopping and that kind of thing. It --

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, again, I'm going to object. She kept saying, I think this is the problem, I think this is a problem. And when he retired, he retired from Tampa Police Department, he retired from Busch Gardens. Again, we don't have time frames.

THE COURT: Let's get specific time frames and if she doesn't have personal knowledge, then I'm not going to allow it.

State of Florida v. Curtis J. Reeves

BY MR. MICHAELS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Have you had occasion with your husband to be on a motorcycle?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Have you had occasion with your husband to be on a motorcycle and have your father and your mother also on a motorcycle at the same time?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. On their own motorcycle?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, what time period are we talking about?
 You obviously were with your husband then.
 - A. Sure. It would have been prior to the birth of my daughter, so it would have been the several years prior to February 2nd of 2012.
 - Q. Okay. And you observed your father getting on and off of the motorcycle, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And was it a smooth transition, was he just able to swing his leg over and get on the thing or no?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 Q. Describe what you observed.
- A. As a child, kind of watching that and watching
 my mother get on and off the back, it's a little scary.

 But they -- occasionally, he would have to kind of tell

her to stop, you know, wait -- wait a minute, let me hold the bike up, that kind of thing to try to get them both on and get them going. So no, it wasn't a swing your leg over and get on.

- Q. Now, are you aware of whether your father had a fall in December of 2014 in the house?
- A. I can't remember exact month, but yes, sir, I am aware of when he fell.

Q. And --

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the -- to this testimony, and it occurred after the incident of January 13 of 2014. What occurred and what injuries occurred afterwards is not relevant for this particular case. If they can try to tie it up with Dr. Foley, then we'll see if they can do it.

But right now I'm suggesting to the Court that there is no relevancy because it's after the incident. Therefore, it would not be part of the knowledge that Mr. Reeves knew at the time of January 13, 2014.

MR. MICHAELS: But it would be evidence, Judge, of the continuing aging process. It would be evidence that part of the degenerative changes Mr. Reeves was suffering from is lack of bone density.

Mr. Foley [sic] will indeed be able to testify as to

that's what happened. We're going to be able to look at the x-rays.

The Court's going to see that he has an artificial hip in the x-rays and Dr. Foley is going to be able to say that old people's bones get brittle, therefore, when they fall, they can't take it like when they're young, and therefore, Mr. Reeves had this degenerative condition.

Dr. Cohen's going to further be able to -- to tie it up by saying that's what happens with old people, that's how they know they're vulnerable. Here's further proof that this is a vulnerable old man. So I believe that it is relevant in this case, even though it happened post January of 2014.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. MARTIN: Well, briefly, Judge, the relevancy, as the Court is well aware, is the knowledge of the defendant on January 13, 2014, at the time of the shooting. He had none of that knowledge.

It cannot be considered as being one of the factors. Whatever happens before that, I believe is probably fair game but not afterwards.

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, may I respond as well?

1

THE COURT: One at a time.

2 3

Judge, may I have a moment, then? MR. ESCOBAR:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARTIN: Judge, maybe Mr. Escobar put it a little more articulate than I did -- articulately. Essentially, this is relevant because it goes to show the difference between an old person and a young person. In this particular instance, Mr. Reeves is on the back porch playing with his granddaughter, walking there, falls, breaks a hip and needs a hip replacement, and that doesn't happen to a 43-year-old.

And so it's relevant to show the aging process, to show his vulnerability, to show that he had recognition of his vulnerability.

THE COURT: How can anything, really, that happened afterwards be considered relevant to his state of mind and feelings at the time?

MR. MICHAELS: Because his feelings at the time were that, hey, I'm an old man. I can't -- I can't let somebody -- I can't take a punch from somebody. I can't. Because if I do, I could sustain great bodily harm. If I do take a punch from somebody, I could die or be killed, and so this is further evidence that that was true. Not only is it a perception but it was true because his bones are

deteriorating.

THE COURT: All right. There just -- I can't allow stuff after -- after the event. It just doesn't jive, and I don't really need corroboration from a witness to say that this happened afterwards to know that every day that goes by we all age. So I get it. I don't need to hear about stuff that happened afterwards just to corroborate that.

And I'm not seeing any other purpose for it, and it simply can't have any relevance to his state of mind at the time of the incident, so I'm going to sustain that.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. Now, growing up your father was a police officer. Did he treat you at home like a police officer treats a suspect?
 - A. No, sir.

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that. How would she know how he treats someone on the street?

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that. I mean, rephrase.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

Q. Okay. Growing up was your father a bossy, authoritative person? 1 A. No, sir.

- Q. Do you agree or disagree that your personality is similar to your father's?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And what do you mean by that?
- A. Just my brother is more similar to my mom, I'm more similar to my dad in that my dad and I are pretty direct people. If we have something to say, we say it. We're kind of -- we can be kind of stubborn at times and...
- Q. Do you agree or disagree that your father may be all of those things but he's not an aggressive person?
 - A. That is the truth.
- Q. Now, you know your father was in a position of authority all those years in the Tampa Police Department, do you not?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, tell me why you think your father was in a position of authority.
- A. My father was in a position of authority based on his leadership skills.
 - MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I object to that.

 That calls for speculation as opposed to she said I saw his captain's badge, he's a captain. Well, he's a supervisor but not what, you know, character-wise

why he was in a position of authority.

THE COURT: Response?

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, one of the issues here is that -- just that he's a captain and he has a captain's badge, and so the State has essentially made this an issue here as to whether or not Mr. Reeves acted in a way that shows he was a frustrated police officer, a captain who always got his way, that sort of thing.

This testimony is to demonstrate that that wasn't who Mr. Reeves was. That again, we have to look at kind of a dichotomy. There's -- there's the job that he did and there was Mr. Reeves as a person, both before he retired and after he retired. So I think it's important that Ms. Shaw be able to testify concerning his leadership ability as opposed to, you know, he was in a position of authority because he was an authoritative kind of a guy.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. MARTIN: Just quickly. There's no way that she'll know how the deciding individuals at the Tampa Police Department made a determination to promote Mr. Reeves from one position to another. That criteria is unique to the police department itself, and there's absolutely no way that Ms. Shaw

could have even an inkling of an idea of that process and what they would go through in order to make those promotions in placing him in a leadership position.

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, that may very well be -that may very well be true that she's not part of
the police department, but certainly as his daughter
she saw him in church leading the congregation. She
saw him in the Boy Scouts being a leader in the Boy
Scouts. She saw him in the Girl Scouts being a
leader in the Girl Scouts. She saw him as a coach
during Little League soccer.

So she's seen him in leadership positions and it's not a stretch for her to say my father was in a position of authority. I know because I see what a fabulous leader he was.

MR. MARTIN: Okay. We're back to specifically incidences of contact to confirm that someone acted in conformity there with on a particular date. I apologize for keep standing up and doing the same objection, but it's over and over again.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Michaels, clearly we're getting into exactly what Mr. Martin has objected to. Mr. Reeves' character is not an essential element of this crime alleged, so the

1 2

rules are kind of clear that it's specific instances of acting in conformity to a certain character is not admissible.

MR. MICHAELS: Okay.

THE COURT: So we're going to have to really limit where you're going with this witness. And unfortunately, we've been -- we've spent more time talking about her testimony than we've actually heard. But Mr. Martin is correct. Let's tailor this down to what might be admissible evidence and move on.

MR. MICHAELS: So just so I understand the Court, then the State can't argue later that Mr. Reeves acted in conformity with some kind of authoritative personality he had as a police captain. Is that what I understand?

THE COURT: That sort of -- this sort of testimony might be brought out if the door is opened through cross, but not to just be offered as circumstantial evidence to show that he acted in conformity with some character.

So to answer that in a nutshell, he may -- he may open that door. I wouldn't -- from what I've heard already, that may very well be a possibility. And at that point, of course, there's the

1 opportunity for rebuttal or further cross depending 2 on who the witness is. 3 MR. MICHAELS: Can I have one moment, Judge? 4 THE COURT: You may. 5 BY MR. MICHAELS: 6 Can you describe for the Court specific 7 instances of observations you made regarding your 8 father's deterioration or limited physical capacity? 9 MR. MARTIN: I'm just asking for a time frame, 10 Judge, again. Just -- I assume we're talking about 11 prior to January 13, 2014. So when prior to that 12 would be helpful. 13 MR. MICHAELS: Judge, I asked for specific 14 instances so I don't know -- we'll wait to see what 15 she says. 16 THE COURT: We'll see what she says. 17 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, 18 please? 19 BY MR. MICHAELS: 20 Specific instances of observations you made 21 regarding your father's diminishing capacities. 22 Α. I've watched both of my parents over the 23 years deteriorate, basically, in age as part of the aging 24 It's not that I put a time frame around any of process.

that and could tell you that on this day this is what I

observed.

But what I have observed is that my parents have trouble functioning on everyday normal activities that you and I take for granted, but I can't put a time frame and say that on this date he couldn't tie his shoe, and I've seen that. And I can't say a time frame on this day his fingers locked up and he couldn't hold a cereal spoon but I've witnessed that. But I can't tell you the dates that that occurred.

- Q. Okay.
- A. I can't tell you the date where he tried to pick up Madison and had to put her back down because he couldn't stand up and hold her. I don't know those dates but those are things that I've witnessed and that I see on a daily basis.

You know, I've witnessed my daughter imitating his hands locking up and saying, "Oh, look grandpa, my fingers won't move." I've seen her use examples of when she doesn't want to do something, "I think my hip is hurting," or things like that. Those are things that a child notices.

So, yeah I've seen all of it. I've seen the deterioration. I've seen them not be able to do what they thought they could do. I've seen my dad push his limits to try to stay healthy and thinking that he is

doing his body good after all the damage he's put it through, whether it be with police department training or SWAT team training. He was determined to slow down that aging process by --

MR. MARTIN: I apologize for interrupting but now we're getting into speculation about what may or may not have occurred at the police department, what he felt that he needed to do. The question was specific: What are the observations? And I let it go to an extent but now she's just speculating about what Mr. Reeves was thinking about over the years so I object.

THE COURT: Sustained. Let's move on.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. Do you shoot sporting clays?
- A. Yes, sir, I do.
 - Q. And how were you introduced to that?
- A. Through my father and my ex-husband.
 - Q. And what is that exactly?
- A. It's where we -- there's clay pigeons that are round and a machine or a trap throws the pigeons into the air and you fire and shoot them with a gun.
 - Q. And what sort of shotgun did you use?
- A. When I was married, I used my husband's gun, which I believe was a 12-gauge Browning, and then I've

been using my -- the gun my father gave me, which is a
large Benelli SuperSport.

- Q. Is that a gun that your father also used when he would shoot clays?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. And tell me about that gun. Is there a lot of recoil? Does is it hurt to use it?
- A. No, sir, not at all. I think one of the reasons my father bought is because there isn't --

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, again, I object. It's speculation and if she knows he said it, it's hearsay.

13 BY MR. MICHAELS:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Was your father able to shoot with that gun?
- 15 A. Yes, sir, he was.
- 16 Q. Did you observe him shooting with the gun?
- 17 | A. I did.
- Q. And was he able to shoot with that gun, or was he able to shoot with other guns before that?
- 20 A. I'm sure he did. Yes.
- 21 Q. And when did he get that Benelli SuperSport?
- 22 A. I'm not sure when he acquired it.
- 23 Q. Okay. Was it sometime after he retired?
- 24 A. I believe so, yes, sir.
- Q. Was it sometime after you noticed the kind of

1 changes in his ability to do the things that he could do 2 before? 3 Α. I'm not certain on that. In other words, was it around the time he 4 Q. 5 couldn't pick up your daughter, those sorts of things? 6 I'm not sure if he bought it before or after 7 I know that it's -- I don't think it's a very old 8 gun. 9 Q. Okay. And is the gun light; is that fair to 10 say? 11 Very light. Α. 12 So you didn't have any problem shooting it? Q. 13 Α. No, sir, not at all. 14 In fact, your mother could shoot it as well; is Q. 15 that true? 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 MR. MICHAELS: Could I have a moment, Judge? 18 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. MICHAELS: 19 Now, before you were interrupted by the 20 21 prosecutor, I know you were talking about the changes you 22 saw in both of your parents. 23 Do you remember that? 24 Yes, sir.

Did that happen before January of 2014?

Α.

Q.

Yes, sir. 1 Α. 2 MR. MICHAELS: I don't have anything else. 3 Thank you, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 Cross? 6 MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. MARTIN: 9 Q. Good morning. 10 Good morning. Α. I'm going to start backwards a little bit so 11 12 it's just a little bit fresh in your mind. Let's talk 13 about the sporting clays, all right? 14 Α. Okay. 15 Is that the Tampa Bay Sporting Club here in Q. 16 Pasco? 17 Tampa Bay Sporting Clays, yes. Α. 18 How many times have you been there? And I'm 0. 19 going to keep my questions to prior to January 13, 2014. 20 How many times were you there with your dad in 21 2013? 22 Α. Maybe half a dozen. 23 Okay. You're familiar with the course? Q. 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 Q. There's two, three courses there?

```
1 A. Three courses.
```

- Q. Okay. And have you fired on all three courses?
- A. I have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. The -- I'll call it the path or road, but it's a road kind of narrow maybe for an ATV or a truck just to get by but most people walk it, golf cart?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. Made of dirt?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. While they -- there is some slight elevation,
 11 it's relatively level?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. When it rains or whatever, you can see for a while small indentations, pot holes, maybe some gravel from the water, but pretty much it's just level, flat with a gravel dirt road, right?
- 17 A. It's not gravel, it's just dirt.
- 18 | O. Just dirt?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Hard, packed dirt or soft dirt?
- A. There's areas of soft dirt, but mostly hard packed.
- Q. All right. Now, when you and Mr. Reeves go to that particular club, he normally walks?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

Q. And in 2013, he would walk those six times, right?

- A. How many times? Oh, throughout the year, yes.
- Q. Yeah. All right. He did not use a walker?
- A. No.

3

4

5

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. He did not use a cane?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. He carried his own shotgun?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. He carried his own chips?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. He didn't ask for any assistance to walk down that dirt road from station to station, did he?
- 14 A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. Now, did you shoot the 50-round course or the 100-round course?
 - A. Well, the courses are -- are -- you can shoot whatever you want on course. We never used score cards or kept score or anything like that. We used -- typically shot 50 rounds.
 - Q. All right. And there's 14 stations?
 - A. It depends. They switch the courses up over the years. They change everything around.
 - Q. So anywhere from 10 to 15 stations?
- 25 A. Sometimes less than that depending on what

1 | course you were on.

2

4

5

6

16

17

18

- Q. But you would still shoot 50 rounds?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And at each particular stage, you would place that shotgun on your shoulder and shoot two flights, two birds, whatever you want to call it?
- A. Four or six or whatever we decided at that station.
- 9 Q. That is true. The shotgun and the rules are
 10 that it holds two shotgun shells at a time, that's all
 11 that you can load, correct?
- 12 A. The Benelli, yes.
- Q. Okay. Well, yours too. That's the rules, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. So two birds will only come out at the time. If you want to shoot four while you're still at the station, then you're going to have to reload.
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Now, in this particular case, you're talking about Benelli SuperSport, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And were you shooting 2 3/4-inch shells or 3-inch shells?
- 25 A. I'm not sure.

1 Q. Okay. Were you shooting --

- A. Depending on what was on sale.
 - Q. Whatever was on sale?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. All right. Fair enough. Were you shooting target levels?
 - A. I'm not sure what that is.
 - Q. All right. Are you aware of the rules at the range that you're only supposed to use seven shots?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. So that's what you were shooting?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. Now, your particular shotgun, is it an automatic, semiautomatic shotgun or is it double barrel where you can actually open it up and break it?

 Do you know what I'm talking about?
- 17 A. Semiautomatic.
 - Q. Semiautomatic. And you, in fact, inserted the shells into the loading port of your shotgun, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. When you loaded your shells into the loading port of that shotgun, the loading port of the shotgun is underneath the shotgun, right in front of the trigger quard, is it not?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. All right. And did you have the shotgun shells in your pocket or in a bag, in a box?
 - A. Usually in a pouch or in my pocket.
- Q. All right. And would you take it out one at a time?
 - A. Yes, sir, usually.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. And what was your technique in loading the shotgun shell into the loading port? Did you push it in with your thumb, did you push it in with your finger, what did you do?
 - A. I believe I used my thumb.
- Q. Okay. Now, in the tube that's underneath the barrel where the magazine tube for the shotgun shells there's a spring, correct?
 - A. I'm not sure about that.
- Q. When you place your shotgun shell into the loading port, you have to use force with your thumb to push it in -- into the loading port, did you not?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It just didn't fall in?
- 21 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And then when you took your second shell, you also then used your thumb and placed your thumb on the back of the shell and pushed it into that loading port, did you not?

1 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

21

22

23

24

- Q. And the second one was just a little bit harder than the first one because the spring had been compressed, right?
 - A. Once you load the first one, you push a button and it -- I don't recall it being any more or less difficult.
 - Q. Okay. Right. You -- there's a button called a bolts release and the bolt goes forward, placing the shotgun shell into the battery, correct?
 - A. I don't know the terminology but...
 - Q. But have you to put two shells in, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And you did that?
- 15 | A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Now, after you did that and you placed your shotgun onto your shoulder, did you not?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. You put your cheek on the butt stock of the shotgun?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. All right. And when the birds fly in front of the station, you actually have to hold that shotgun in your hand and wait for the bird and then you swing the shotgun as the bird flies, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. All right. If you don't swing the shotgun, what happens? You shoot behind the bird, right?
 - A. Or you just completely miss.
 - Q. You -- well, you miss.
 - A. Over, under, behind, in front of.
 - Q. Right. So the whole purpose when we're shooting sporting clay is to keep that shotgun moving while it's held up in the air. As the bird flies, we're constantly moving and we pull the trigger as the gun is moving. Theoretically, the shot will fly in front of the bird and you hit your target, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. All those are body movements that have to take place in order to participate in that sport.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. The stages that you went to at the Tampa Bay

 Sporting Club -- Sporting Clay, some of them have stairs

 that you actually have to walk up into the stage area,

 correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And you made it up those stairs?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Now, not all of them have stairs, right?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- 2 Q. But some of them do?
 - A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

14

- Q. All right. Now when you were there with your father, he also had a semiautomatic shotgun, right?
 - A. He was shooting that same gun.
 - O. The Benelli?
 - A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, he loaded it the same way. He took
 10 one shell out, used his thumb and placed that shell into
 11 the loading port of the shotgun?
- 12 A. I know he loaded the gun. I do not know his technique.
 - Q. He had to use his fingers, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. A little bit tongue in cheek. That's the only way can you do it. You can't use your toe or elbows, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. You have to use your fingers to get the shell into the loading port?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So whatever technique he used, he used
 his fingers, his wrist, his arm in order to take the
 shell out and load that shotgun shell into the loading

1 | port of the shotgun?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And he had to do that twice and just like -- is that correct?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And just like you, he then had to place the butt of that shotgun onto his shoulder, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. He's right-handed?
- 10 A. He's left-handed but he does things with both 11 hands.
- Q. Is he right-hand dominant when he shoots a gun or?
- 14 A. I have no idea.
- 15 Q. Does he place the shotgun on his right shoulder or his left shoulder?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- Q. He places it on one of them, though, doesn't he?
- 20 A. Yes, he does.
- Q. All right. Fair enough. His technique has to be the same as yours. If you're going to be successful in shooting that bird out of the air, you have to hold that shotgun up for the amount of time it takes for the bird to fly, you twist your body, put the shotgun in

front of the shell of the bird, and you fire the shotgun
while you're moving, correct?

- A. Sure. And the amount of time you refer to is seconds.
- Q. Sure. Sure. Two seconds, three seconds, maybe five seconds?
 - A. It depends on the stand.
 - Q. Right. So as you're going and you have a little button there, right, and you ask the person are you ready, right, and you have to hit the button in order to make the bird fly.
- A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And while you're standing there waiting for the bird to fly, you're standing there with the shotgun up ready to go?
 - A. Not necessarily.
 - Q. Okay. What was his technique? There are two techniques and you're correct.
- A. Sure. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Reeves also walked up the stairs to the station just like you did?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. While carrying his shotgun?
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. With his ammo, right?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

21

22

- Q. All right. Again, he didn't ask for an elevator. A little facetious, I know, but he walked up the stairs with no problems, right?
- A. Well, I mean, I don't know if he used the handrail or not. I didn't pay attention.
- Q. Sure. Mr. Reeves, then, would walk from station to station along that dirt road, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, depending on the number of the stations and how many birds you shoot, what does it take, an hour-and-a-half, maybe two hours to go through a particular stage?
- 14 A. I'm not really sure. Maybe an hour, a little 15 over.
 - Q. All right. And during that time, Mr. Reeves would be standing the whole time?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. He would be holding his shotgun the whole time?
- 20 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Okay. There's a station by the stage where you can actually take the shotgun and put it on a rest while another person shoots, right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And then after you're done with

that stage, you will pick up that shotgun and then you
will safely carry it to the next stage?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And he carried his own shotgun?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.

3

4

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. Now, because the shotgun did not break, it was a semiautomatic, do you know if he carried it straight up holding it with his hands, did he put it on his shoulder and carry it? Do you know how he did it?
- A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Okay. But he was responsible for getting his 12 qun from place to place?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. We're going to move on, all right?
- 15 A. Okay.
- Q. All right. You talked about Mr. Reeves saying his, quote, "fingers locking up."
- 18 Are you talking about both hands?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And you're talking about the index finger?
- A. I think there's been several where it's been more than one finger.
- Q. Including the index?
- 25 A. I'm not sure which ones.

- Q. Okay. And you say when that happens, that he can't hold a cereal spoon, right?
 - A. I've seen that happen.
 - Q. Okay. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I'm not saying that happens every time.
- Q. All right. And then it goes away?
- A. Eventually, yes, sir.
- Q. You talked about, on direct, about you've never been with your father when he's been or exhibited conduct that Mr. Michaels described as road rage. Do you remember, were you ever in the car when he got angry?

 Okay.

And you don't ride around in the car every day with your father, do you?

- A. Not every day, no, sir.
- Q. All right. In fact, I think it would be best to describe that a great, great majority of the time you're not in the car when your father's driving. He's off doing his thing and you're off doing your thing?
 - A. What time frame are we talking about?
 - Q. Probably -- let's just take 2013.
- A. No, sir. I mean, we went to family dinners and that kind of thing, but for the most part, you're correct.
 - Q. For the most part, the great, great majority of

the time, you're not with Mr. Reeves when he's in a car.

- A. Not in the car, no, sir.
- Q. All right. So when you indicated that you've never seen that, that was based on your very, very limited experience of being with Mr. Reeves?
- A. No, sir. That was based on a lifetime of being his daughter.
- Q. All right. Let's talk about the lifetime. The same question to you: Would you not agree that even though you grew up and you're his daughter, you were not in the car every time Mr. Reeves was driving on the street?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. You indicated that -- and I believe the time frame was prior to January 2014 -- that Mr. Reeves had trouble picking up your daughter?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Picking her up from the floor?
- 20 A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. And was this -- and I'm using the movement, and I'll try to describe it for the record, where my hands are down by the pockets of my pants and I'm simply taking my shoulders and keeping my elbows locked like you would pick up a child underneath the

1 armpits. 2 Is that the motion we're talking about? 3 Α. I -- I wouldn't keep my arms locked to pick up 4 my child, but... Okay. So you would -- you'd go ahead and, 5 6 like, keep them at 45? 7 No, sir, but they would not be locked straight. Α. 8 0. They would be out, though? 9 They would be outreached, correct. Α. 10 Okay. All right. So what you saw I Q. 11 demonstrated, even though I used the term "locked," and 12 you're right, my elbows aren't, quote, "locked," but 13 they're straight out in front of me. So it would be a 14 straight up motion with your hands starting from your pockets without bending your elbows, maybe not locked but 15 16 just picking straight up? 17 Not necessarily. I may pick her up from the Α. 18 side, I may pick her up from this side, I may pick her up 19 from in front. 20 I'm talking about Mr. Reeves. 0. 21 Sure. And he may have done the same thing. Α. 22 Q. Okay. 23 Thank you for your time, Ms. Shaw. MR. MARTIN:

THE COURT:

Thank you, Judge. I have nothing else.

Thank you, Mr. Martin.

24

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. We talked about the same time period the prosecutor was speaking to you about when he talked to you about sporting clays, and that would be 2013, okay?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Now, you were living -- is it true that you were living with your father and mother in their house at the time?
 - A. Since April of 2013, yes, sir.
- Q. And so during that year, did you see your father having trouble or being slow when he first got up in the morning?
- A. He gets up before everybody else typically. I mean, yes, I've seen him definitely slow down as far as his movements and slower to start. Even just getting up from watching a movie on TV I've seen that, but maybe not necessarily first thing in the morning.
- Q. How about, like, getting out of a chair? Does he pop right out or does he use his hands to push himself up out of the chair?
- A. He uses his hands and then takes a second to steady himself.
- Q. And that's in 2013?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

```
1
               The same time that he's doing the Sporting
          Q.
 2
     Clays he's having trouble getting out of the chair, is
 3
     that what you're saying?
 4
               MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, asked and answered and
 5
          leading.
               THE COURT: Overruled.
 6
 7
     BY MR. MICHAELS:
 8
          0.
               Is that what you're telling us?
               I don't know that it would be considered
 9
          Α.
10
     trouble getting out of a chair, but not with these, for
11
     sure.
12
               But you described that he had to use his hands.
          Q.
     Did I understand you correctly?
13
14
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
15
          Q.
               And then you said once he gets up he had --
16
               He takes a minute to steady himself.
          Α.
17
               Okay. So is it fair to say that his balance is
          Ο.
     off or no?
18
19
                            Your Honor, I'm going to object to
               MR. MARTIN:
20
          that.
21
               THE WITNESS: Maybe at times.
22
               MR. MARTIN: It calls for speculation.
23
                           I'm going to sustain on that.
               THE COURT:
24
     BY MR. MICHAELS:
25
               Have you observed your father have -- being
          Q.
```

```
1
     unsteady on his feet once he gets up out of a chair at
 2
     any time?
 3
          Α.
               The fact that he takes a second to steady
     himself, I would -- again, it's not something where I go,
 4
 5
     oh, his balance must be off, but I've observed that that
 6
     could be the case.
 7
               Okay. And the -- again, the time frame
          0.
 8
     regarding trouble getting down on the floor to play with
 9
     your daughter, that's in 2013; is that right?
10
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
11
               Having his fingers freeze up, that's the same
          Q.
12
     period of time?
13
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
14
               Not being able to tie his shoe, is that the
          Q.
15
     same period of time?
16
          Α.
               No, sir. That was a little bit later.
17
               MR. MICHAELS:
                              Thank you.
18
               THE COURT: Anything further from this witness?
19
                              No, Judge.
               MR. MICHAELS:
20
               THE COURT: May this witness be released?
```

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, we're going to

MR. MICHAELS: Yes, Your Honor.

reserve to keep her still on subpoena just in case

we recall her.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. And you have a way to do so,

1 so she's free to leave the courthouse, if she 2 wishes? 3 MR. ESCOBAR: She is, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Shaw, you're free 5 to leave however you wish, but you are subject to 6 recall. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: All right. This will be kind of an overdue time to take a short 10-minute recess. 11 12 will take 10 minutes and resume after that. THE CLERK: All rise. 13 14 (Recess taken.) 15 THE COURT: Ms. Sumner, are you expecting Mr. 16 Garcia back? 17 MS. SUMNER: I thought he was right behind me. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 I'll go check. MS. SUMNER: THE COURT: All right. We are back on the 20 21 record, ready to go. Everybody's still present. 22 Mr. Michaels? 23 MR. MICHAELS: Your Honor, before we get 24 started, I have an exhibit list for the Court. Ι 25 gave a copy to Mr. Martin already.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Please. 2 MR. MICHAELS: They're for today's witnesses 3 and exhibits. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. MICHAELS: The first exhibit that we're 5 going to use are those photographs that are listed 6 7 at the very top. Those have been stipulated to by 8 the State. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And Matthew Reeves is going 10 to be your next witness? 11 MR. MICHAELS: Yes, Your Honor. We would call 12 Matthew Reeves. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Have those 14 exhibits been premarked? MR. MICHAELS: No, Your Honor. We'll have to 15 16 mark them with the clerk. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. MICHAELS: We do have our own exhibit 19 sticker marked. If the Court wishes, we can either 20 use those or use the other ones. 21 THE COURT: Typically, we've got one for 22 identification and then the State -- or the clerk 23 will mark them with a final -- once they're 24 admitted, they'll be marked with the clerk's sticker 25 for final entry, correct?

1 THE CLERK: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, just so the Court 4 knows so that we can prepare for that, you'll see 5 that our exhibits are in a clear little envelope 6 that's tied to it, so the back side we've left open 7 if we want to attach anything to it, a sticker or 8 whatever is convenient for the clerk. Okay? 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. So you can just use 10 your exhibit for --11 MR. ESCOBAR: We're going to use our 12 exhibits --13 THE COURT: -- identification? 14 MR. ESCOBAR: Exactly. 15 THE COURT: And then once it's admitted, it 16 will have a final number. 17 MR. MARTIN: And Judge, do you want me to go 18 ahead and premark mine for Mr. Reeves now, or do you 19 want to wait until -- are we going to take a break 20 for lunch and then do cross or something? 21 THE COURT: Correct. 22 MR. MARTIN: Okay. Then I'll get with the 23 clerk. 24 They'll need to be marked somehow THE COURT: 25 for identification, and then they'll come in with a

1 number once they're admitted. 2 MR. MARTIN: Yes, I've done this before. 3 THE COURT: I know. All right. Go ahead, 4 Mr. -- oh, we've got Mr. -- young Mr. Reeves? 5 THE BAILIFF: Step this way, stand right here. 6 Face the clerk, raise your right hand to be sworn. 7 (Thereupon, the witness was duly sworn on oath.) 8 THE BAILIFF: Come have a seat up here. Adjust 9 the mic. Speak in a loud and clear voice for the 10 Court. 11 Go ahead, Mr. Michaels. THE COURT: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. MICHAELS: 14 Q. Please state your name. 15 Α. Matthew J. Reeves. 16 And is Curtis Reeves your father? Q. 17 Yes, sir. Α. 18 How are you employed, please? Q. 19 With the Tampa Police Department. Α. 20 And how long have you been with the Tampa 0. 21 Police Department? 22 Α. It will be 14 years next month. 23 MR. MARTIN: Excuse me, Judge, Mr. Michaels, I 24 apologize for interrupting you. Even at the 25 deposition I had trouble hearing Mr. Reeves.

very soft spoken and I couldn't hear very well what he said. If he can just...

THE COURT: Maybe if you can step -- yeah, there you go.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Your Honor, I appreciate it. Maybe if you guys are talking, just, you know, kind of do this or something if I can't hear. I don't want to interrupt.

THE COURT: Okay. That'll be fine.

MR. MARTIN: I appreciate it, thank you.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. Tell us about your career at the Tampa Police Department.
- A. Like I said, I have almost 14 years in the department. I started back in 2003, and I worked in patrol for at least four or five years before going to plainclothes for approximately four years, at which time I joined Tampa -- or was selected to join the Tampa Police Department bomb squad.

And at that point, I went back into patrol as my daily duties, and I've been on the bomb squad for six years, certified as a hazardous device technician for about five years.

- Q. So you're a member of the bomb squad now?
- A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Prior to that, did you have any military experience?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Tell us about that.
- A. I served in the US Air Force for six years. I started back in 1995 and got out in 2001.
- Q. And did you have any sort of security clearances?
- A. While I served in the Air Force, I served as a radio operator of the national airborne operations command post, and to perform my duties, I was required to carry a top secret SCI clearance.
- Q. Let's talk about the sort of things you would do with your father growing up.

What sort of things would you do with him?

A. From a very young age, my father was always very involved with everything that I did. I was in soccer, Boy Scouts. We did a lot of church youth activities. A lot of family get togethers with -- like, through church, we did canoe trips where it was the fathers and sons, and we'd go camping on the river, whether it be the Suwannee River or the (inaudible.) We did that at least once a year.

Numerous camping trips every year with canoes, bicycling. We went to North Carolina in the mountains

and camped at least once or twice a year. Camping, like I said, hiking, backpacking.

When I was around -- around town and so forth,
I was always involved with soccer and at some point for a
few years my dad was my coach. And then if he wasn't the
coach of our soccer team, he was an assistant coach. He
made the time to actually come out and be involved so he
was always involved, which I found now that I work in law
enforcement --

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going object.

He's now becoming nonresponsive and providing gratuitous information.

THE COURT: It's getting a little nonresponsive. I'll sustain as to...

BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. So was your father a father who was just too busy at work to be involved in your activities as a child?
- A. Actually, the complete opposite of that. I am amazed now that I work in law enforcement the amount of time that he did make to spend time with me when I was growing up.
 - Q. Now, you mentioned bicycling with your dad?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Over the years -- and we'll go ahead and set a

cutoff date of January 2014. Over the years, tell us about any changes you've seen in your dad's ability to bike with you. First tell us what sort of biking you would do with him.

A. Growing up a lot of mountain biking and eventually when he felt I was capable of keeping up, I would do long distance bike rides on road rides with him and other people that he worked with in the police department.

Eventually into my early high school years, which would have been in the early '90s, I started getting where I could actually outpace him. And occasionally on long rides, where I'd say 75-mile rides, I would leave him and go off with some of the faster people.

As time went by, different things that we did together. While I was in the military, I returned home and we'd go mountain biking. That would have been in the late '90s. In that time frame, he stopped mountain biking completely and we didn't do really any more riding due to the fact that he couldn't keep up when we did ride.

Q. Okay. And after the rides, before he stopped riding, did you make any observations concerning his physical condition after he rode? In other words, was he

stiff, was he tired, was he sore, those sort of things, what did you observe?

A. As the years went on, yes. It definitely magnified the issues that he's had over the years that have come from a lot of the time that he spent on the police department on the SWAT team, a lot of the injuries he sustained back then. He was --

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We have a -- a proper foundation of any type of personal knowledge is pure speculation at this point. And even if he was told by his dad what happened, it would be hearsay.

THE COURT: Less restricted to what he has personal knowledge of, please, and remember to include some time frames.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. As your father's gotten older, was he able to do the same things he did with you when he was younger?
 - A. No, sir.
 - O. And describe that.
- A. Everything from the mountain biking, growing up he got me into hunting, involved with hunting and things. His time early on when we would hunt, he would be the one who would climb the tree and set tree stands, and I would watch to learn. And as time went by, I took over that

role. He couldn't do it any longer.

- Q. What time period are we talking about?
- A. This is going back into the '90s into -leading on into the early 2000s. We would buy equipment
 that was specific to help him in what he needed to do,
 something that was more comfortable, something that was
 easier to use for climbing a tree. So we bought very
 specific equipment for that purpose.
- Q. Now, before we talk a little bit about the hunting, did your father change from a mountain bike, which is ride to the mountains, to a road, which is riding, like, on pavement?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did he have any difficulties that you observed in riding that road bike?
- A. The road bike was less impactful, and he did that in place of running because of the impact that it had on him.
- Q. Do you know if -- if -- whether or not he required any extra padding on the handlebars?
- A. Yes. He would use extra padding. On more than one occasion, I went and bought additional padding which we wrapped his handlebars because of his hands.
 - Q. And what about gloves, extra-padded gloves?
 - A. Yes. For Christmas or something I would by him

extra-padded gloves.

- Q. All right. Let's talk about hunting. Was that something that you did as a boy growing up --
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- with your dad?
- A. I started -- before I could even hunt, I was allowed to go and observe him while he hunted and as he taught me how to.
 - Q. What sort of hunting did you do?
- A. Primarily he was involved with archery hunting, so he would be hunting either deer or hogs. I would be allowed to go along. I would sit close by early on in the stand with him, and then later I would sit in the stand nearby without a weapon and just observe.

As I grew up, he taught me what I needed to do. When he felt that I was competent enough to make a humane kill on an animal, I began hunting myself.

- Q. Tell the Court what things you observed prior to 2014 regarding your dad's ability to hunt.
- A. Definitely a drop off in his physical mobility, his ability to sit still in the stand as long as we did when we were younger. We might sit half a day whereas we would cut it back to maybe two, three hours maximum, and a lot of times he would have gotten up and walked around some and then sit back down if we did stay in longer.

He couldn't move or drag a deer out on his own.

One of the last ones that he did take himself, which

would have been the early 2000s up in Georgia, he

attempted to move it himself and hurt his back, and me

and a friend of mine actually had to load it.

- Q. And before that, was he able to -- to get a deer out of the woods --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. -- by himself?
- A. When we had started early on, he had no problem at all doing that.
- Q. And what about shooting a bow itself? Tell us about what you observed in terms of his deterioration?
- A. Growing up we always shot compounds. Compound bows but we shot with both fingers, where you put your fingers directly on the string.

Well, it's a lot -- you're holding all the weight with your fingertips. We did that for many years when a lot of people were already starting to change over to what's called a mechanical release where you're holding it back with your wrist.

He switched over to a mechanical release because he used to have to tape his fingers because they would hurt him so bad. As time went by in around 2009, 2010, we had planned to go hunting in Ohio and he

couldn't use his older bow so he bought a new bow with a lower weight poundage pull, and when I set it up originally, it was set up for roughly 50 pounds pull weight.

And for our trip, the last trip that we took in the beginning of 2013, right before this incident, he actually had contacted me and asked me to research getting him a crossbow because he said he couldn't pull that bow back.

- Q. Now, before this -- this last trip, and this is right before January of 2014; is that correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. That hunting trip took place where?
- A. In north Florida, in the Panhandle area.
- Q. Now, was there any kind of practice with a bow prior to going?
 - A. There was and that is where he had contacted me stating that he couldn't pull it back and was asking me to research crossbows.
 - Q. Did you observe him not being able to shoot?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. Okay. Were you with him when he practiced?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And how many arrows did you use to practice with?

- A. Normally speaking, we might shoot a couple dozen arrows. When he was practicing, he couldn't shoot more than a few, three, four arrows, and then he would stop.
- Q. Now, in this last hunting trip which was before -- right before January of 2014, did your father use a tree stand?
 - A. Yes, he did.

- Q. Okay. And tell us about that, how does that work?
- A. It is a small two-piece stand, it weighs approximately 19 or 18 to 19 pounds. We had bought them years earlier because they were extremely lightweight, and the way that they climb is extremely easy. He hadn't used it prior to that trip. I think the last time it had been used was roughly 2010. So he hadn't had any practice in it. We did take it with us and he did use it on one occasion on that trip.
- Q. Now, in 2010, how high up in the tree did he go?
- A. Going back to our earlier years back in the '90s and stuff, we might be 20 to 30 feet up in a tree generally speaking.
 - Q. What about this last trip?
 - A. The last trip he was only about -- the tree was

only about 10 feet off the ground. The tree wouldn't even allow him to go any higher and he wouldn't have.

- Q. Now, what about the -- you said he hunted from a blind?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. And did he put that up himself?
- 7 A. No, I assisted with carrying it and assembling 8 it.
 - Q. Is that something he used to do on his own?
 - A. No, actually it was bought specifically for him to hunt on the ground because it was easier for him. We actually had also purchased a swivel type reclining chair that was easier to sit in, much more comfortable than what we had used in past years.
 - Q. And how did it make you feel to see all of this change in your dad?
- 17 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
- 18 It's not relevant how he feels.
- 19 THE COURT: I'll sustain that.
- 20 BY MR. MICHAELS:
- Q. Was -- was hunting important to your father and you?
- 23 A. It was very important to both of us.
- 24 Q. Why?
- 25 A. It's where we spent time together. Being that

```
I'd been gone in the military, even when I would come
home, that was one of the things we would do together.

After I got out, we joined a hunting club together and it
was time spent between us. It was mine and his time and
occasionally some of his friends would be involved that I
grew up with and grew up hunting with, and it was just
the guys' time. It was very important to us.
```

- Q. What other kind of deterioration did you notice in your father prior to January of 2014?
- A. He's always been big with woodworking and he also did a lot of leather working for making holsters to knife sheaths to making just custom leather items. If somebody wanted something, he always enjoyed making those kind of things and doing things, building things with his hands. And that dropped off tremendously while in the late '90s and early 2000s when I got out.

I had -- at one point, I had even requested him to build me a holster for a firearm and he didn't want to do it because he stated that when he did make things --

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.

It calls for hearsay.

THE WITNESS: His --

THE COURT: To the extent that it doesn't, I'll allow him to answer.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Did -- so did your father make you that Q. 2 holster? 3 Α. And his reasoning behind that he hasn't 4 done any leather work where he's -- when he made things 5 with leather with his hands, he said that his hands would 6 cramp. 7 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I will object. The 8 reasoning behind the speculation is hearsay. 9 THE COURT: It does call for hearsay. 10 BY MR. MICHAELS: 11 Did you notice anything else in terms of 12 deterioration in your father? Did you see him wearing a 13 back brace around the house for instance? 14 Α. He wore a back brace. He used vitamin oil or 15 some sort of hand salve on his hands regularly in the 16 morning. 17 And that was prior to 2014, in January? Ο. Yes. 18 Α. 19 Q. Anything else you observed? 20 He had what he called a trigger finger, and I Α. 21 had observed before that that finger was locked up and he 22 wouldn't be able to bend it. 23 You've observed that; is that right? Q.

I have observed that, yes.

You don't live at home; is that fair to say?

24

25

Α.

Q.

1 A. No, sir.

4

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

- 2 Q. Where do you live?
- 3 A. I live in Dade City.
 - Q. And how often do you see your father?
- A. Anywhere from -- it could be two times a week to once every two weeks.
 - Q. And prior to 2014, how often would you see him?
 - A. At least in that range.
 - Q. Let's talk about January 13th of 2014, okay?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Tell me what plans you had on that particular 12 date.
 - A. My plans initially were involved with cleaning my vehicle, due to the fact that it was covered in clay and mud, so I was cleaning and doing things outside because we'd been away for a week or so.
 - Q. Did you get a text at some point?
- 18 A. He either called me or texted me.
- 19 O. And was that an invitation of some sort?
- 20 A. Yes, it was an invitation to attend the Lone
 21 Survivor movie.
 - Q. At the Cobb Theater?
- 23 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, did you go with your parents to the Cobb theater?

1 No, sir. Α. 2 What happened once you got there? Q. 3 I was running late to the theater. I purchased Α. 4 my tickets and responded straight inside. I didn't stop to get anything. I went straight into the theater 5 6 because I thought the movie might had started. When I 7 walked inside, it was already dark inside and the 8 previews were playing. 9 Let me show you what's been marked as Composite 10 Defense Exhibit 1. 11 MR. MICHAELS: I would move at this time by 12 stipulation, to enter Exhibit 1 into evidence. 13 MR. MARTIN: By stipulation. 14 THE COURT: It will be admitted. 15 MR. MICHAELS: As Exhibit 1. 16 THE COURT: Okay. As Exhibit 1. 17 MR. MARTIN: I assume that's been -- I 18 apologize for sitting down. I assume that's the 19 photograph of --20 MR. MICHAELS: It is. I gave Mr. Martin a 21 list. It's on there. 22 MR. MARTIN: Okay. 23 Okay. And this is a composite. THE COURT: 24 MR. MICHAELS: It is. What we will do, Judge, 25 we will identify it -- there's a JPEG number, that

1 way we can keep it straight on the record. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 BY MR. MICHAELS: All right. Now, you go into the theater, 4 Q. 5 correct? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 0. And that's where you buy your ticket? 8 Α. At the front opening, yes. 9 Where do you go from there? Q. 10 Straight into the theater where the movie was Α. 11 playing. 12 Now, when you get to the theater, do you Q. 13 remember the number of the theater? 14 I don't recall. Α. Does Theater 10 sound --15 0. 16 Α. That sounds familiar, yes. 17 Now, once you get to Theater 10, you walk in. 0. 18 Can you -- and I'm showing you what's been marked as a 19 composite and it is JPEG 2060417, you're looking at it on 20 the screen. 21 Does that look familiar to you? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 Now, when you say you entered the theater, do Q. 24 you see the area you entered the theater on that picture?

It's the open door in the lower-left

25

Α.

1 corner. 2 Okay. And that's with the -- is that with the Q. 3 green exit sign or some sort of sign over the top we're talking about? 4 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 And when you walk into the theater, what are 7 the conditions of the theater? 8 Α. It's already dark. 9 When you say "dark" are we talking about like **Q**. 10 that, where some of the house lights are on? Are we 11 talking about pitch black or somewhere in-between? 12 It's nearly pitch black. Α. 13 MR. MARTIN: Excuse me, Judge. May we 14 approach? 15 THE COURT: Do we need to? 16 MR. MARTIN: I wouldn't ask, if I didn't think 17 it was appropriate. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Could we just swing your 19 chair over, maybe? 20 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. 21 (Sidebar conference conducted.) 22 MR. MARTIN: The reason that I think there 23 would be a lot of objections now, is because of the 24 lighting. It's been raised, the issue about the 25 lighting. These are not pictures -- they are not

1 |

appropriate.

What we have is Mr. Michaels had said where, you know, it is our -- it is a form of art that we've been battling about whether or not the picture actually represents, you know, represents that.

So that's the reason I wanted to come to the Court now because we know this is regarding -- now we're going to take those pictures and we're going to say that is what the lighting is. Is that dark? That is what it is, and then we're going to have some problems.

Now he's indicated -- and in his deposition -this was pitch black. Now they are going to get to
those (inaudible). See, where my concern is, is
with Mr. Michaels' characterizing the photographs
and referring to them as being this dark because we
know it was mid level one. We know that is.

So that's -- I wanted to come here and I think this is going to go on for the next few minutes how dark it was. How he could not see it was so dark. It was not until -- because I can see my dad, he could testify to all of that, but not with the photographs saying that is how dark it was because I will have an objection to that.

I realize that we have a stipulation about the

1 chain of authenticity, all right, and I signed it, 2 but there is no way the photographs can be used to 3 represent exactly what the conditions are like, as 4 far as darkness. 5 MR. MICHAELS: Judge, he has testified it was 6 darker than in those photos. So he is not saying 7 that the photos look like the lighting that was 8 present. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MR. MICHAELS: He is saying it was pitch black. 11 He will probably --12 MR. ESCOBAR: He didn't say it was pitch black. 13 He said near pitch black. 14 THE COURT: Uh-huh. All right. 15 He can testify all he wants --MR. MARTIN: 16 THE COURT: Right. 17 MR. MARTIN: -- but not Mr. Michaels describing 18 those pictures as being dark. And it barely was 19 what it was. 20 THE COURT: Well, I am looking at them, so I 21 know, you know, I can be a judge of what I am 22 looking at. And I want to make sure the record is 23 straight as to what we are looking at and you can do 24 that with contemporaneous objections, I suppose.

If there was some mention by Counsel that

that's pitch black, you know, something along those lines --

MR. MARTIN: Whatever it represents because we haven't resolved my objections and that's why I didn't want to air this in front of the witness.

THE COURT: Okay. Obviously, I think those objections would come more into play when that witness is on or not or however we resolve that.

MR. MARTIN: Like I said, I don't have a problem saying, I was there, and it was pitch black or dark, but in that -- but not Mr. Michaels, that's not what I --

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I don't understand why we are here for this objection because that was not even asked in that fashion --

MR. MARTIN: (Inaudible.)

MR. ESCOBAR: This is nothing more than his prompting to tell the Court that he is objecting to Mr. Michaels' photos. There's going to be a proper predicate. I'm doing Mr. Knox (phonetic), there will be a proper predicate for those photos. That's the only reason we are here because it was not even an objectionable issue here. Nobody asked this guy the way it looked on that particular day.

THE COURT: Yes.

1 MR. MARTIN: My objection was to the form of 2 the question by Mr. Michaels' characterizing the 3 photographs to the extent that he wants to 4 characterize. That was a proper objection. 5 THE COURT: Okay. We will deal with it 6 accordingly. 7 (Sidebar conference concluded.) 8 BY MR. MICHAELS: 9 **Q**. Now, when you walked in the theater, what do 10 you remember the lighting conditions to be? 11 It was extremely dark. There was already a 12 movie trailer playing. 13 Q. Okay. So it was extremely dark; is that what 14 your description is? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. 17 MR. MICHAELS: Judge, may I have the witness 18 step down? 19 THE COURT: You may. 20 BY MR. MICHAELS: 21 If you would step down, please, Mr. Reeves. 0. 22 Stand in front of that screen. 23 Now, point to where it is that you came in 24 through. 25 Α. I entered here.

- Q. And that's that opening with a little green light on top that we were talking about; is that where you are pointing to?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, describe to me which direction you walked once you came in that door?
- A. I would be coming straight down this aisle where this partition wall is and I came up around the end of -- this, right around the end of this partition wall right here.
- Q. Okay. Let me show you what has been marked as Composite Exhibit 1, 2081418 is the JPEG number. All right. Is that the same theater we're looking at?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And could you indicate where you're talking about, now that we're looking at the overall view?
- A. I entered from this side. And you can't see where I would have been standing at the base of this wall. Where you see the rail coming down, I was at the base of that wall.
- Q. Okay. So as we look towards the back of the theater, you are coming from what would be the left side; is that what you're describing?
 - A. If I'm facing the screen, it was on the right

1 side.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

- Q. As you're facing the screen on the right side, yes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now we're looking at the back, so it is on the left.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Let's go back to this first one, which is 2060417. All right. So now you come into the theater; where do you go?
 - A. I stepped up approximately the first step that would be just off of the frame here and was standing right there.
 - Q. And at that point, what do you do?
 - A. Initially, I looked through the crowd trying to find my parents.
- Q. Were you able to see them or recognize them in any way?
- A. It was too dark to recognize them at that point.
 - Q. Okay. So now what is going on on this screen behind you; if you recall?
 - A. I specifically recall the trailer for the new Robocop remake movie playing. Everybody appeared to be watching it, so I turned and watched the screen.

- Q. And could you indicate for us where you were standing when you turned around to watch it on the screen?
- A. I was still standing right up at the base of this wall approximately one step up.
- Q. And were you towards the wall itself? Were you more towards the seats? Where were you standing?
 - A. I would have been right against the wall.
 - Q. And why did you stand against the wall?
- A. Everybody appeared to be watching what was going on and I didn't want to interrupt.
- Q. So now you're watching the previews. Tell me what happens next?
- A. When the preview came -- ended, the screen essentially went black for a short time. Right when that occurred, I heard my father's voice say something to the effect of, get off of me, or, get out of my face, or something to that effect.
- Q. Can -- do you agree or disagree, then, in terms of what the exact words were or you don't remember?
 - A. I do not remember.
- Q. Now, can you point to the area that you heard that voice coming from?
 - A. Up towards the top area.
- 25 Q. And --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Up in the top somewhere.
 - Q. Okay. So you're indicating towards the back row at the top?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Now, once you hear that, can you describe the tone of voice?
 - A. It sounded alarming.
- Q. Had you ever heard your father use that tone of voice before?
 - A. No, I had not.
 - Q. What did you do once you heard that?
- A. It drew my attention up. I took, like, two steps up and was trying to see if I could see where he was at, which I couldn't due to the -- it was so dark you couldn't recognize people more than a few feet away from me.
- Q. And did you see any activity going on where you heard your father's voice?
 - A. Not initially, no.
 - Q. Now, you said you took a couple of steps up?
 - A. Approximately, two, maybe three.
 - Q. So show me approximately where you were at?
- A. I would have been basically right at the
 base -- the edge of the steps go down further. I would
 have been at the base of the screen, approximately on the

1 steps.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. So the very bottom of the photographs around those steps; is that fair to say?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. So now, which direction are you looking?
- A. I'm just looking up in this general area along the back wall.
- Q. Now, are you standing there or are you moving towards the back wall? What are you doing?
- A. At that point, I'm standing, because I can't see enough to know where I need to go.
- Q. How did that voice that you heard, how did that make you feel?
- A. It was alarmed enough to draw my attention that something was wrong.
 - Q. Did you feel fear?
- 17 A. Not at that point, no.
- 18 Q. Did you feel concerned for your father?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. So what did you do?
- A. Initially, I stopped after taking a couple of steps up because I was trying to find out where he was at. It was hard to, because of how dark it was inside, you couldn't see faces, really, at a distance from you.

 You could only see people up close.

Q. Now, you take a couple of steps up and which direction are you facing?

- A. I'm facing upwards.
- Q. Towards the back of the theater?
- A. Towards the back of the theater, yes.
- Q. What's the next thing that happens?
- A. The gunshot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

- Q. And where are you faced when you hear the qunshot?
 - A. Towards the back of the theater.
- Q. And could you approximate or point out what your -- kind of, point of view was, your vantage point?
- A. My vantage point would have been essentially everything towards the back, because I had turned to face up because I was scanning back there trying to find -- so I was essentially looking up into the whole back area.
- Q. At the time of the gunshot?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And what, if anything, did you observe at that 20 point.
 - A. I did not see the muzzle flash directly. I saw the flash and the silhouette -- it silhouetted who I believe -- who I now know is Mr. Oulson.
- Q. And when you said it "silhouetted," at that point what is it that you saw back there?

A. I could see someone standing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And could you tell which way they were facing?
- A. Yes. They were facing to the back of the theater.
- Q. And if it was dark in the theater, how could you tell which way they were facing?
- A. When that flash occurred, the ambient light started to come back up into the theater at that time.
- Q. Now, do you remember or not remember what was going on, in terms of the screen, at that point?
 - A. I don't have any idea what was going on.
- Q. So how far up are you now? Have you moved up any?
- A. At the time of the shot, I was two to three steps up. As soon as it occurred, as I'm watching what is occurring, I started moving up.
- Q. Now, you said you were watching what's occurring. What did you see?
- A. I saw Mr. Oulson turn around and take a large exaggerated step down from where -- from where he was standing.
- Q. All right. And so he is facing what turns out to be your father, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And when you say "exaggerated step down,"

describe that?

A. I initially described it as possibly stepping down a row. It seemed that exaggerated to me. I didn't know whether he had stepped down a row or not, but it was an exaggerated step in the manner that led me to believe he possibly could have stepped down a row.

- Q. Did you see any change in his height at all?
- A. No, because of my vantage point being lower, it was hard -- I don't recall seeing any changes.
- Q. Could you tell if he was standing straight up or bent over?
 - A. He was standing straight up at the time.
 - O. At that time?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And what's the next thing that you observed?
 - A. When he steps down, then he started walking out towards the aisle.
 - Q. And what did you do?
 - A. As I came up, I was watching him. And when I observed that he started to slump over, I went up the aisle and met him partially at the aisle. I grabbed ahold of him. I actually ordered somebody else in the row to assist me with laying him down, which somebody did get behind him and helped him and we lowered him onto the ground on his back.

- Q. At that point when you saw Mr. Oulson coming down the row, had you put two and two together?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. And what was your belief at that time?
- A. I knew at that point -- because once he stepped back I was able to see that my father was, in fact, behind him. Once I heard my father's voice and then I heard that, I was able to put two and two together in that aspect. When he was coming out, I figured he was shot. He started to slump over, at which time I assisted him to the ground and I immediately started to check his body. I lifted his shirt up to see where the gunshot wound was.
 - Q. Now, when -- where were you when you came into contact with Mr. Oulson?
 - A. I would have been essentially right up in this area here. Somewhere in -- in this area.
 - Q. So you're indicating the second row down from the top; is that right?
 - A. I can't recall if I was in the second or the third row initially. Because like I had said, I didn't recall if he had taken a step down a row or not, but I was in either the second row down or the third.
 - Q. And as Mr. Oulson comes down the row, you described you catch him?

A. He was slumping over and I didn't want him to collapse onto the floor, into the seat, so I was -- kind of caught him and then lowered him backwards onto his back.

- Q. Okay. You could have a seat, please.
- A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. Now, tell me what you did? Did you grab Mr. Oulson by his arms or what did you do?
- A. I believe I grabbed him by his wrist, essentially, and I -- when I ordered the other gentleman to grab ahold him, he assisted with his back so that he didn't just fall backwards, and we laid him on his back.
- Q. Where was Mr. Oulson when he was laying on his back?
 - A. In the aisle.
 - Q. When you say "aisle" are you talking about the row or is he actually over where the stairs are?
- A. He is where the seats are where it is very narrow. Where the seats fold up. A row, essentially.
 - Q. So he's in-between the seats?
- 21 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And do you know how far down he is into that row?
- 24 A. I don't recall.
- 25 Q. So now you have some aid in laying Mr. Oulson

1 down. What's the next thing that you do?

A. I initially lifted his shirt up to check his body. So I slid his shirt -- I don't recall what he had on exactly, but I slid his shirt up so I can see his body to see where he was shot.

- Q. Were you able to see what appeared to be a bullet wound?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

- Q. What did you do?
- A. I used the same clothing that I pushed upwards that I had kind of bunched up in my hand to place over the wound to put direct pressure on the wound.
- Q. Now, aside from hearing your father's excited fearful voice, do you hear your father say anything at all after the shot?
- 16 A. No, sir.
 - Q. And certainly by then you're in pretty close proximity; is that fair to say?
- 19 A. I don't remember how close, but I can see him, 20 yes.
 - Q. Okay. You could see your father?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you see your father making any motions or doing anything?
- 25 A. No, sir, just holding his face.

1 Q. I'm sorry?

- A. Just holding his face.
- Q. Describe what you saw.
- A. His glasses were sitting out of line with his eyes and he was holding one side of his face.
- Q. So now you're with Mr. Oulson. You're applying pressure. Did you see your mother?
 - A. Not at that point, no, sir.
 - Q. What happens next?
- A. I was talking with -- I was basically talking to Mr. Oulson at the time telling him he was going to be okay, telling him to just keep looking at me, keep breathing. Talking to him there. Somebody, at some point, handed me either a shirt or something from behind me at which time I placed that over the wound and continued to put direct pressure on it.

At some point, I don't know what the time frame was, somebody stated there was a nurse there at which time as the person replaced me, I explained to them where the wound was and to keep pressure on the wound.

- Q. And once the nurse took over, where did you go?
- A. I initially backed out of the row. I had moved up to the top row and walked down that row and was essentially -- I was asking my dad where the firearm was so I could secure.

1 Q. Okay. And what, if anything, happened next?

- A. My father stated to me that a deputy -- that he said -- I believe he said he's a deputy, he had it, and pointed to the off-duty deputy that was standing next to my father.
- Q. So when you got there, somebody else already had the gun?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you see your mother at that point?
- 10 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- 11 Q. Where was she seated?
- 12 A. I believe she was a few seats away from my 13 father.
- Q. So she wasn't right next to your father, is what you're describing?
 - A. I don't recall. I don't think she was.
 - Q. And what was your mother's emotional state at this time?
 - A. She appeared to be in shock.
- Q. And why do you say that? Describe for us what you mean by that?
 - A. My mother is not good with confrontation -MR. MARTIN: Judge, I'm going to object. The
 feelings of his mom after the fact is not relevant
 to any determination at this immunity hearing

1 regarding Mr. Reeves and his thought process prior 2 to the shooting. 3 MR. MICHAELS: Well, Judge, it is relevant in terms of her emotional state. She made a statement. 4 5 Certainly she's -- she's an excited utterance. 6 She's under the affect of the shooting that she 7 witnessed. Certainly, if she's in shock and she 8 says, I don't remember anything, I didn't see it, 9 certainly it is relevant for those purposes. So 10 I -- it is relevant. 11 MR. MARTIN: Mrs. Reeves could take the stand. 12 Whether or not this is, in fact, an excited 13 utterance, I'll take an exception with, but right 14 now the way the question is, is how does your mother 15 That's not even close to laying a predicate feel? 16 for an excited utterance. 17 MR. MICHAELS: I asked him what her emotional 18 state was, Judge. I didn't ask how she felt. 19 THE COURT: You can -- I'm going to overrule it 20 as to the extent to what he observed. 21 BY MR. MICHAELS: 22 Q. Your mother was sitting there?

23 A. Yes.

- Q. And did she say anything?
- 25 A. No, sir.

Q. Was she crying?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. She was extremely upset at that point. I can't recall if she was crying at that point or not.
 - Q. Were her hands shaking?
 - A. She was shaking, yes.
 - Q. Did she say anything to you?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Now, you made sure that, number one, you've already helped Mr. Oulson the best that you can. Now you've gone down the row and you made sure the firearm was secure. Now you're with your mother. What's the very next thing that you do?
- A. I essentially -- I had a lot of blood on myself and on my hands. At that point, I did the check for the firearm. I explained to them I would be right back. I was going outside to the kitchen to wash that off and to let them know to contact 911 and to give them a little bit of information to management.
- Q. Now, when you came back after washing yourself off, did you have contact again with your mother?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When you came back, where was your father?
- A. He was still seated in the same position.
 - Q. What did you do when you came back?
 - A. I immediately wanted to remove my mother from

1 the scene.

- Q. What do you mean by that? Where did you take her?
 - A. We went out to the lobby area.
- Q. Now, when you went out to the lobby, were there other people in the lobby area?
 - A. People were coming out of the theater into the lobby, yes.
- Q. Now, the lobby area, does it have tables or how is that -- what area did you go to?
 - A. There are tables.
- Q. So did you see individuals congregating at those tables?
- A. Initially, no.
- Q. Okay. And at some point, do they come out of the theater and congregate?
- A. Yes. Me and my mother had sat at one of the tables and nobody else was sitting there at the time.

 After the officers all started arriving, it concerned me that they were handing out what I know to be --
 - MR. MARTIN: Excuse me, Judge. I object, as far as what concerned him about what was occurring at that time. Mr. Reeves, at that point, is an off-duty police officer. He's not conducting an investigation.

1 MR. MICHAELS: Judge, I haven't heard a legal 2 objection yet. 3 MR. MARTIN: So it is not relevant as to what 4 he thought was going on at the time. 5 THE COURT: Response. 6 MR. MICHAELS: Judge, I can change the question 7 and get the same answer. So, I mean, we can do it 8 that way, that's fine. I will just change the 9 question. THE COURT: Okay. 10 11 BY MR. MICHAELS: 12 So once you went to the lobby area, did you Q. 13 observe any patrons that were within the theater that had 14 come out? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And did you see any sort of witness forms being Q. 17 handed out to those individuals? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Did you hear any of the police officers Q. 20 instruct any of those individuals not to talk to each 21 other when they filled out those forms? 22 Α. No, sir. 23 In fact, did you hear individuals talking about Q. 24 what they thought had happened in the theater? 25 Yes, sir. Α.

- Q. Did you hear any specific comments?
- A. They kept making the statement of he was shot in --
 - MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, again, I'm going to object on the grounds of hearsay.
 - MR. MICHAELS: Judge, it's not offered for the truth of the matter.

MR. MARTIN: Well, if it's not offered for the truth of the matter, it has to be for some relevant purpose, and right now there is no relevant purpose.

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, the relevant purpose is that it has to do with witness contamination. We're going to have witnesses from within the theater come. And I think it certainly goes towards coloring their testimony as being truthful, untruthful, or to impeach them because it's an indication that that might not be their thought. That they were influenced by other statements.

MR. MARTIN: The problem, Judge, is the lack of specificity with the witnesses. There's numerous, numerous, numerous pages of -- it could have only been two and five or six other patrons come in. We can't carte blanche cover the entire patrons with Mr. Reeves' statement about, Yes, I heard statements. We don't know who it was. Without

specificity, it is not relevant.

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, that may be the old, one bad apple doesn't spoil the whole barrel. The problem we're dealing with is witness contamination and one bad apple does spoil the whole barrel.

THE COURT: I'll overrule that.

BY MR. MICHAELS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. So you heard somebody say what?
- A. Two people sat at our table with forms and started filling them out and were discussing it. I removed my mom from that situation.
 - Q. Okay. Where did you go?
- A. When we initially got up, we moved to the front of the -- the front center of the -- what you would call the food court area to the front counter area just because I wanted to move her away from everybody that was discussing what was going on.
- Q. Was your mother still in the same emotional state that you observed when she was in the theater still shaking?
 - A. She was shaking and crying.
- Q. At some point, did you have contact with officers?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. In the theater?

- 1 A. Not inside.
- Q. Not in the theater itself. Not in Theater 10, but in the theater; in other words?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Still within the building?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.

8

9

10

11

- 7 Q. Tell me about that.
 - A. Initially, at one point, I identified myself as off-duty law enforcement and I stated that the subject involved was disarmed and that there was an off-duty officer in there that had the firearm in his possession.
- Q. Okay. And were you still with your mom at that point?
- A. Yes. That was when I was walking out with her out of the theater.
 - Q. And was she still shaken at that point?
- 17 A. Absolutely.
- 18 Q. Now, at some point, do police ask to interview 19 your mother?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And is she still shaken when they go with her?
- 22 A. We were all very shaken, yes, sir.
- Q. Did she go with the police?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did you go with her?

- A. Not during her interview, no, sir.
 - Q. And did you give an interview?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

6

7

8

9

12

- Q. Now, to the best of your knowledge, was that interview recorded?
 - A. I did not know.
 - Q. Okay. Tell me about any diagram or anything else that you might have done for the police at that point?
- 10 A. I did draw a diagram when I was explaining that
 11 I thought he had taken an exaggerated step down.
 - Q. And you did that for the individual who interviewed you?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Do you know who that was?
- 16 A. I believe a Detective Aaron Smith. The first 17 name was Aaron.
- MR. MICHAELS: Could I have a moment, Judge?
- 19 THE COURT: You may.
- 20 MR. MICHAELS: I don't have anything else.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 THE COURT: Cross?
- MR. MARTIN: Sure. Judge, may I go ahead and
- 24 have these premarked from the clerk?
- 25 MR. MICHAELS: I'm going to object to any line

of questioning regarding that blue with white item he has in his hand. It is beyond the scope of direct, number one.

And number two, it's not relevant. But definitely beyond the scope of direct at this point.

THE COURT: Response?

MR. MARTIN: He went through his background that he is, in fact, a police officer, that he's on patrol, that he's on the bomb squad. Lo and behold, they didn't ask before going on the bomb squad that he was a firearm instructor for three years.

So I have a right to go into his entire police background because they opened the door. In fact, they left out some of the most important parts of his background. So since they opened the door with his background, I have a right to explore that for three years he was, in fact, a firearm instructor.

And I believe I then have a right to ask him about, as an instructor, exactly what the qualifications are and how you become an instructor, because what you're going to hear over and over again, even in the defendant's statement, is, My hands are so messed up I can't even believe I pulled the trigger.

When, in fact, you're going to hear over and

over again that's what he does as a hobby. So I think it is relevant because the Court remembers that relevancy under 402 is any tendency to prove a material issue in fact. And those statements and the credibility of Mr. Reeves is, in fact, at play.

So I have a right -- just like I did with Ms. Shaw about the use of the shotgun, I have the same right to go through that with Mr. Reeves, because they opened the door that he is a police officer and they left out what he did as a police officer.

THE COURT: Response?

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, if I may. Your Honor, our presentation of Mr. Reeves is our prerogative when we present what evidence that we intend to elicit at that point in time. We may bring him back another time. In fact, most probably we're going to bring him back another time.

The aspect of the testimony that he wants right now is to go into his qualifications as a firearm instructor. That hasn't even been discussed in direct. It has not been discussed.

There is a target that I think that they intend to show him and to talk to him about. That hasn't been discussed. They don't get to bring in new

evidence or new testimony on their cross that hasn't been discussed in direct. I mean, that's as basic as it comes.

If he wants to, at some point in time, he has Mr. Matthews -- Mr. Reeves under subpoena. If he wants to bring Mr. Reeves back on his side, he can do so. But at this point in time, we have limited our direct in a certain way for our presentation -- for our flow of the presentation. He can do whatever he wants during his case-in-chief. It's totally inappropriate, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Martin, any further argument?

MR. MARTIN: No, Judge. It's about the lunch
hour, so I'll let you decide and we'll go with
whatever you decide.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MARTIN: If I need to bring him back, I can bring him back, or the Court can let me take Mr.

Reeves out of order right now as my witness or you could let me cross him, or we can go to lunch and you can think about it. It's all up to you, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, there's no question that to some extent it is outside the scope, but the witness's qualifications were discussed and his training and stuff, background information -- I

shouldn't say qualifications.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So to the extent that there's more background, I think that's fair game and relevant to a limited extent. I don't know what the exhibit is that we're getting into, but that seems to be getting a little far afield.

MR. MARTIN: If I could just address that real quick because you did allow the testimony of Ms. Shaw. And you recall the testimony about his fingers and that he gets this trigger finger and they, you know, get hooked or whatever she said.

You allowed the -- the -- this information about the physical impairments of Mr. Reeves to come out. Now, the State has a right to rebut that.

Now, I plan to do it through Mr. Matt Reeves, his son, just like I did in the deposition. exactly what he's going to say. I'm going to go through the entire qualification. I'm going to go through the entire course.

In fact, Mr. Reeves qualified twice in the same day shooting over 80 shots back to back with these fingers that are so messed up that he can't even hold a cereal spoon. I should be allowed to go into that.

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, he can go into that. And

it's true that Mr. Reeves did qualify and that Mr. Reeves actually has not only shot all those rounds, but he's pretty good at shooting those particular rounds. He's been excellent.

In fact, I think Mr. Reeves will tell you that his father is better than he is in actually shooting the gun.

That has nothing to do with whether or not you can exceed the bounds of direct examination. This is not you just throw whatever you want up against the wall and you allow it. We did this for a particular reason. The reason we didn't ask him about that is because we didn't want to open the door. We didn't ask him whether he was a firearms instructor because we didn't want to open the door.

It's the proper procedures that we have to employ in this Court in order to make sure that the evidence is flowing smoothly. For him now to get up and start into another line of questioning concerning a firearms instructor and all of that is ludicrous.

THE COURT: All right. And you're absolutely correct as far as that. It sounds like we're going to get far field from just the background stuff.

And since there's an objection, I'm going to honor

that and sustain. And Mr. Martin is free, of
course, to recall Mr. Reeves in his case and we will
go that route.

MR. ESCOBAR: And Judge, if Mr. Martin is

MR. ESCOBAR: And Judge, if Mr. Martin is worried, trust me, we're going to be bringing him back and Mr. Reeves is going to be testifying about him shooting his weapon, how often he shoots his weapon, and how well he shoots his weapon. That is not an issue in this case.

MR. MARTIN: How well he shoots, I don't care.

It's his ability to do it. Having said that, Judge,

I understand your ruling. I have no cross at this

point.

I have Mr. Reeves under subpoena. I'm asking the Court to inform Mr. Reeves that he's still under my subpoena and he's subject to my call, and I will call him in my case -- I don't want to call it case-in-chief because it's really not, but I know I'm use to saying that.

So I don't have any cross right now. I will call back him and I will handle him in a direct examination fashion.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Martin. You're done, then, since there's no cross.

MR. ESCOBAR: And we're going to reserve,

1 because we're probably going to be calling him back. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Matthew Reeves, just 3 what the lawyers said. You're still under subpoena. 4 You will be subject to recall. 5 Is he able to leave the courthouse today? 6 MR. ESCOBAR: He is, Your Honor, because we 7 won't be calling him back until probably Wednesday. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Just have your phone on and return any phone calls, and you're still under 9 10 Thank you. subpoena. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you ma'am. 12 THE COURT: Counsel, it's a good time for a 13 lunch break. How are we doing on witness times 14 and... 15 MR. ESCOBAR: Dr. Foley is here. We'll have 16 lunch and he'll be first on. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Do we need longer than an 18 hour, an hour and 20 minutes? We can go to 1:30. 19 MR. ESCOBAR: That would be great, Judge. 20 THE COURT: All right. Let's go --21 MR. ESCOBAR: Just to give the Court the 22 lineup, we're going to have Dr. Foley. We expect 23 him to be on the stand between direct and cross 24 maybe an hour, hour-and-a-half, two hours. We then 25 are going to have Dr. Cohen, which I think is going

1 to be a little bit longer. 2 And then we have Gino Sassani, who is our last 3 witness for the day, and he's going to be short. 4 He's going to be the preview authenticator. 5 MR. GARCIA: Judge, and as -- excuse me -- as 6 Mr. Sassani goes, I'd like to have an opportunity to 7 speak to him before he testifies. I know his 8 testimony is going to be very limited, but I would 9 at least like to have an opportunity to speak to 10 him. 11 MR. ESCOBAR: We'll make him available, Your 12 Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. ESCOBAR: In fact, there's a phone --15 there's a phone number that you have and you can 16 call him even now before if you'd like. 17 MR. GARCIA: Okay. 18 THE COURT: All right, then. We will stand in 19 recess until 1:30. 20 Any other matters that we need to address 21 before we go on recess? All right. We'll be in 22 recess until 1:30. Thank you. 23 THE CLERK: All rise. 24 (Lunch recess taken.) 25