IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY CASE NO. CRC14-0216CFAES

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VOLUME III

CURTIS J. REEVES,

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS: Stand Your Ground Motion

DATE:

February 21, 2017

BEFORE:

The Honorable Susan Barthle

Circuit Court Judge

PLACE:

Robert D. Sumner Judicial Center

38053 Live Oak Avenue Dade City, Florida 33523

REPORTED BY:

Charlene M. Eannel, RPR

Court Reporter PAGES 252 - 372

VERBATIM PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS, INC. 601 Cleveland Street, Suite 380 Clearwater, Florida 33765 (727)442-7288

```
1
     A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
 2
 3
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
     THE STATE OF FLORIDA:
 4
 5
     Glenn Martin, Assistant State Attorney
     Office of Bernie McCabe, State Attorney
 6
     Pinellas County Judicial Center
     14250-49th Street North
 7
     Clearwater, Florida 33762
 8
     Manny Garcia, Assistant State Attorney
     Stacy Sumner, Assistant State Attorney
 9
     Robert D. Sumner Judicial Center
     38053 Live Oak Avenue
10
     Dade City, Florida 33523
11
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
12
     THE DEFENDANT:
                     CURTIS REEVES
13
     Richard Escobar, Esquire
     Dino Michaels, Esquire
14
     Rupak Shah, Esquire
     ESCOBAR & ASSOCIATES
15
     2917 W. Kennedy Blvd.
     Suite 100
16
     Tampa, Florida
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	
2	WITNESSES FOR DEFENSE	
3	JOANNA TURNER	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Escobar Cross-Examination by Ms. Sumner	256 283
5	Redirect Examination by Mr. Escobar	295
6	BRUCE KEONIG Direct Examination by Mr. Escobar	303
	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Martin	333
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
-		

1		IN	DEX OF	F EXHIBITS		
2	EXHIBIT	# DE	SCRIPT	TION	PAGE	E REC'D
3						
4	7	Th	eater	Photos Thumb	Drive	301
5	8	Se	agate	Hard Drive		363
6	9	Se	agate	Hard Drive		365
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S				
2	THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.				
3	MR. MARTIN: Good morning, Your Honor.				
4	MR. ESCOBAR: Good morning, Judge.				
5	THE COURT: Are there any matters we need to				
6	address today before we get started?				
7	MR. ESCOBAR: None from Defense, Your Honor.				
8	THE COURT: State?				
9	MR. MARTIN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.				
10	THE COURT: Very good. All right. Then are we				
11	ready to proceed with our witnesses?				
12	MR. ESCOBAR: We are, Your Honor. The Defense				
13	would call Joanna Turner.				
14	THE BAILIFF: Step this way, stand right here.				
15	Face the clerk, raise your right hand to be sworn.				
16	(Thereupon, the witness was duly sworn on oath.)				
17	THE BAILIFF: Come have a seat up here. Adjust				
18	the mic. Speak in a loud and clear voice for the				
19	Court.				
20	THE COURT: You may proceed, Counselor.				
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
22	BY MR. ESCOBAR:				
23	Q. Good morning, Ms. Turner.				
24	A. Good morning.				
25	Q. Ms. Turner, would you please state your full				

1 name for the record? 2 Α. Joanna Turner. 3 Q. And where do you reside, not your physical address, but your county and state, please? 4 5 Α. Pasco County. 6 0. Okay. And how long have you resided here in 7 Pasco County? 8 Α. About seven, eight years. Okay. Are you employed, or are you retired? 9 **Q**. 10 I'm retired. Α. 11 Okay. And do you have an idea of when it was Q. 12 that you retired? 13 Α. About 2005. 14 Q. 2005? And what was your occupation prior to 15 your retirement, Ms. Turner? 16 I was in banking --Α. 17 Ο. Okay. 18 -- and some traveling industry. Α. 19 What did do you in banking? Q. 20 I started out as a head teller. Α. 21 Q. Okay. 22 Α. And then I managed a bank; I was a bank 23 manager.

time. And then you also worked you said for the

You were the bank manager at some point in

24

25

Q.

1 | airlines?

3

6

7

8

- 2 A. Yes.
 - Q. And what airlines did you work for?
- A. I work for United and I also worked with Connair.
 - Q. Would you please tell the Court what positions you held there at the airline.
 - A. I was a reservation rep.
- 9 Q. A rep?
- 10 | A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. How long did you work for the airline?
- 12 A. A couple of years.
- Q. I'm going to direct your attention, Ms. Turner, to January the 13th of 2014, and I'm going to ask you to please reflect back on that particular day, specifically that mid afternoon.
- What were you and your husband doing on January the 13th of 2014?
- 19 A. We went to a movie in the Cobb Theater.
- Q. And could you please tell the Court what movie you went to go see at the Cobb Theater.
 - A. Lone Survivor.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell the Court about what time you arrived there at the movie theater?
- 25 A. I was there at 1:15.

Q. Okay. When you arrived at the theater, did you go and buy some condiments and popcorn and what have you?

- A. Yes. We had popcorn and a large drink.
- Q. And did you then proceed to the theater itself?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Was that Theater 10?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. When you walked in to the theater, were the previews already playing?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And so what was the lighting conditions?

 Please describe to the Court what the lighting conditions

 were as you were walking into the theater.
- 14 A. Dim.
- Q. Okay. The lighting source that you were getting in that theater, was that from the lights down below?
- 18 A. A little bit.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so were you able to walk --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- to your particular seat?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you please tell the Court what seat you selected -- you and your husband selected that day.
 - A. We were sitting in the back row toward the

1 aisle. 2 Did you select that seat for a particular Q. 3 reason? 4 Α. Yes. 5 0. Okay. What was the reason that you selected 6 that seat? 7 My husband's disability -- disabled so Α. 8 sometimes he needs to go to the restroom so we always sit 9 on the aisle seat. 10 So by sitting on the aisle seats, it's more 11 convenient for him to just step out and walk out? 12 Α. Yes. 13 MR. ESCOBAR: Mr. Shah, can we have -- let's have -- just for purposes of the record, this is 14 15 JPEG No. 2060786. 16 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 17 Ms. Turner, I'd like to you take a look at this 0. 18 particular exhibit, and for purposes of the record, the 19 thumb drive exhibit is going to be Defense Exhibit Number 20 1 -- excuse me -- Number 9. 21 I want you to take a look at that exhibit and 22 see if you recognize the theater setting in that exhibit. 23 I'm going to show you a few more as well.

A. Yes.

24

25

Q. Okay. Let me also show you 2081418. Do you

1 | recognize that exhibit as well?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. And are -- were both of those exhibits the Cobb Theater, specifically Theater 10, that you attended or that you sat in on January the 13th of 2014?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let's go now to JPEG 2060543. Do you recognize that particular exhibit?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And is that the row of the Cobb Theater that you sat in on January the 13th of 2014?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, may I ask the witness to step down?
- THE COURT: You may.
- 17 BY MR. ESCOBAR:
- Q. Ms. Turner, if you can step down and please
 come over to this particular monitor here. I would like
 for you to point to the seat, first of all, that your
 husband was seated in.
- 22 A. (Indicating.)
- Q. And then I'd like you to point to the seat that you were seated in.
- 25 A. (Indicating.)

Q. So for purposes of the record, you first
pointed to the seat that is immediately adjacent to the
aisle of the last row; is that correct?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

8

- Q. And then you were one seat in from your husband; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. You may take your seat.
- A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. Okay. So you went into the theater, you sat down in that fashion. Did you start watching the previews?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what was the sound like in that theater while you were watching the previews?
- 16 A. It was loud.
- Q. And were you focused on watching the previews?

 Were you paying attention to the previews?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. When you walked into that theater, was anybody standing in the theater?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Everybody was seated?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And so as a result, you sat down as well?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

- Q. Now, you're there at the movie theater and you're watching the previews. The previews, you said, are loud. Please tell the Court what happens next.
- A. Then I suddenly see a man standing up so I was looking at him. And he stood up, sort of turned to his left towards -- to the back row.
- Q. Okay. So let's -- let's stop right there.We're going to analyze that a little bit.
- So this man that stood up, was he a small man or was he a tall man?
- 12 A. He was a tall man.
- Q. Okay. And you said that when he stood up, he turned and faced the back row?
- 15 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And that back row, would that be this row right here?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Facing the back row?
- 20 A. The row in front of me -- the row behind -- in 21 front of me.
- Q. Okay. He was standing in this row right here?
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And he was facing this row right here?
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

1 Q. Okay. 2 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, if I may have a 3 second? BY MR. ESCOBAR: 4 5 Okay. So you're saying this gentleman now 6 stood up, this tall gentleman, and he is faced, now, 7 towards the back of the row; is that correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 **Q**. Okay. What is this back here? What is that? 10 Α. That's a wall. 11 It's a wall. And it is directly behind the Q. 12 seats --13 MS. SUMNER: Objection, leading. BY MR. ESCOBAR: 14 15 Is it directly behind the seats in that last 16 row, that wall? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Okay. So what is this gentleman doing now that 0. 19 he's turned around and he is facing that back row? 20 I see him was talking and then he was holding 21 some kind of mug or thermos. 22 Ο. And what color was that mug or thermos? 23 It was a dark, dark color. Α. 24 So you didn't know whether it was a cup, Q. Okay.

you didn't no whether it was a thermos, but you remember

it being dark? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. And would you show the Court -- if you 4 can, show the Court what this gentleman was doing with 5 that dark object. 6 Α. He was doing a real quick motion of throwing. 7 0. Okay. What I'd like you --8 MR. ESCOBAR: May I ask the witness to stand 9 up? 10 THE COURT: She may. 11 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 12 If you can please stand up. Q. 13 Α. (Witness complies.) 14 And I'd like you to step right over here if you Q. 15 can. And I'd like you to show the Court what you have 16 just described as that quick throwing motion. 17 (Indicating.) Α. 18 Okay. Go ahead. Where were you focused now 0. 19 after that throwing motion? 20 I started watching the preview again. Α. 21 Okay. So now you're back to watching the 0. 22 preview? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And now are you attentive to the preview at Q.

this point in time, paying attention to the preview?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. Okay. How much time lapses now between that throwing motion, then you sitting and watching the preview again and the next activity?
 - A. I would say four to six seconds.
- Q. Okay. And so what causes you to change your attention from the previews to something else?
 - A. I hear a loud voice.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. Saying --
- 11 Q. And -- and do you know where that loud voice is 12 coming from?
- 13 A. To my left, yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And where to your left?
- 15 A. On my left.
- Q. Okay. Could you tell who was speaking in a loud voice?
- 18 A. I didn't until I looked at him --
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. -- and it's the same gentleman.
- 21 Q. What same gentleman?
- 22 A. The gentleman that stand up.
- Q. The gentleman that stand up. And so you're talking about the gentleman that stood up in this particular row here, the second row down from the wall?

1 A. Yes.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Is that correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
 - Q. And is that where that same gentleman did the throwing motion that you were talking about?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So the sequence of events, then, is you see the throwing motion with a dark object, you're looking at the previews again for four or five seconds, and then you hear the loud voice again. You turn around and the gentleman was still standing where he was standing when you saw him doing the throwing motion.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What did you do now?
 - A. I was watching the gentleman and all of a sudden my eye was moved to Mr. Reeves.
 - Q. Before we go to Mr. Reeves and you said your eye was moved over on Mr. Reeves, what did you hear this tall gentleman with a loud voice saying?
 - A. "I'm texting my daughter."
 - Q. Okay. Do you hear him saying anything else?
 - A. I think I heard, "If you don't mind."
 - Q. Okay. Anything else?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. So now what do you do?

- A. Now, I sees Mr. Reeves. The way he was sitting was very funny.
 - Q. Okay. So let's try to describe that. Let's picture yourself being Mr. Reeves, picture yourself in that -- being in the seat that Mr. Reeves was seated in. Would you please show the Court what you remember as you looked over to Mr. Reeves? How he was sitting at that point in time funny?
 - A. (Indicating.)
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

- A. Leaning to his left.
- Q. Okay. And that would have been -- that observation by you would have been after you saw this tall gentleman with a dark object in his hand with a throwing action?
 - MS. SUMNER: Objection, asked and answered.
- 17 THE COURT: I'll overrule it for now.
- 18 BY MR. ESCOBAR:
 - Q. That was after the throwing of the -- or the dark object in the hand with a throwing motion, and that was after the loud voice that you heard, "I'm texting my daughter" --
 - A. That was --
- 24 Q. -- that you looked over?
- 25 A. That was before.

- 1 Q. What was before?
- 2 A. The throwing action.
 - Q. Yes. That's what I'm saying. Let's try to take the sequence of events again.
 - A. Okay.

3

4

5

6

8

- Q. Dark object in the hand, throwing motion --
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. -- correct? Then you start looking at the previews four to five seconds, correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Then you hear the tall gentleman with a loud voice, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Saying, "I'm texting my daughter"?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And then you look over to your row where Mr.

 Reeves was at, and you're looking at Mr. Reeves; is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And you see Mr. Reeves seated now kind of sideways?
- MS. SUMNER: Judge, I'm going to object to the characterization that Mr. Escobar is showing.
- That's not the testimony that Mrs. Turner gave.
- 25 He's leaning to the right. She's saying he's

1 leaning to the left. 2 MR. ESCOBAR: It's to the left. If I'm sitting 3 here, I'm the other way. BY MR. ESCOBAR: 4 5 0. Go ahead. I want you to show the Court. 6 Α. (Indicating.) 7 0. Leaning to Mr. Reeves' left? 8 Α. Left. 9 **Q**. Left. So are you watching him? 10 Yes. Α. 11 Q. Okay. Up until this point in time, had you 12 heard Mr. Reeves say anything? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. So what's happening now? 15 After that, I see popcorn flying over and I see 16 popcorn landing on Mr. Reeves' stomach, and very quickly 17 I see the ring of fire. 18 How much time lapsed before you first looked at Q. 19 Mr. Reeves and you saw him leaning to his left and the 20 time that you first saw the popcorn -- how much time? 21 Α. Two seconds. 22 Q. Okay. And so what happens after you see the

A. After I saw the popcorn laying on his stomach, that's when the fire started.

23

24

25

popcorn?

- 1 Q. You mean the fire from the weapon?
- A. I didn't see the weapon but I saw the ring of fire.
 - Q. Okay. So now what do you do?
- A. Now, I look at Mr. Reeves. He put his gun down on his lap.
 - Q. Okay.

4

- A. Then he was holding his head with two hands.
- 9 Q. Please show the Court what you saw Mr. Reeves
 10 doing after he placed the firearm on his lap.
- 11 A. (Indicating.)
- Q. Do you see Mr. Reeves do anything else at that point?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. So what was your focus now?
- A. Then I looked at Mr. Oulson. He was still standing. Then he took two steps to his left like he's going to exit, then he collapsed.
- Q. Okay. What did you do at this point, Ms.
- 20 | Turner?
- 21 A. I was still in my seat.
- 22 Q. At some point in time, did you get up?
- 23 A. Yes, after I see his gun is being possessed.
- Q. Meaning after you see someone retrieving the gun that Mr. Reeves possessed?

1 A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

21

22

- Q. Explain to the Court what you saw in that process.
 - A. After Mr. Oulson collapsed, I see a gentleman come up to Mr. Reeves, and I saw a gesture of, like, a badge and a give-me-the-gun suggestion.
 - Q. And did the gentleman reach to Mr. Reeves' lap and retrieve the gun?
 - A. I didn't really see that clear.
 - Q. Okay. Now what did you do?
 - A. Then I stand up, start standing on the aisle.
- Q. Well, let's stop there for a second. Up until
 that point in time, are you and your husband still
 seated?
- 15 A. Up until what time?
 - Q. Up until the time that you see the deputy bringing -- well, let me give you even further.

Up until the time that you see the ring of
fire, were you and your husband still seated in the same
place that you earlier testified?

- A. Yes, we are.
- Q. You're not standing up?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Your husband's not standing up?
- 25 A. No.

Q. So now what do you do? You've -- you're going to get up, you're up.

A. Uh-huh.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

- Q. What do you do?
- A. I start walking down the stairs.
 - Q. Okay. And what were you going to go do?
 - A. Just -- just kind of get away from the thing.
- Q. Okay. And so how far down the stairs did you get in that theater?
- A. I was on the bottom of the stairs.
- Q. Okay. And let me -- let me take us to 2081418

 12 JPEG, still in Exhibit Number 9. Okay.
- MR. ESCOBAR: So may I ask the witness to step down?
- 15 THE COURT: You may.
- 16 BY MR. ESCOBAR:
 - Q. Ms. Turner, please. Please show the Court on this particular photo where it is that you left your seat and were you went.
- 20 A. I went right here.
- 21 Q. So you were somewhere in this particular area?
- 22 A. Right. There's a platform.
- Q. There's a platform. This yellow bar is a dividing area in the general section. You're saying there's a platform there?

1 A. Uh-huh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And that's where you stood?
- A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Okay. Please. So now, what did you do then?
 - A. Well, I was waiting for my husband, and then I see this woman coming down the stairs.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And then I saw her hand was bloody.
- Q. Okay.
- 10 A. So I was asking her if she hurt and she told me
 11 she thinks she got her finger shot off.
 - Q. What did you do for that young lady?
 - A. Well, at that time I only had nap- -- popcorn napkin on my hands so I just offered that to her.
 - Q. Okay. And did she take that?
- 16 A. Yes, she did.
 - Q. Where did you go from there?
 - A. After that, the theater attendants was asking people to go to the exit, opening the doors so the ambulance and the policemen can notify which door -- in which theater it is. So me and another gentleman, we opened the exit door. Then I was waiting out there.
 - Q. Okay. You were assisting in an effort for the ambulance to get through the back door?
 - A. The exit door, yes.

1 Q. The exit door? 2 Α. Uh-huh. 3 And how long were you there in that particular Q. 4 area? 5 Α. A good half an hour. Okay. And did you -- did you see Mr. Reeves 6 7 while you were there in that exit door? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Can you please tell the Court where and how you 10 saw Mr. Reeves while you were there at that exit door. 11 I saw Mr. Reeves was handcuffed, and then 12 there's policemen around him to escort him to the police 13 car. 14 Did you see Mr. Reeves offer any resistance 0. 15 whatsoever? 16 Α. No. 17 And what did they do with Mr. Reeves once they 18 took him out that back door? 19 MS. SUMNER: Objection. Relevance, Judge. 20 THE COURT: I'll allow it to the extent that 21 she knows. 22 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 23 What did they do with Mr. Reeves when they took Q.

They put him in the back of the police car.

24

25

him out the door?

Α.

```
1
               Did he offer any resistance there?
          Q.
 2
          Α.
               No.
 3
          Q.
               Now, you were there at that back door for how
     long?
 4
 5
               I would guess 30 minutes.
          Α.
 6
          0.
               And would you tell me where you went from that
 7
     point?
 8
               I went inside.
          Α.
 9
               And why did you go inside?
          Q.
10
               I was looking for my husband.
          Α.
11
               Did you find him?
          Q.
12
               I saw him, yes.
          Α.
13
               Where was he?
          Q.
               He was down on the other end.
14
          Α.
15
          Q.
               Down on the other end?
16
          Α.
               Opposite end.
17
               MR. ESCOBAR: May I ask her to step down?
18
               THE COURT:
                           Sure.
19
     BY MR. ESCOBAR:
20
               Ms. Turner. I hate to keep asking you to step
21
     down, but it's probably better than you just standing up
22
     here, right?
23
               Please show the Court where your husband was
```

It was somewhere in this area.

and where you went to in the theater?

24

25

Α.

```
1 Q. Somewhere in this area here?
```

- 2 A. This platform here.
- 3 Q. This platform here?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And was he alone, or was he with other people?
- 7 A. There was a lot of people around.
- 8 Q. Okay. Please take a seat.

Those people that were talking about that were around, were those patrons that had been there at the theater?

- 12 A. And also law enforcement.
- 13 | O. And also law enforcement?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you're saying a group of people were there with now you and your husband; is that correct?
- 17 A. This is still at the theater?
- Q. Right there in the congregation that we just talked about.
 - A. Yeah, there's a group of people.
- Q. Okay. And you and your husband are there as well?
- 23 A. Yes.

- 24 Q. And are people talking?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Did the police officer that was there in that group tell you, Mrs. Turner and everyone, you cannot talk amongst each other?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

- Q. Did your husband talk to those people?
- A. He talked to somebody; I don't know who it is.
- Q. Now, while you were there, were you given any type of form to fill out?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And were other people in that group also given 11 forms to fill out?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you were given that form, did any police officer tell you you're not to discuss this case with anybody else?
- 16 A. No.
 - Q. Did you hear an officer telling anyone in that group, "Don't discuss what you saw with anybody else"?
 - A. No.
 - Q. You filled out your form?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Your husband filled out his form?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you discuss with your husband this dark
 object that you remember that tall gentleman doing the

throwing motion? 1 2 Α. Yes, I did. 3 As you told the Court today -- you told him what you told the Court today? 4 5 Α. Yeah, after. 6 After your congregation and the signing of the 7 statement? 8 Α. Right. 9 And did you put that throwing motion also in 10 the statement that you wrote there when you were in that 11 congregation? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. And that was a form that was given to you by 14 law enforcement to fill out, correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. And you gave that form back to law enforcement, 17 did you not? 18 Α. Yes. 19 So that they could use it for their Q. 20 investigation, correct? 21 MS. SUMNER: Objection to leading, Judge. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 24 Now, after your period of time there in that Q.

25

area of the theater, were you also interviewed?

1 A. Yes, I was.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And would you please tell the Court when you left -- did you leave that group after a while? How long were you with that group down here at this platform?
 - A. Maybe 10 minutes.
- Q. Okay. After those 10 minutes, where did you go?
- A. They asked us to go to across -- the theater across.
 - Q. Okay. They asked you to go outside Theater 10?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And is there a concession area, tables and what have you, outside of Theater 10?
- 14 A. No, they put us in another theater.
- 15 Q. They put you in another theater. So you went 16 from this theater to another theater?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Never made it to a concession area?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Now, when you went to that other theater, how many people were in that theater?
 - A. I would guess around 20 or less.
- 23 Q. Are people talking?
- 24 A. A little bit.
- Q. And when you went in to that theater or before

1 you went in that theater, did any police officer say, 2 "Mrs. Turner, you're not to discuss your testimony or 3 anything that you saw in the theater now in this new theater"? 4 5 Α. No. 6 While you were in the new theater, at any point 7 in time did any law enforcement tell you or ask you not 8 to discuss what you saw with anybody in that room? 9 MS. SUMNER: Objection, asked and answered, 10 Judge. 11 THE COURT: New theater, a little different. 12 He just asked before you went in MS. SUMNER: 13 there did anybody tell you, then he asked the same 14 question again. 15 THE COURT: While you were in there. I'11 16 overrule that. 17 MR. ESCOBAR: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 19 0. While you were in that theater, did you 20 overhear any police officer tell you or anybody else in 21 there, "Ladies and gentlemen, do not discuss what you saw 22 in that Theater 10 amongst yourself here"? 23 Α. No. 24 And you were in that second theater for how Q.

25

long?

1 A. Maybe 20 minutes or more.

4

7

8

9

10

- Q. Is this where your interview with the detective took place?
 - A. We were waiting for the detective.
- Q. Okay. Where did your interview with the detective take place?
 - A. In the room -- I guess I call it a mop room because they have mops there and they have a table.
 - Q. Okay. They took you to the mop room. Okay.

 Just you and the detective?
- 11 A. I guess cleaning room.
- 12 Q. Okay. Just you and the detective?
- 13 A. Just me and him, yeah.
- Q. And how long or how short was your interview in that room?
 - A. I would say about 10 minutes, maybe.
- Q. Do you know if that detective had the form you had filled out?
- 19 A. I'm sorry, say that again?
- Q. Do you know if that detective had the form that you had filled out?
- 22 A. Yes, he had it.
- 23 Q. He had it right there in front of him?
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. And did that detective ask you about that

1 object, that dark object and the throwing motion? 2 He didn't ask me but I told him. 3 0. Could that interview with that detective -could that have been as short as five minutes? 4 5 Α. I think it was longer than five minutes. 6 Where did you go next? 0. 7 Α. After the interview, we went home. 8 Q. You went home with your husband? 9 Yes. Α. 10 MR. ESCOBAR: No further questions. I tender 11 the witness. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. SUMNER: 15 Q. Good morning, Ms. Turner. 16 Α. Good morning. 17 Now, you didn't notice Mr. Oulson until you saw 0. 18 him stand up; isn't that correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 In fact, when you first saw Mr. Oulson stand 21 up, you thought that he was friends with someone in the 22 back row, didn't you? 23 Α. Yes. 24 You saw Mr. Reeves before you saw Mr. Oulson Q. 25 stand up, right?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

- Q. Did you see him get up out of his seat to go to somewhere down the stairs?
 - A. You mean Mr. Reeves?
- 5 Q. Mr. Reeves, I'm sorry. Yes.
 - A. No. I wasn't there then.
- 7 Q. Okay. Did you see when he returned to his 8 seat?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. How would you describe his demeanor at the time 11 he returned to his seat?
- 12 A. I thought he was kind of rude because he didn't say excuse me.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. So he just kind of walked in front of me trying 16 to get by.
- Q. And when you saw him trying get by, can you describe to the Court how he was doing that.
 - A. Carefully.
- Q. Okay. Didn't step on you?
- 21 A. No, I pulled my feet back.
- Q. Okay. He didn't have any trouble getting past you, did he?
- 24 A. A little bit.
- 25 Q. Because of the width of the row?

```
1
          Α.
               Correct.
 2
          Q.
               Now, let's talk a little bit about the
 3
     lighting.
               Isn't it true that although it was dim, you
 4
 5
     could see the people next to you, right?
 6
          Α.
               Yes.
 7
               You could see five seats away, couldn't you?
          0.
 8
          Α.
               Yes.
 9
          Q.
               And you could see 10 seats away, right?
10
          Α.
               Pretty much.
11
               There was sufficient lighting that you could
          Q.
12
     tell what activities people were doing at the time,
13
     right?
14
          Α.
               Yes.
15
               You didn't have any problems getting up the
          0.
16
     stairs or down the stairs?
17
          Α.
               No.
```

- 18 Q. There was lights among the walkway, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And then there were lights on the side of the theater as well, wasn't there?
 - A. I don't know the sides --
- 23 | Q. Okay.

- 24 A. -- but I know there's --
- Q. Overhead lights, though, right?

1 A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. There was sufficient lighting to see what people around you were doing, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, with regard to the previews playing, do you have any recollection as to what previews were playing when you arrived at the theater?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any recollection as to any of the previews you may have seen?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. What about the sound of the previews?
- 13 A. It was loud.
- Q. It was loud? But you could still hear people talking over the previews, couldn't you?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, you could hear people talking five or 10 seats away, right?
 - A. If I paid attention, I probably could.
- Q. And you didn't have any trouble hearing Mr.

 Oulson saying he was texting his daughter, if you don't

 mind, right?
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. Now, when you saw Mr. Oulson stand -- you've already indicated that you thought that they were

1 friends. 2 At what point did you realize they did not know 3 each other? When I hear, "I'm texting my daughter." 4 Α. 5 0. Okay. And you had indicated that you had 6 heard, "I'm texting my daughter," and then you saw Mr. 7 Oulson with something that you can't tell the Court --8 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I'm going to object. 9 is not her testimony at all. The -- the throwing 10 motion was before the texting of the daughter. 11 She's trying to put a mischaracterization of her 12 direct testimony in right now. 13 MS. SUMNER: I'll rephrase. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 BY MS. SUMNER: 16 When you -- when you saw Mr. Oulson with Q. 17 something in his hand, you can't tell us what it was, 18 correct? 19 Α. Right. 20 0. You think it was something dark? 21 Correct. Α. 22 Q. And you thought it was a thermos? 23 Α. I thought it was a thermos.

And is that -- isn't that fact because you

didn't recognize it to be a cup that you had bought at

24

25

Q.

1 the concession stand, correct? 2 Α. Correct. 3 But isn't it also a fact that what you 4 indicated all along was that it was a mock throwing 5 motion, right? 6 Α. A quick throw. 7 Quick. You never saw anything leave Mr. Q. 8 Oulson's hand; isn't that correct? 9 Α. Correct. 10 And, in fact, when that mock throwing motion 11 occurred, you didn't see any reaction from Mr. Reeves, 12 did you? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. You didn't see Mr. Reeves back up, correct? 15 Α. Correct. Didn't see Mr. Reeves put his hand to his face? 16 Q. 17 Α. No. 18 In fact, you didn't see Mr. Reeves try to dodge Q. 19 it at any point, correct? 20 Α. No. 21 And you indicated this was very quick. 0. 22 did -- as if just to go like that (indicating), correct? 23

Now, isn't it true that most of the time your

24

25

Α.

Q.

Correct.

attention was toward Mr. Reeves in this odd left tilt motion that he was doing?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. You indicated that you saw the popcorn, right, and then you saw the popcorn land, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You're really not able to tell us how long between these events; isn't that true?
 - A. How long between what events?
- Q. Between the events of seeing Mr. Reeves come back from wherever he was, be rude as he walked past you, then you saw him sit down.

So you can't give us a time frame of events from seeing him come in, sit down, hearing Mr. Oulson saying, "I'm texting my daughter, if you don't mind," seeing Mr. Oulson make the mock throw, seeing the popcorn fly, and then hearing what you characterize as a ring of fire; isn't that true?

- A. Yeah, I can't put a time on it.
- Q. Okay. You can't say if it was one second?
 - A. Just everything happened so quickly.
 - Q. Three seconds or five seconds, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. It was a point in time, a little snapshot or snippet, right, because you were looking at -- you

indicated that you were looking back at the previews,
you're looking over here seeing him stand, you're then
looking at Mr. Reeves.

You can't give us a clearcut time frame on any of that, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Did you notice whether or not Mr. Reeves was wearing glasses when he returned and rudely walked past you?
 - A. No.
- 11 Q. And you never saw Chad Oulson throw any type of 12 punch at Mr. Reeves, did you?
- 13 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Never saw Mr. Reeves react as if he had been hit by any type of punch?
- 16 A. No.
 - Q. Now, when you came down the stairs and had your interaction with Mrs. Oulson, how long after was it that the law enforcement officers arrived?
 - A. I would say a good 15, 20 minutes.
 - Q. And can you describe the law enforcement officer that you first saw?
- A. Well, I walked to the corner of the back of the theater.
- 25 O. Where that door was?

A. No. We're -- we're kind of in the middle so I walked to the corner of the whole -- the theater building trying to see if I can see --

- Q. Oh, outside?
- 5 A. -- outside --
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. -- because I was at the outside.
- 8 Q. Okay.
 - A. Because I heard sirens, then I see the police car just go to the front. Nobody -- so I was back there waiting.
 - Q. Waving?
 - A. Yeah, well, I'm trying to get their attention.

 So the first time I saw them, all of a sudden there's one police car coming and I was telling her -- it's a female law enforcement --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- I was telling her is -- that door that's open, that's where it happens.
 - Q. So when you finally get back in the theater and you're trying find Mr. Turner and you go to that aisle as if you would exit the theater, right? You're on -- if we looked at that piece of photograph, it would be to the left of that where the exit door would be?
- 25 A. That's where I --

- Q. Where you met up with him?
 - A. That's where I was standing, yeah.
 - Q. And when these law enforcement officers came in, it's your testimony that they didn't tell you not to discuss it among yourselves?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Okay. Were you standing right there when they were talking to this whole group of -- how many people did you say were standing there?
- A. Well, quite a bit. I think 20 or so. A lot of law enforcement people in there as well.
 - Q. So did you talk to every law enforcement officer that was in there?
 - A. Oh, no.
 - Q. Now, you indicated that people were talking. You don't know what they were talking about, though, do you? They weren't talking about this case and what had happened, were they?
- A. I don't know.
 - Q. Can you tell any part of any conversation that you may have overheard that day?
 - A. No.
- Q. If you had heard anything about this case, did that affect your ability to make your written statement?
- 25 A. No.

Q. In fact, you didn't see the same things that your husband Mr. Turner saw?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And you wrote your own statement based upon what you saw and what you heard, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And when you were interviewed, you were interviewed by yourself, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. With a male detective?
- 11 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

18

19

- Q. You told him what you actually saw, not what you what you may have heard from anybody else, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Did you ever go out in the concession area and sit at a table?
- 17 | A. No.
 - Q. Did you ever see Curtis Reeves' wife, Vivian Reeves, after the shooting?
 - A. No.
- Q. Just a couple more questions, Ms. Turner.

 After you indicated that you saw an off-duty officer come

 over and show the badge, you're not sure what happened at

 that point, but did you at any point look over -- you

 were watching Mr. Reeves, correct --

```
1
          Α.
                Uh-huh.
2
```

- Q. -- at that time?
- 3 Α. After the shooting, you mean?
- Yes. 4 Q.
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 0. Did you notice that he had any injuries on his 7 face?
- 8 Α. No.
- 9 Now, you indicated that he was sitting with his 10 face -- he had his hands in his face and had his head 11 down?
- 12 Α. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. You didn't see any blood on him, did you?
- 14 Α. No.
- 15 You didn't see any indication that he had been Q. 16 struck with anything, did you?
- 17 Α. No.

21

- 18 Okay. And, in fact, can you recall at that 0. 19 point if you saw him wearing glasses?
- 20 I'm not sure. Α.
- So you wouldn't have seen his glasses turn like 0. 22 this or anything like that, him messing with his glasses?
 - Α. No, I didn't see that.
- 24 MS. SUMNER: Okay. Nothing further of this 25 witness, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	MR. ESCOBAR: May I, Your Honor?
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. ESCOBAR:
6	Q. Good morning again, Ms. Turner.
7	A. Good morning.
8	Q. Ms. Turner, I want to take you I want to
9	take you back to the sequence of events because obviously
10	they're so important in this case. Okay?
11	Now, you indicated on direct and on
12	cross-examination that as you were seated there initially
13	watching the previews, the first thing that caught your
14	attention was a man standing up.
15	MS. SUMNER: Judge, objection. Asked and
16	answered and leading.
17	MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, the sequence of events is
18	very important and they attacked that sequence.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MS. SUMNER: He can't he can't put the words
21	in her mouth, Judge. We're objecting
22	THE COURT: I was getting to that.
23	MS. SUMNER: Thank you.
24	MR. ESCOBAR: I will, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: It has been asked and answered but

1 rephrase for the leading. I'm going to allow you to 2 go over it a little bit more but no leading. 3 Sustained as to the leading. BY MR. ESCOBAR: 4 What's the first thing -- they're seated, 5 watching the previews -- the first thing that catches 6 7 your attention other than the previews? 8 Α. A man standing up. 9 **Q**. Okay. A man standing up. What' he doing? 10 He's turning around. Α. 11 Okay. Is he talking? Q. 12 Α. I think he is. 13 Q. What's he saying? 14 Α. I don't know. 15 Why couldn't you hear what he was saying? Q. 16 MS. SUMNER: Objection, speculation. 17 THE COURT: To the extent she knows, I'll allow 18 it. Overruled. 19 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 20 Why couldn't you hear what he was saying? 0. 21 I wasn't paying attention. Α. 22 Q. Were the previews loud? 23 Yes. Α. 24 What do you see him doing next? Q. 25 Α. Throwing a cup motion.

```
1
          Q.
               Cup motion?
 2
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
 3
               Now, at this point in time, are you just
          Q.
     looking at him?
 4
 5
          Α.
               Yes.
 6
          0.
               You're not looking at Mr. Reeves?
 7
          Α.
               (No audible answer.)
 8
          Q.
               After that cup motion, where do your eyes go?
 9
               Back to the preview.
          Α.
10
               You're focused on the previews only?
          Q.
11
               MS. SUMNER:
                            Objection, leading.
12
               MR. ESCOBAR:
                              That's not a leading question.
13
               THE COURT: I will overrule that.
14
     BY MR. ESCOBAR:
15
          Q.
               You're focused on the previews?
16
          Α.
               Uh-huh.
17
               You're not looking at Mr. Reeves now?
          0.
18
               No.
          Α.
19
               What next detracts your attention from the
          Q.
20
     previews?
21
          Α.
               I heard, "I'm texting my daughter."
22
          Q.
               Now, what are you looking at?
23
               I'm looking to my left.
          Α.
24
               And to your left where?
          Q.
25
```

At Mr. Oulson.

Α.

```
1
                             Your Honor, this is going to be
               MR. ESCOBAR:
 2
          2060543 of Defense Exhibit Number 9. May I ask her
 3
          to step down?
 4
               THE COURT: You may.
 5
     BY MR. ESCOBAR:
 6
               Now, when you hear that from Mr. Oulson, where
 7
     is Mr. Oulson standing?
 8
          Α.
               Somewhere here.
 9
          Q.
               If you could point -- if you could turn a
10
     little bit to your left so the judge can see.
11
               MS. SUMNER:
                            Judge, I'm going to object, asked
12
          and answered. And this was not anything that I had
13
          inquired on on cross-examination.
14
               MR. ESCOBAR: It's -- I'm going to tie it up
15
          very quickly.
16
               MS. SUMNER: He's just redoing it.
17
               MR. ESCOBAR:
                             I'm going to tie it up very
18
          quickly.
19
               THE COURT:
                           I'll allow it.
20
     BY MR. ESCOBAR:
21
               Please point to where Mr. Oulson was standing.
          0.
22
          Α.
               Somewhere in here.
23
               Now, is your attention focused on him
          Q.
24
     exclusively at that point?
25
          Α.
               Yes.
```

Q. You're not looking at Mr. Reeves?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

- Q. What takes your attention now from Mr. Oulson somewhere else?
 - A. The way Mr. Reeves is sitting.
 - Q. Okay. So now, do you look at Mr. Reeves?
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And this is where you said he was seated with -- sideways?
- A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. To his left?
- 12 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Are you looking at Mr. Oulson anymore?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. Now you're focused strictly on Mr. Reeves?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. How long are you watching Mr. Reeves now?

 MS. SUMNER: Objection, Judge. This is all
- just rehashing what he's already done on direct.
- segment, I can tell you. The segments of her focus
 were very focused on one particular area, and so she

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, it is not because the

- does it as a piecemeal. And so what the prosecution
- 24 tried to do was to mix her viewing Mr. Oulson and
- Mr. Reeves together, and it didn't happen that way.

1 There were sequences of events that caused her 2 to focus on one person, then there was another 3 sequence of events that caused her to focus on the other person, and to the exclusion of each other. 4 5 MS. SUMNER: And Judge, she testified to this 6 previously. He's just rehashing his entire direct. 7 If you remember her cross, that's MR. ESCOBAR: 8 exactly what she was trying to portray, was that she 9 was focused both on Mr. Oulson and Mr. Reeves 10 simultaneously, and that is not her testimony. 11 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 12 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 13 Q. So now, you're looking at Mr. Reeves, is that 14 correct, because he's sitting sideways, correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. Are you looking at Mr. Oulson? 17 Α. No. 18 How long are you looking at Mr. Reeves as he's Q. 19 sitting sideways like that? 20 Α. Three or four seconds. 21 And you're not looking at Mr. Oulson anymore, 0. 22 right? 23 Α. Right. 24 MS. SUMNER: Objection, asked and answered, 25 Judge.

1 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 2 When's the next time that you first, after --Q. 3 for those three or four seconds, when is the next time 4 that you glance over to Mr. Oulson? After the shot was fired. 5 Α. 6 MR. ESCOBAR: No further questions. 7 Your Honor, we would introduce Defense Exhibit 8 Number 9, which has been stipulated to. 9 THE COURT: All right. It'll be Numbered 7 10 when it's admitted. May this witness be released? 11 MR. ESCOBAR: Subject to recall, but, yes, 12 today. 13 THE COURT: Subject to recall today? 14 MR. ESCOBAR: No. Subject to recall, but she 15 can be released today, sorry. THE COURT: Okay. That's all right. 16 17 MS. SUMNER: We'd ask her to remain under 18 subpoena the whole time but we'll contact her. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 Thank you. MS. SUMNER: 21 THE COURT: Ms. Turner, you're free to go 22 today, but you may receive a phone call indicating 23 that you're needed back again, okay? 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. 25 So please, if you get that call, THE COURT:

1 either return the call or take it and hopefully 2 you're good to go, at least for today. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 6 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, since we're going to 7 have some computers and what have you that we need 8 to hook up, if we could have a 10-minute or 15-minute break, I would appreciate it. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. ESCOBAR: The next one will be a very, very 12 long witness, probably well into the early part of 13 or mid part of the afternoon. 14 THE COURT: All right. And who's the next 15 witness? 16 MR. ESCOBAR: My next -- the Defense would call 17 Bruce Koenig. 18 THE COURT: All right. And you need about 10 19 to 15 minutes to prepare? 20 MR. ESCOBAR: Yes, Your Honor. Just to set up 21 our equipment. 22 THE COURT: All right. Let's take a 15-minute 23 recess. 24 THE CLERK: All rise. 25 (Recess taken.)

1 MR. ESCOBAR: Good morning again, Your Honor. 2 Defense would call Bruce Koenig. 3 THE BAILIFF: Step this way, stand right here. 4 Face the clerk, raise your right hand to be sworn. 5 (Thereupon, the witness was duly sworn on oath.) THE BAILIFF: Come have a seat up here. Adjust 6 7 the mic. Speak in a loud and clear voice for the 8 Court. 9 THE COURT: You may proceed, Counselor. 10 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, I will tell the Court 11 that or purposes of a lot of this playing of the 12 video what have you, we would also ask for the Court 13 to use your monitor as well in that that will make 14 it easier on the Court's eyes as well. You can look 15 at all the monitors, but I'd like the Court to also 16 utilize the bench monitor. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ESCOBAR: 19 20 Good morning, Mr. Koenig. Ο. 21 Good morning. Α. 22 Q. Would you please state your full name and your current address for the record? 23 24 My name is Bruce E. Koenig, that's Α. Yes. 25 K-0-E-N-I-G, and I my address is 12115 Sangsters, that's

- S-A-N-G-S-T-E-R-S, Court, Clifton, Virginia.
 - Q. Could you please summarize for us your formal education.
 - A. Yes, sir. I have a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Maryland. I had double majors in physics and mathematics. I have an electronics course through DeVry Institute of Technology, which is now called DeVry College, I believe.

I have my master's degree from George

Washington University in forensic science. I have
additional graduate level courses at Massachusetts

Institute of Technology, MIT, George Mason University,
the University of Utah and University of Colorado,

Denver.

MR. ESCOBAR: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

- Q. Mr. Koenig, I'm going to show you what has been marked as Defense Exhibit Number 28, I believe, and ask you if you recognize that particular exhibit.
- A. Yes, sir. This is a copy of my curriculum vitae or my educational and experience background in my field.
 - Q. Could you tell us about any formal education

that you have attended in addition to, obviously, your undergraduate and master's programs. Tell me what formal education you have had in specialized areas of forensic video and audio analysis.

- A. Well, I guess my main came through an apprenticeship at the FBI. I worked as I was a special agent supervisor there for 21 years for which I worked -- the early days it was more audio than video, and then more video a little bit as we go along. At the end, I ran that particular group.
- Q. What does that mean you "ran that particular group"? Describe the group to the Court if you can.
- A. Yes. The FBI labs audio/video group was, and I presume absolutely it still is, the largest group in the world that does it. When I was there, I had approximately 30 people working for me; four Ph.Ds, lots of people with master's and bachelor's degrees in engineering and physics, and staff people that handled the evidence and all that too.

So we -- like I said, it was the largest group and I presume it absolutely still is of that group. So it's the dominant lab in the world in this field.

Q. What was --

MR. MARTIN: Excuse me, Mr. Escobar.

Judge, I'm going to ask for some dates on some

of the activities, because we know he is retired but we don't have any dates, we don't know how long ago, how timely is the information he's provided. It's all I ask is that as the witness describes the experience, that we have dates so we can sequence this.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

Q. Mr. Koenig, let's take you back a little bit before you started in that particular lab.

Were you working as an agent back between 1970 and 1974?

- A. Yes, sir. I was a special agent of the FBI. I worked at the training school in the Atlanta and Detroit divisions. I worked on selective service violations, fugitives, terrorism. Detroit had terrorism kind of work before I was promoted to supervisor at headquarters.
- Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit about that. So between 1970 and 1974 you were a special agent investigating crimes.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. After 1974, what happened?
- A. I came back to the laboratory, which at that time was in the Department of Justice building downtown, and during my time there, I moved to the new J. Edgar Hoover building, which is now going to be demolished and

they're moving it some place else.

Then we moved out to several suburban locations. Ultimately near the end of my career, the last seven or eight years or so, it was in Quantico, Virginia.

- Q. Now, you went from that special agent to a supervisory role; is that correct?
- A. Yes, but, you know, my supervisory role was to conduct -- until the last eight years when I ran the whole group -- was to conduct cases, and I had technicians and all and people who are training, you know, after I reached a certain point that I was supervising.

When I took over the group, I still conducted casework about 60 percent of the time, and I was a person that of kind of avoided management and tried to actually do the work. I had good people, they knew how to do it. I tried to get them money and resources so they could get their work done.

- Q. So that period of 1974 to 1995, you're saying that that last eight years you were managing the entire group?
 - A. Approximately eight years, yes, entire group.
 - Q. Okay. Now, what was the role of that group?
 - A. To conduct virtually any forensic analysis of

audio and video, that would include whether something's altered or not. Compare voices, enhance audio and video, conduct signal analysis, gunshot analysis, for instance.

Anything pretty well involving audio or video was done.

- Q. Tell the Court how you developed your expertise in this particular area.
- A. Well, I think it's a combination of getting the training, the FBI lab was wonderful for that, then the experience of just working on thousands of recordings.

 And then the, you know, the formal education gives you, you know, the basic training and the theory of the work.

 And then you go to specialized courses that, you know, can range from a day to two weeks that talk about particular aspects of your work.

And then you have professional societies, so you have peer-reviewed papers and you publish -- do research to do that, and they have conferences. Like, for instance, there's an audio conference for the Audio Engineering Society that comes up about every three years up near Washington, DC, in June, you know. So most people in the audio -- that do audio forensics will probably most likely be at that conference.

Q. So that's where we had left off earlier is, in addition to your formal education, the specialized forensic courses in video and audio analysis that you had

taken. I'd like to discuss some of those with you if you can.

I know there's a long list in your CV; is that correct?

- A. That's a partial list, yes, but it's many of them.
- Q. There was a course titled "Forensic

 Authentication of Digital and Audio" presented by the

 National Center for Media Forensics, University of

 Colorado, Denver, Colorado. Explain that course, if you can, to the Court.
- A. The two professors presented the course to -there weren't a lot of us. It's not like a large group
 of people, six or eight people if I remember right, and
 there may be more. And they discuss the newest
 techniques in the field. You know, what's going on, what
 research they're doing and -- and the direction so we
 could understand that and make sure we all do it.
- Q. So these were resources that you weren't dependent solely on what the FBI had available to them, but resources outside of the FBI in order to try to be the best that you could?
- A. Yes. The digital field, like I think anybody involved with even consumer electronics, has gone from an analog thing where, you know, it was audio cassettes and

then, you know, VHS or video, and then, you know, I think most people started seeing digital and they saw CDs, audio CDs which would be digital.

And now, you know, most of your television programs are transmitted in digital, so the world is fast losing the analog in audio, video and imaging areas. We used to have film, we now have JPEG images.

- Q. So did the very nature of your position and your profession there with the FBI, then require you to continue your educational process so that you could keep up with the new technology?
- A. In my field, it's never ending. It's always changing, which I enjoy, but it's definitely something that you have to stay up with through all those resources. People you know in the field, professional societies, you know, doing your own research papers, you know, and attending these specialized classes you stay up with the field.
- Q. I want to just mention a few more that I would like you to comment on. One is the imaging and video processing using the MATLAB that was presented in Vienna, Virginia. Tell me about that?
- A. Yes. MATLAB, and that's all capital letters,
 M-A-T-L-A-B, is the scientific program people use to look
 at audio and video and statistical data, and it's like,

you know, every -- every student in college in engineering and physics, that's the program you're going to use because it's tremendously varied what can you do with it.

So this particular program was set up to look at these particular aspects that, you know, my associates and I have an interest in being able to do. So we continually attend such -- such meetings to try to make sure we understand how best to use that software and obviously other software in the field.

- Q. There's another course titled "Imaging,
 Processing and Analysis," and that was presented by the
 International Society for Optical Engineering, also in
 Virginia. Could you tell the Court a little bit about
 that.
- A. Again, I think the description kind of tells what it is. You're looking at various optical problems and all with -- with, you know, when you take a video or something, there's a camera. So you have to worry about the optics and all of that. So again, continuing education in my field.
- Q. You took a couple of courses in your CV that appears to be related to the Sony Video Institute, ones called "Mastering Digital Video Technology."
 - A. Yes.

1

Tell us about that particular program. Q.

2

Α.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

world and has for a long time. People forget when we had Beta and VHS, I'm probably aging myself here, but, you know, at one time that was a big thing. Well, Beta went away as far as consumers have gone, but actually Sony, which owns Beta rights, that's what the professionals you've been watching on TV at the football games and all they're using. It's Beta.

Yes. Sony dominates in the professional video

So they're the professionals -- experts in professional video. So the course is very much the theoretical side of the video format itself. They mostly were concerned about more the professional formats, but consumer formats kind of follow behind that, so that's So, yeah, it was an excellent course out there in California.

- Two more now, and I promise to leave these 0. alone. One is called "Video Analyst System Training," and that was in Huntsville, Alabama.
- The program we've been using for a long time to analyze video from a filtering perspective, especially in what's called more of the early days, 10, 15 years ago, you had to use these specialized programs. A lot of the more mainstream now are able to do a lot of those functions that were only available back then of

these programs.

So we still use programs such as that, but again, there's more mainstream stuff that's out there that often can handle these things.

So this was a course that really walked you through what you can do with video. How do you enhance it? How do you speed it up? How do you slow it down? It went through all of those kind of characteristics that obviously, you know, a lot of video recordings we've made in this case are, you know, have those feature.

- Q. Now, the last one, I promise you, is called "Video Capture Enhancement Analysis," that was presented by the Institute of Forensic Imaging. I guess that was done in conjunction with the University of Indiana and Purdue University. Tell us about that course?
- A. Yeah. They had some senior researchers spend, if I remember right, two or three days with us talking about a lot of the theoretical aspects of imaging, and, you know, it certainly affects video and still images, and went through a lot of those characteristics that we care about in the lab, and you have to be cognizant of it to be able to do the kind of work my associates and I are involved with.
- Q. And as part of your profession, did you become a member of professional societies?

1 A. Yes, sir.

Q. And if you could tell the Court about the professional societies that you became a member of.

A. Yes. I'm a member of the Acoustical Society of America. I am a member of their subcommittee on forensic acoustics. The Acoustical Society is more interested in the audio aspects of things, not really video, and they're probably the senior research profession for audio, the theoretical aspect of it. Okay.

I'm a member of the Audio Engineering Society.

I'm a member of their technical committee on forensic science. And I was very fortunate that in 2015 I got their highest award for conducting research and all in my career in the audio field.

It's the first time -- you know, I was very flattered. It was the first time a forensic person had ever won the award. It's usually given to researchers or people like -- people have Bose headphones. Dr. Bose was a great guy and he was one of the recipients, I believe, of it. They're the kind of people that receive that. And they -- and then I published in that -- in that journal they have because of -- for the audio side.

I'm a member of the International Association for Identification. I'm a distinguished -- both a distinguished and a life active member. Life just means

I've been a member of it for a long time.

The -- and I've been on various, you know, boards involved with voice identification and other things including video. I was involved with writing some of the standard questions that were used for their certification process.

- Q. Tell the Court a little bit about that and those standards and how you participated in writing, I would imagine, a very important list of questions.
- A. Right. I think they pick three or four of the senior people, you know, involved in the International Association for Identification, and asked us to write questions at certain levels and everything else.

So, you know, you're asking people in this field what they should know about things. You know, how do you handle -- well, talk about it here, enlarging, you know, video images if you have it. How do you get information all for corners properly so you get the best product.

So the questions are aimed at the people having a basic knowledge of this so they can actually do the work well and the IAI can certify that. So there is a certification process that -- these questions that you posed or assisted with, are questions that at some point in time would determine whether someone was certified or

not, right?

And my associate of Lacey, L-A-C-E-Y, is certified. I'm in a position that since I provided the questions, and I believe they're still using them, I can't really take the certification test. But it's -- it really is made for more basic levels. It's not made really for senior people particularly. It's made for the police departments and all get involved so they have basic knowledge how to do things, especially at crime scenes.

Q. Well, since you've mentioned Doug Lacey, let's bring Mr. Lacey up because he's going to be assisting me with the presentation.

MR. ESCOBAR: Mr. Lacey, could you please come forward? You can sit right here. He's not going to be talking, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

- Q. Is this Mr. Lacey here?
- A. Yes. Douglas S. Lacey.
- Q. And how did you meet Mr. Lacey?
- A. When I retired in 1995 from the FBI, in 1996 they brought me right back as a contractor, and I stayed there until the end of 2003 as a contractor to train people in some of the more complicated areas:

Authenticity, gunshot analysis, and stuff like that.

Mr. Lacey was one of the three people that I was -- spent most of my time training, even though I trained a lot of people on staff, but he was one of the three main people.

So I started my company right after I retired and I went through and realized at some point I needed help. So my associate at that time, Steve Killian, who used to run the entire engineering section of the FBI, so 300-and-some people, he was working with me but he really wanted to spend some more time with his grandchildren and he asked that -- see if I could find somebody else to come in.

So Doug and I, you know, we talked to Doug -- I talked to Doug and so did my associate, and made an offer that, you know, it was good and bad to come out in the private sector from government. We were very clear that it may not be what he wants, but here's what we can offer.

And I think it took him, Doug might remember, but, like, six months before or so and he finally decided he would like to come out and work with us, and so then -- and in between he worked with us part time.

The government allowed him to work on noncriminal cases with us as long as the government

wasn't involved in the civil case, any government, so there would be no conflict with the FBI.

And he decided to come and he's been with me since then. And in 2014, I ceded the company to him, which meant the poor guy has to do all the invoices and everything else. He does the administrative stuff now. I get paid hourly to do forensic work, and that's what I like to do and I'm full time working at it.

So he does -- and he'll be the future of the company. You know, he's my kid's age so it's -- that's how it worked out.

He has a very strong background, electrical engineering degree, emphasis in digital audio. He's starting up on his masters degree, I think, here in the fall. He's taken a lot of courses like I have and been a coauthor with lots of articles that I and other people are involved with.

- Q. Okay. And he will assisting us today in this presentation?
- A. Yes, he is ultimately, you know, qualified to do that.
- Q. And did he assist you also in the analysis of the video surveillance of the Cobb Theater in this case?
 - A. Absolutely, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Getting back to your CV, I don't want to

leave it just yet.

- A. You want me to finish up the professional societies?
 - Q. Please.
- A. Okay. I'm a member of the National Technical Investigator's Association, which is people that are mostly in law enforcement right now doing technical kind of things, audio, video and other, you know, computer stuff, not only just audio and video.

I became a member when I was in the FBI and they've allowed me to remain as a member even though I'm not in the FBI anymore. That's very nice of them. So I'm still a member of that.

I'm a member of the Society of Former Special Agents of the FBI. I think that just answers the question. It gives me good contacts with other agents.

I'm a member of the Society of Motion Picture and Television Engineers. This is a society that all those people you see on TV -- the video you see, these are the tech people behind the cameras and recording industry putting all that together and also for movies. So they're -- they're the premier group that does that. But it's the professional side not the quality consumer stuff we see in a case like this. They're the professional side.

And I was a member of what used to be called the International Society for Optical Engineering but everybody called it SPIE, all capital letters, S-P-I-E. I dropped my membership in 2010 because they had originally had a forensic group involved with it and it was a great group, but they decided not to go in that direction. They are again, more of the professional end of -- of the work, yeah.

- Q. Now, I know you talked little bit about your professional experience as an analyst in video and digital image, but I'd like to try to give the Court some substance to your professional work experience if we can.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. Take us from the very beginning.
- A. Experience or what I do?
- 16 Q. What you do.
 - A. Oh. What I did at the FBI and what I do now are pretty much the same. We analyze audio, and in this case, video and still images like in cameras or something to determine, for instance, have they been altered. Complicated exams -- they're not easy exams, so we parse apart everything.

The digital file is made up of really kind of two parts if you want to be simplistic. One is the actual data, the video which is actually what you see on

the screen. The rest of it is administrative data that's called "METADATA," M-E-T-A-D-A-T-A, and that data can be very instrumental in telling you what's going on.

For instance, in this case, when you see an image on the screen, in the METADATA next to the data for each section of it, each picture of the video, it tells you what the time is out in milliseconds. So exactly what the time is, we know what that is. So that's -- in this case, was probably very important, okay. We enhance things. Probably can do more --

MR. MARTIN: I realize that he wants to speak to you directly, but his face is now away from the microphone and the last two or three minutes I couldn't hear a thing he said. I apologize. I didn't realize he was looking at you and wanting to have a personal conversation with you.

MR. ESCOBAR: I'm not sure that it is a personal conversation, Your Honor. It's testimony in a criminal case here in Dade City, so I wouldn't characterize it as a personal conversation.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Escobar.

THE WITNESS: And enhancement, I'll stick to the video image side of it because that's what we're talking about here, the usual limitation is that the digital image is made up of a bunch of what we call

1

"pixels," okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you look at a pixel, it's like a block of either color or a grayscale if it's black and white. And contrary to what you see on TV, when they blow it up and they pixelize it into a bunch of squares you see in a movie or TV, they hit this magic button and all of a sudden it's wonderful, right? Okay.

Five hundred years from now they can do that because the pixel itself is a color or a grayscale. It has no additional detail at all, okay. So a lot of our enhancements that come in, including this case, the attorneys obviously want us to say, Hey, I want to see more, okay.

We'd love to be able to do something but you're limited by the number of pixels. Like, you're looking at a very small number of pixels. I mean, these pixels you're going to be seeing here might be one hundredth the amount of pixels you might have in a still image you take with a camera. So it's very poor quality.

So enhancement is limited with low quality video, but we can lighten dark areas to make them a little more (inaudible). We can sharpen edges to make it so the picture's just sharper and try to increase the contrast back to where the actual

1

picture was.

2

In other areas we do, we do voice comparisons. We do gunshot analysis. I've worked on lots of

3

major gunshot cases. And, you know, we're looking

4 5

at how many times a shot was fired.

6

Does it match up that it was fired from one

7

location where you might be able to say, That shooter fired these shots, so this other shooter

8 9

fired these shots.

10

to what you see on TV, but you can often say where

11

they're located. So even if they're only 4 feet

You can't actually identify the weapon contrary

12

apart, you might be able to say, okay, this person

13 14

fired four shots and this one shot six, and we know

15

what order so you might know who had fired first,

16

for instance, if you have an audio recording.

17

So, you know, anything really involved with

18

audio/video. So it really gets to be a pretty wide

And that work is both with the FBI for the

19

field at times.

20

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

0.

today?

21

years that you worked at the FBI, including your

22

23

supervisory positions, as well as your private practice

24

25

Α. Yeah. The only difference at the FBI, we were mostly just getting analog video because the consumer world had not gone over to digital. So the only digital we had was in the lab where we would make a copy, or we got something professional which was kind of rare. So it was more of an analog world.

- Q. In your career, can you tell the Court approximately how many cases have you worked on analyzing either audio or video?
- A. I've worked on something over 6,000 separate criminal, civil, and administrative matters.
- Q. And of those, how many actual audio and/or video recordings have you analyzed?
- A. Well over 19,000, probably -- I haven't checked my stats in the last couple of years, so I might be up to 20,000 but certainly well over 19.
- Q. Now, you have worked on some interesting cases in your career; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Tell us some of those cases that you have been involved in that were particularly -- wouldn't like to say difficult but certainly challenging.
- A. Well, I think a lot of them are that way. I was very involved with the -- both the Kennedy assassination, the recordings, and the attempted assassination of President Reagan. What people don't

know in the Kennedy assassination, there are no recordings of gunshots. Even though people say there are, there aren't.

I was involved with running testifying in all of the Linda Tripp/Monica Lewinsky recordings. We did all the authenticity work for Ken Starr. I testified for the grand jury in that matter. We were involved in examinations involving the secretary at the time, Henry Cisneros, and all of his recordings. Sorry, I'm reading through this. We have a lot of cases.

I worked on the Archer Daniels Midland case which was huge at the time, by far the biggest federal antitrust case in the country.

I worked on all of the video surveillance analysis involving Odin Lloyd who was the former New England Patriots player -- well, he was the one that was -- and his murder by -- Aaron Hernandez was charged, and Doug and I both were involved with that case.

- O. And that was both audio and video?
- A. It was all video.
- Q. All video.

A. And back a ways, I worked on the -- a lot of cases involving organized crime in the northeast and some in Miami and New Orleans. I've worked on two or three of the John Gotti cases up there. I was involved with the

videotapes involved with Branch Davidian complex in Waco, Texas, analyzed all of those.

I've worked several -- I've worked on three criminal trials for the United Nations Criminal Tribunal and did testify there in one of the cases.

- Q. As an expert?
- A. As a what?
- Q. As an expert?
- A. Yes, audio expert in that case.
- Q. Okay?

A. I've worked on a number of cases involving -the US Congress has asked us to work on. One involving
video was a bunch of recordings made by President Bill
Clinton's staff involving recordings that were not made
with the news media.

They were recordings the White House made of various meetings the president had, but the news media was not there, and the Congress got them and was interested in what was there in some of them and whether they've been altered or what they were seeing on a particular video.

Cases involving the Ukrainian President Kuchma, that's K-U-C-H-M-A, involving his contacts with allegedly murdering a reporter. Down in the south a number of years ago in Greensboro, where the Ku Klux Klan, the

American Nazi party, the Communist Worker's party and the Socialist Worker's party in the death to -- the Klan demonstrated and started shooting at each other with a lot of people being killed. And I testified, I think, in two or three different cases down there in federal Court.

We worked on not too long ago a recording involving the Canadian prime minister, Stephen Harper, who I believe is still the prime minister. I'm not sure about that.

- Q. Just to close it up, you worked also on the Duke University lacrosse team case?
 - A. I sure did, yes.

Q. As well as many others that we haven't -- have not yet read and won't bore the Court with.

Okay. Let's talk about your publications because that is an important aspect that I would like to present to the Court. Please tell the Court and testify to the Court what a publications is and what purpose it serves.

A. In our field, publications, like most scientific fields, are what we call "peer-reviewed." And peer-reviewed means, how certainly my associates and I handle it, is we do research or we write a paper about something.

We then send it to people we know are

knowledgeable in the field for our own peer review for whoever submit it. Then it's submitted to a scientific publication or forensic, some of them call themselves forensic groups. They then do their own peer review.

They make any comments and we have to respond to that.

If that's all approved, then the paper is published. Once it's published, then not only the people that are in that professional society or whatever, but anybody that thinks there's something wrong with the paper can comment. You know, they can write their own paper, they can do it.

So the paper takes on its own life beyond the author's. Basically, once it goes through all the peer review and is accepted, then, you know, the two authors could drop dead, the paper's still the same paper. So the papers that, you know, my associates and I normally write are either research papers or overviews of certain complex procedures based on a bunch of peer-reviewed papers put together in a way that tells you how the procedure should be done.

- Q. How many publications have you had, forensic articles, that have been peer-reviewed?
 - A. I think it's over 45 now.
 - Q. Okay. And have you published any books?
 - A. Yes. We -- Doug and I coauthored an article on

audio and video digital authenticity analysis. It's a rather long chapter, and it was published in the book -- not all books are peer-reviewed, but this one was.

Q. Okay. Tell us about your instructions to others in your field of study and profession.

A. Well, certainly I did a lot of, you know, training in the FBI. It was pretty constant. You were always -- the senior people were training the newer people coming along. And then once you became an examiner, you had to technically review their papers and their work. So that was a constant in the FBI.

And when I retired, of course I came back to the Bureau after I retired from '96 to 2003, and most of that was still doing cases but training people at the FBI. So pretty well once I became trained back in the late '70s or so through 2003 at the FBI, I was involved with training lots of people.

- Q. What about once you left the employment, both in your career with the FBI as well as in your contract work, did you continue to train others out in the community?
- A. To some extent. I mean, there's not really a lot of private people like us, like Doug and I, but it's, you know, we certainly go to conferences and give lectures on stuff and do it.

We interface with people in the field on a regular basis. Like the University of Denver, the two professors there are people we have pretty well regular contact with. And then -- and there's several others that we're involved with, but we don't have a regular training program.

In fairness, Mr. Lacey and I have thought about that and just don't really want to do that as a living.

We'd rather do the work than just teach.

- Q. Now, have you ever held any security clearance?
- A. Yes. When I was in the FBI and when I continued on -- in fact, the whole time I was an FBI agent, I had a top secret that continued on after I retired as a consultant.

Most of the time I had a bunch of what's called compartmental clearances beyond top secret, three or four of the ones on it, because we sometimes had to do work for the CIA and NSA was -- you know, a lot of people don't realize the FBI foreign counterintelligence matters is the primary organization within the United States.

- Q. Okay. Now, have you also served as a witness for the government as well as the defense in your line of work?
- A. Yes. Even in the FBI where we couldn't do the work directly for the defense, once we did the work, we

would appear for either side free of charge. And during those days, we, contrary to what they do now pretty well, we openly did work for state and local agencies.

And we did -- when I was there, we did all of the Drug Enforcement Administration work, which is the second biggest federal law enforcement agency. They didn't really have their lab. We did the work for them.

So we did a large variety plus organizations like the National Transportation Safety Board, you know, I've been working on airplane crash work, you know, black boxes, since the mid '70s. And I -- DOJ, Department of Justice, still hires us to do their maritime and aviation audio and video work.

- Q. While we are on that subject, what various governmental agencies hire you to do their work?
- A. Anyone you can think of. I mean, we get work doled from the FBI. We had a case not too long ago for the FBI field office that we did. And we were recommended out of the lab to do the work, that's how they got our name. It's -- I have bunch of them in the CV, but I mean --
- Q. Just let's go through some of them so the Court can get at least a flavor of those governmental agencies that have that sort of confidence in you.
 - A. Okay. I have US Department of Justice, I

1 mentioned that. The FBI, US Congress, I already 2 mentioned that. Office of Independent Counsel, ATF, 3 Bureau of Alcohol and Tobacco and Firearms and Explosives 4 now, Drug Enforcement Administration, Security Exchange 5 Commission, US Department of Defense, US Department of 6 Energy, US Customs Service, Federal Trade Commission, 7 United States Criminal Tribunal, National Academy of 8 Sciences, US Agency for International Development.

And then district attorneys' offices, public defenders' offices, police departments, prosecuting attorneys' offices, law school legal clinics, believe it or not, sheriffs' departments --

- Q. I think we've -- I think you've -- you've given us the flavor.
 - A. We -- we get work from everybody, yes.
 - Q. Now, have you testified in court as an expert?
 - A. Yes, sir.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And could you tell the Court on how many occasions you have testified in court and have been qualified and accepted by a court as an expert.
- A. Well, I've testified a total of -- today would be the 403rd time, but some of those were depos, some were administrative matters. So most of my time it's not that. So certainly above 350 would actually be in a court with local, state or federal judge or international

1 judge, you know, believing me as an expert. 2 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, we would tender at 3 this point in time Mr. Koenig as an expert in the field of both video and audio analysis. I'm not 4 5 sure if, at this point in time, Mr. Martin wants to 6 do some voir dire, but it may be the perfect time if 7 he has any questions. 8 MR. MARTIN: I would. 9 THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. MARTIN: 12 Q. Good morning. 13 Α. Good morning. Nice to see you again. 14 Q. Nice to see you too, sir. 15 Do you have your CV in front of you, sir? 16 I have Exhibit 28. Α. 17 Okay. I appreciate you telling me the exhibit 0. 18 number. 19 Is that your CV? 20 Α. That's my CV. 21 0. There you go. 22 Page 3 of 9 of your CV, the work experience 23 that you went over? 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 Okay. Now, what Mr. Escobar has done is, he's Q.

tendered to the Court -- to the Court, you as an expert in audio and video.

Page 3 of 9, and you went through some of these, and I'm going to take them in order, so if you can just follow along with me, I'm going to ask you some questions. And I'm going to not read the whole thing.

I'm just going to get you right to the -- some words that can get you right to the paragraph, but we're going to go in order.

The Linda Tripp telephone recordings, okay?

11 A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

- Q. Do you see how I'm going to do it? All right.

 So in that work experience, that was all audio, the

 telephone recordings.
- 15 A. That's correct.
 - Q. All right. With the Bill Clinton authenticity analysis telephone recordings, that's all audio?
- 18 A. Bill Clinton?
- 19 Q. The very next one.
- 20 A. Oh, I see.
- 21 Q. See how I'm going?
- A. Oh. It's -- no, it's -- that's the same case with the Linda Tripp.
 - Q. Oh, I apologize. So it's still audio?
- 25 A. Yeah. The Bill Clinton farther down is

1 | actually video.

2

3

6

- Q. Okay. Well, I haven't gotten that far.
- A. You haven't gotten that far, okay.
- Q. Okay. So Linda Tripp and Bill Clinton, at this point in time, we're talking about audio?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Watergate tapes, audio?
- 8 A. Absolutely.
- 9 Q. Gunshot analysis in the assassination of John 10 F. Kennedy, audio?
- 11 A. Audio and video. It's actually film at that 12 point.
- Q. All right. And what year was that? I know I was in the fifth grade.
- 15 A. I was in the twelfth grade. 1963.
- Q. Okay. So that was a TV film. It was film that has to be developed chemically? We're not talking digital or VHS?
- A. Oh, no, that's what I said. It was film. It was not TV. It was an individual, Mr. --
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. -- who did that.
- Q. Then we have undercover informants' recordings in the Archer Midland case. That's audio?
- 25 A. Yes, I believe there were -- I'm trying to

1 remember if there was any videos. I know it was probably 2 audio. I believe it was all audio. 3 I'm just going by my --4 Α. I know. I had to think for a minute, but I 5 believe everything there was audio. Now, the murder of Mr. Lloyd, L-L-O-Y-D, that's 6 7 the first time that I see in here where you say "the 8 video surveillance analysis," so that was video? 9 Α. Well, that's video but you missed the Reagan 10 attempted assassination, and that definitely was video 11 and audio. 12 Well, see, therein lies a little bit of problem Q. 13 with your CV. You just say "enhancement." 14 Α. Well, you can enhance video. 15 I know, but when we're talking about your work Ο. 16 experience --17 Judge, I'm going to object he's MR. ESCOBAR: 18 being argumentative with the client. I mean, he 19 answered. 20 MR. MARTIN: May I proceed, Your Honor? 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 BY MR. MARTIN: 23 In your CV, you did not indicate Q. All right. 24 video or audio. So are you telling me now that when we 25

talk about authenticity and enhancement analysis -- I'm

1 sorry.

You talking about Ronald Reagan?

- A. Yes. Where it says -- it starts off with, "The Gunshot Analysis of the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy and the Attempted Assassination of Ronald W. Reagan."
- Q. Right. And then it goes to the authenticity and enhancement analysis of undercover informants' recordings, and you told me that was audio.
- A. Oh, no, but that's a different case. You have a semicolon there, and then you're talking about the Archer Daniels Midland case.
 - Q. All right.
- A. So the attempted assassination of Reagan was, yeah, everybody saw the video on TV at the time. It definitely was broadcast video and audio.
- Q. All right. Then we have the determination and identification of gunshot sounds on audio and videotapes involving the Branch Davidian Complex, and that was gunshot sounds, it was audio?
- A. Yes. The Branch Davidian was probably -- we did more work on the video, but we certainly had audio there, too. They -- the question was, where did the fire start? Did the government somehow do something to start the fires? And basically the FBI had infrared, far

infrared sensors above that clearly showed the fires were started in a number of places within the complex prior to the FBI or anybody else moving toward the complex.

- Q. Then after that we have voice comparison analysis of audio recordings dealing with the tribunal in Yugoslavia. Audio, correct?
 - A. They were all audio, correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. The next one we have is intelligibility determination and transcript preparation. That's all audio?
- A. Yeah, that's in the Sabrina Aisenberg kidnapping case, which is, I believe, a local case here in Tampa.
 - Q. It's all audio, right?
- A. Yes, I was doing audio work with the wire taps done by Hillsborough County Sheriff's Department.
- Q. And then you were -- then we have a video authenticity analysis involving US Congress, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. What did that involve?
- A. That was the one that I had mentioned before where these were videos taken of the president meeting with people that the news media were not there.
 - Q. All right. And what year was that?
- A. I don't know if I can give you an exact year.

1 It would have been the second term of Clinton as 2 president, whenever that was.

- Q. Analog video?
- A. No, it was digital.
- Q. Digital?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Then after that we have the digital audio recordings by the Ukrainian president. That's audio, right?
 - A. Ukrainian president is audio.
- Q. The next one is an FBI undercover analog and digital recordings. That's audio?
- A. Well, next one here is talking about -- oh that's involving Richard Scrushy?
 - Q. Right. That's all audio?
 - A. No. Actually, the main recording involved was analog -- was digital audio and video produced by a Stringer (phonetic) newsgroup who were being sued.
 - Q. All right. And what were you asked to do that -- in that regards regarding the audio?
 - A. The federal judge in Philadelphia had ordered the newsgroup that they were not to record Scrushy's grandchildren, okay. They ended up getting a recording, a broadcasting, clearly showing his grandchildren.
 - Q. All right. So even though it involved a video,

your analysis had to do with the audio and whether or not the grandchildren were on it?

- A. Well, you really don't hear the grandchildren. It's really more on video. They wanted to -- so we had to look at the video and we did a lot of work there. The audio part, what they wanted to know was could -- where they were located, could they have heard the grandchildren, and that's what the federal judge wanted to know. We were able to figure that out, in fact, they could.
- Q. Then we have the Ku Klux Klan. We have the analysis of gunshot fires.
 - A. And that's both video and audio.
 - Q. What year was that?
- A. I want to say the late '70s.
- 16 Q. That was analog?
- 17 A. I -- yeah, pretty involved.
- 18 0. 1970?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

- A. Yeah. I don't think -- it was professional video. That's -- it was newspeople, but I think it would be analog at that point, yes.
 - Q. All right. Then we have the authenticity of the analysis of audio microcassette recordings by the Canadian Prime Minister. That's all audio?
 - A. Yeah, it was just one audio cassette.

Q. Then we have 35 digital audio recordings in the conspiracy and interception, and that's in the Pellicano case. That's all audio.

- A. Yeah, the Pellicano case, that's all audio.
- Q. All right. Then we have the gunshot examination and the death of Timothy Russell. That's all audio.
- A. All recordings were video, but we were mostly --
- Q. Your --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 11 A. -- we were mostly interested in the audio, but 12 it's all digital video. That's where it came off of.
- Q. I apologize. And that's twice that I've talked over you, so accept my apology.
 - A. All right.
- 16 Q. I won't -- okay.
- 17 A. Not a problem. I've been doing the same thing.
- 18 Q. And we're still doing it right now, aren't we?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. All right. Let's not do that.
- A. Yeah. This was a case that made high
 publicity. It was car that was shot 139 times. I think
 it was like last year they -- they tried a police officer
 on it.
- 25 Q. Right.

- A. And the car was shot -- I mean, people died obviously, and there was video involved with dashboard
 - Q. All right.

cams in the police cars.

- A. So we used the video, obviously, but the gunshot part of it was obviously audio, but the video helped tell us things. We needed to know where the car was located, so the video was crucial in telling us where the microphone was at that's recording the gunshots. So we needed that information to be able to do our analysis. So it was both audio and video.
- Q. So the video gave you content to establish where the gunshots came from?
- A. It gave us where the microphones were located. I mean, you have two things: Where's the mics, where's the gunshots occurring? Well, if we know where the mics are, that gives us information that helps us -- tells where the gunshots occurred.
- Q. But as far as working with the video, there was nothing that you had to do with the video content itself, what you see moving. You were more concerned with the audio on the videotape, your analysis was of the audio?
- A. The gunshot part, as far as the audio, we had to look at that, but we needed the video to tell us where the cars were.

Q. I understand that.

1

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. And without that, we would have had trouble doing the exam. So the video became -- in fact, it was a big, you know, a big thing to figure out where -- where was this car parked when these recordings occurred? So it really involved a lot of involvement with the video itself. And that video was digital.
 - Q. The traffic control recordings, that was all audio?
- 10 A. Yes, audio. Air traffic control recordings
 11 would be audio.
 - Q. The Sikorsky helicopter crash, that was audio? System sounds of the Sikorsky helicopter crash review --
 - A. Yes.
 - O. -- that was all audio?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. When you were with the FBI, beginning in the late '70s, basically your task was in the audio portion of that lab; was it not?
 - A. Yes. The -- first off, we weren't getting in -- in the early -- in the '70s virtually any video at all, so it was really -- it was into the '80s before we started really getting much video.
 - Q. So all your early training was in audio?
 - A. That's -- that's correct. Because, like I

1 said, we just didn't have much video. 2 You went through the clients that since you 0. 3 have left the FBI that you have done work with, and those 4 state agencies and public agencies. 5 The great majority of the work for those people are in the field of audio forensics; is it not? 6 7 Actually, we now have more video work Α. 8 coming in, I believe, than we have audio. If you go to page 4 of 9 of your CV, I'm going 9 Q. 10 to go through the ones that Mr. Escobar mentioned. 11 Forensic Authentication of Digital Audio. 12 Again, we're talking about you went to a course 13 involving audio, correct? In Denver, Colorado? 14 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I'm going to object. The one that I said was Forensic Authentication of 15 16 Digital Images in Denver, Colorado. 17 And I'm talking about the one MR. MARTIN: 18 right before it, which is also Denver, Colorado. 19 I didn't speak on that one. MR. ESCOBAR: 20 BY MR. MARTIN: 21 The one right before the one Mr. Escobar talked 0. 22 about, all right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Forensic Authentication of Digital Audio, Q.

25

Denver, Colorado.

1 What year was that? 2 Α. I want to say we went maybe four years ago. Ι 3 don't have an exact "off the top of my head" date. 4 Q. The Image and Video Processing Using MATLAB. 5 What year was that? That would be probably when they started really 6 7 coming out with that, so it was probably a while ago. 8 would say more than 10 years ago. 9 Well, this is 2017. 2007? Before or after the Q. 10 Trade Center? Give me something. I mean... 11 Just off the top of my head it was when they 12 first came out with it. It was early. The program 13 continues to evolve. So this was when, the early days 14 they started coming out with it, we had an interest in 15 that, they presented it. So I would guess, 10 years ago 16 or so, but I can't give you an exact date. 17 All right. So you went to that one 10 years 0. 18 ago? 19 Α. Approximately, yes. 20 All right. And like you said, things evolved, 0. 21 right? 22 Α. Well, it gives you the basics, and obviously

The Imaging Processing and Analysis in

the program becomes more sophisticated.

Bellingham, Washington, I guess.

23

24

25

Q.

What year was what?

A. That's probably at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. That's probably at least 10 years ago.
- Q. Video Analyst System Training in Huntsville, Alabama.

When was that?

- A. I would say about 10 years ago.
- Q. Video Capture, Enhancement and Analysis in Indianapolis, Indiana.

How long -- when was that?

- A. Seven or eight years ago, I'm just guessing.
- Q. Back 10 or more years ago at the MATLAB, what was the nonlinear software that was being used 10 years ago?
- A. Oh, there was lots of them. It's not just one.
 But certainly Adobe Premier and things like that were
 being used.
 - Q. Okay. Any others, other than Adobe Premier that you can think of?
 - A. I think that there was just so many and a lot of them obviously didn't make it, so they didn't work, but I -- if we don't -- most of us use one set of programs. I mean, there's lots of good ones out there, but you don't use five of them. You just find the one you like and you stay with it.
 - Q. All right. So you were trained on Adobe

Premier?

A. Yeah, we -- we use Premier -- Adobe Premier more than anything.

- Q. More than anything?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And Adobe Photoshop.
 - A. Oh, we definitely use Photoshop, yes.
- Q. All right. Those are kind of the standard in the industry. Most forensic examiners use those?
- A. Photoshop, yes, I think everybody uses that. The nonlinear audio video editors, there's three or four or more good ones out there, and it's just whatever the person likes or has a preference or you've got trained on. They're all good. They all can do the work.
- Q. They all can do the work, it's just your preference?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. The Professional Association is the Acoustical Society of America -- and I'm going to use the term as a vanity society, and that would be, in my terms, the one that you'd pay your dues and you get the magazine, you get to read stuff. As opposed to one that you're invited to, maybe even have to take a test, or have to have some type of review by the members and you're invited to belong to.

1 Do you see the difference?

A. Acoustical Society is probably the most prestigious audio society there is.

- Q. It -- it may be.
- A. It's all Ph.D. types --
- Q. How did you get to be a member?
- A. -- a lot of Ph.D.s.
- Q. How did you get to be a member? Did you just pay your dues or did --
- A. Oh, you have to apply. Two members have to do it. Almost all the professional societies are that way.
 - Q. All right. That's what I'm getting at.
- 13 A. Yep.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

- 14 Q. The Audio Engineering Society?
- 15 A. You need references to get in.
- 16 Q. International Association of Identification?
- 17 A. Yes. You need, again, to have references.
 - Q. All right. Well, to be a member of Rotary, you have to have references, but you're a member and you pay your dues.
 - So just having references, so do you have to have a test? Do you have to have specific years? What is it that gets you in other than just paying your dues?
- A. I don't know any professional society that you give a test to get in.

Q. I'm just asking.

- A. Well, they -- no, they look at your education and experience. Like -- like the Audio Engineering Society, if I remember right, if you had not had at least five years professional experience, you couldn't be a full member. You'd be a lower-level position.
- Q. And do you have to do anything in those memberships in order to maintain the membership after you're in, other than pay the dues?
- A. Yeah. I mean, it's -- it's meant for the professionals in the field to get together and look at published papers and have conferences.
 - Q. Networking?
- A. Well, we don't look at that. We don't get work out of these professional societies.
- Q. Well, networking in that you get to know other people so if you've got a problem, I can call Bill and maybe he knows it. That kind of networking so you have --
- A. Absolutely. Yeah. I mean, it's there.

 They -- they have standards for getting in. Some, like the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers, it's the next one down, they're probably the toughest.

You know, even with my education, they said,

Well, you really aren't an electrical engineer. So they

had to make a decision if I met standards to get in, and I did. But in other words, they will restrict membership.

You know, if you're a lawyer and you want to join the IEEE, you may be able to join it, but you wouldn't be a member. You'd be a lower-level thing.

Some of the societies have lawyers in it because they work in a field, a patent field or something, and it's worthwhile to be involved, but the main -- you know, the main direction of that society is people that are electrical engineers.

- Q. For the networking process?
- A. Well, I think it's more than networking. I mean, they all publish great papers and things like that, so sometimes it's just learning. You might not even be talking to people, but you read the papers.

IEEE probably issues, geez, conservatively, a hundred papers a month. The Acoustical Society is one that does something in that range every month, too. So these are big research driven professional societies.

- Q. And the papers that are published, if you're a member, you can publish in that society, right?
- A. Oh, you -- I publish in societies I'm not even a member of.
 - Q. Sure.

A. So you don't have to be a member to publish a paper.

- Q. All right. So in these particular societies, it's helpful to be a member, but you don't have to be a member. Here's my paper, let's put it in.
- A. Oh, you've got to go through the whole peer-review process. It's -- lots of papers are rejected.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

21

22

Α.

- Q. You began in the FBI in -- in the late '70s and you left after spending eight years at the laboratory.
- A. Actually, I started as an FBI agent in 1970. I came into the lab in 1974.
 - Q. Did I say the late '70s? I'm sorry.
- 14 A. Yeah. '74. And then I retired at the end of 15 1995.
 - Q. Okay. So from '74 to '95 while you were at the FBI lab, the great bulk of your work was all audio?

I wouldn't put the word "all" in there.

- Obviously, more of my work by far was audio, I agree with that statement, but it wasn't all that I did.
 - Q. In fact, that's kind of your specialty, isn't it, audio?
- A. Well, again, when I started, video really didn't exist in the forensic world, so it wasn't like there was a choice.

1

Q. All right.

2

MR. MARTIN: I have no further questions.

3

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Martin.

4

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I do not accept him as

5

an expert as far as video. I don't think a

6 7

standard. His whole expertise is audio since 1974.

8

And that's my objection, and then it's your

sufficient predicate has been laid under any

9

discretion, Judge.

10

THE COURT: All right. As I indicated about

11

well --

12 13

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I know you're going to be

these particular witnesses, I'll reserve although --

14

reserving on the issue of admissibility, but I would

15

ask the Court to make a ruling, at this point in

16

time, concerning your finding of him being an expert

17

in audio and video analysis, especially since he's

18

been qualified over 360 times by Courts throughout

19

the nation.

2021

any indication what he was qualified in. And so to

MR. MARTIN: Well, you know, there was never

22

say he's been an expert 360 times just doesn't get

23

it.

24

And if I -- the Court's well aware we have a --

25

if we were in front of a jury, we wouldn't be doing

1 The Court would -- we would just be moving on this. 2 and -- and that's what I'm suggesting to the Court. 3 I don't think there has to be a finding by the 4 Court, you are an expert, and that's not what we 5 would do in front of a jury. 6 THE COURT: And that's absolutely correct. Ι 7 know you both have been around a long time, but we 8 no longer do that in front of a jury. I'll either 9 accept him to testify about what he's testifying 10 about or not, if we were in front of a jury. 11 Quite frankly, you know, I -- I indicated I 12 reserve as to admissibility on any of the -- was 13 this one that was challenged? 14 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, this one was not one 15 that was challenged. 16 THE COURT: I would hope not. This one --17 well, he can testify. 18 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ESCOBAR: 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. ESCOBAR: 20 21 0. Mr. Koenig, when were you retained to do work 22 on this case? In late June of 2014. 23 Α. 24 And who were you retained by? Q. 25 Α. Your office.

- Q. Now, what were you asked to do in this particular case, Mr. Koenig?
 - A. I think to look at the videos to see if we could enhance it. It was involved to run tests at the theater to see if we could identify certain light events that were there, to be able to slow down or speed up the video, pull off individual images or frames as part of the video.
 - Q. What's that called?
 - A. What is what called?
 - Q. The individual frames?
- 12 A. It's called a frame.

- 13 Q. Is there a word called a "bitmap"?
 - A. Oh, bitmap is a file. So we pull the image off. You just have to save it in some file. Bitmap is one of those formats. It's B-I-T-M-A-P. Or you could save it as JPEG. Now that compresses it, so we don't use it, but you could make it -- there's other ones, TIFF, T-I-F-F, that are uncompressed. So it is just a way of making a copy of it into a file.
 - Q. Now, this was surveillance footage that we had asked you to look at, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And this was surveillance footage from the Cobb

 Theater here in -- in this county?

1 A. That's correct.

Q. Now, tell the Court how a surveillance system works.

A. Okay. Most surveillance systems are relatively pretty basic. You have a camera which has a sensor. The sensor is, we call it a mosaic. It has little -- little areas that determine how much what -- how much light, how light it is, and what the color is in this thing.

So most standard definition, not high definition, cameras have 640 across by 480 pixels, okay? That doesn't mean they're going to use all those pixels, but that's how much usually the camera captures. Okay.

So the camera captures it. It goes back, cables back to a -- we call them digital video recorders, DVR, like you might have at home that records television programs, okay, the same thing. It's got a hard drive in it to do it.

So the cameras feed into this and they record it and they add whatever system's recording it. They record it and make a file, and that file is on a hard drive. It is almost always in what we call a proprietary format. In other words, we just took the raw image or the raw file, put it in a standard computer, media, info, nothing will play it. So usually you have to have a player or you have to use a program to convert it to

something that will play.

The other thing that's common, that's certainly in this one, they -- it's affected by motion sensors. In other words, if I -- the prosecution is sitting there and they don't move very much at all. A video camera with a motion sensor on there, it may not record anything, it just stops recording. They do that to save space. Okay.

The other thing they do -- the next thing they do is, even though we've got this 640 by 480, which is like what an old television used to be, okay, they decide, oh, that takes up a lot of space. So why don't we use just one-fourth of it.

So in this case, for instance, it's 320 by 240, which further lowers the quality. And to make it even worse, they compress it. "Compression" means they throw away information that they have that affects details. So things that don't have -- that white wall wouldn't be affected much, but your name here on the front would be. So they throw it away.

So you end up with this thing that -- anytime there's not much motion it stops recording. It threw away three quarters of the data that came through the camera. And then it further compressed it to make it smaller so they could put more information on the hard

drive, which further reduced the quality.

So most surveillance systems, this one's pretty common, that's kind of the procedure.

- Q. Tell the Court why it is that these systems want to put more in the system.
- A. Well, I think it's just a matter that until recent times where, you know, you could buy a 4 terabyte hard drive for \$100 now or something. Hard drive space was expensive, and a lot of the companies -- first off, they put the system in and it's been in there for six years or seven years or whatever, so it's an old technology hard drive, so it used to be expensive.

But we found that even in the newer systems they tend to still do that, because they said, Oh, we can store five months of data. Where, you know, if they need to do that, that's fine, but they lower the quality to have that happen. So that's the big problem with surveillance videos.

- Q. Now, there are -- it records during motion activation.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is there some sort of sensor that determines how much motion is needed in order for the recording to take place?
 - A. It depends on the system itself. In other

words, you have the view here. If -- the sensor can be set to just look at certain areas, or it can be made to look at the whole screen, so you often have that option. And sometimes they do have controls that allow you to know how sensitive it is.

Most of them don't want to set it too sensitive because then, you know, dust in the room or something will start it, or the air conditioner starts running, you know, even though there's nobody there, it starts running. So they usually set it at some middle level, but it depends on the system.

You'd have to be there. If you have the system that is recorded at the time and you have that, you could take that, then, and look at how they set the settings, and it would tell you all of those things.

- Q. Now, how do we tell when we're looking back at this video that this system has made, how do we tell what's being recorded in real time and what's not being recorded in real time?
 - A. I guess I don't understand your question.
- Q. Well, when you're looking at the video, is there -- is there something within the system that will tell you when that video was actually recording?
- A. Oh, yes. In other words, the -- this system, like a lot of them, embeds the time. So we can -- each

video is set up separate images, approximately about 30 usually, at a full speed, 30 pictures per second. It looks at it and puts a time and date on each one of those images.

And you can make it so even though it reduces the quality of the video to do it, you can actually put it on within the image itself. The program will do that. At a loss of quality doing that, you know, that's the problem there, but it will actually put it on there.

So, yeah, you can tell -- so if you go along and it says that it's 8:31 and zero seconds, the next one is 8:35 and zero seconds, it meant it didn't record for four minutes.

- Q. Do any of these systems duplicate images in the process?
- A. Oh, the original system does not, usually. In other words, it would be a waste of time if you're trying save space to record something that you're going to throw away. So basically the system, if you pull it out, depending on the system, it will say, oh, there's nothing there for four minutes. It just takes the last image and just continues that until you get a new image. Even though nothing is happening and nothing's recorded, it just stabilizes it.
 - Q. So that only happens when you are -- and

correct me if I'm wrong or I may not use the right technical term -- whenever you're extracting that video from the DVR, from the hard drive to another process; is that correct? That's duplication?

- A. Oh, yes. For them to add that, it's got to make a new file, which hopefully is a file you can play on a regular computer, that's often what it is. And many of these systems will, in fact, most of them will add that thing that it's not recording, it just stays stable.
- Q. So if you're looking at the film once it's been extracted --
 - A. It's not a film.
 - Q. Excuse me, a digital image.
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Once you've extracted that and you're looking at it on your computer, if you were not careful, you would think that those images that are being duplicated were actual recorded images.
- A. Yes. Especially, if you have a fairly static scene and not much was happening, yeah.
- Q. So what do you have to do in order to be very careful when you're viewing video that's been extracted from the hard drive of the DVR to make sure that that doesn't happen.
 - A. Well, you have to -- you have to make sure

you're pulling off unique frames, not duplicate frames.

You know, this is there. And then you have a period,

there's nothing there for X amount of time, you can't say
anything about it. Nothing was ever recorded. It's not
in the system, it's not there.

- Q. So at the very least, what you're telling me is that there is a process where you can tell, as an expert, what was being recorded and what are duplicate images?
- A. Usually. I mean, in this case, yes, but, you know, some systems are a little more complicated than that.
- Q. Now, before I get too far afield, which I normally try not to -- to do, I am going to show you --

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, just so that -- we -we have some stipulations and I'm going to be
calling out the stipulations as we -- I just wanted
to make sure I didn't interrupt. No apologies
necessary. I just want to make sure that you finish
what you were doing.

Exhibit No. 38 that we're going to be presenting now to Mr. Koenig, is an imaged hard drive that Detective Aaron Smith from the Pasco County Sheriff's Office imaged from the original Cobb Theater hard drive. He gave this particular exhibit to our office, and we, in turn, turned it

1 over to Mr. Koenig. 2 We have stipulated to that in a written 3 document that this Court has signed. I just wanted 4 to preface that so that the Court knew what we were 5 doing. 6 THE COURT: That's fine. 7 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 8 Q. Now Mr. Koenig --9 MR. ESCOBAR: May I approach? 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 12 Mr. Koenig, I'm going to show you what's been Q. marked as Defense Exhibit No. 38; is that correct? 13 14 Α. Yes, sir. 15 0. And ask you if you recognize that particular 16 exhibit? 17 This is a Seagate 2 terabyte expansion Α. 18 desktop drive -- hard drive. 19 And from whom did you receive that? 0. 20 Α. Your office. 21 Okay. And was that told to you as being the **Q**. 22 hard drive that Detective Aaron Smith had imaged or 23 cloned, whatever word is best suited for that, from the 24 original Cobb Theater hard drive that he had obtained? 25 Α. That's our understanding, yes.

1 Q. Okay. 2 MR. ESCOBAR: May I approach, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. ESCOBAR: 4 Mr. Koenig, I'm going to show you what's been 5 6 marked as Defense Exhibit No. 15. 7 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, just so that the 8 Court knows, this is going to be the process for the 9 next few exhibits. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 12 Do you recognize Exhibit No. 15? Q. 13 Α. This is a copy made in our lab of Exhibit 38. 14 So is that a correct true copy of Exhibit No. Q. 15 38 that you all made? You imaged, cloned Exhibit 38 to 16 be Exhibit 15? 17 Correct. Α. 18 0. Okay. 19 Your Honor, we would, at this MR. ESCOBAR: 20 point in time, move Exhibit No. 15 into evidence. 21 It's been stipulated to by the Government, and I can 22 approach the clerk, and I'm handing the clerk Exhibit No. 15 -- Defense Exhibit No. 15. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. It's now going to be 25 numbered No. 8, as I indicated they come in.

1 They're numbered sequentially as they come in. 2 that will be entered Exhibit No. 8. 3 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, so I could get this 4 out of the way, I might as well do it now. 5 THE COURT: Counsel, we have another request 6 for copies of exhibits being entered into evidence. 7 My response is going to be that it can be made --8 request for copies can be made to the attorney who 9 has that ability, and that's not going to interrupt 10 our proceedings here today. Fair enough? 11 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, Exhibit No. 39, 12 again, has been stipulated to between the Government 13 and the Defense. Exhibit No. 39 it is a clone image 14 of the Cobb Theater hard drives that my expert, Adam 15 Sharp from E-Hounds, did in 2015. 16 I'm going to now tender that, too. If I can 17 approach the witness? 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 20 Mr. Koenig, will you look at Exhibit No. 39 and 21 tell me if you recognize that particular exhibit? 22 Α. I do. 23 And what is that an exhibit of? Q. 24 This is a Seagate 4 terabyte backup plus hard Α. 25 drive that we received from a company called E -- capital

1 E dash H, and then hounds, O-U-N-D-S, Data Recovery out 2 of Clearwater, Florida, on August 25th of 2015. 3 And were you told that that was the hard drive 0. 4 that Mr. Sharp had actually copied from the original Cobb 5 Theater hard drives? That was our understanding, yes. 6 Α. 7 0. Okay. 8 MR. ESCOBAR: May I approach, Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 11 I'm going to show you now what's been marked as 12 Defense Exhibit No. 23, and ask you to take a look at 13 Exhibit No. 23 and see if you recognize that exhibit? 14 Α. Yes. That's a copy we made of your Exhibit No. 15 39 in our lab. 16 Q. And is that a true and correct copy, cloned 17 image of Exhibit No. 39? 18 Α. It's a forensic clone or image, yes. Yeah. 19 MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, we, at this point in 20 time, would move, and it's been stipulated to 21 between the Government and the Defense, we would 22 move into evidence Exhibit No. 23 -- Defense Exhibit No. 23. 23 24 THE COURT: Which will now be 9 to be admitted.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

Q. I want to take you through the process of what you did with reference to Exhibit No. 23. Let me have that -- and I guess it's Exhibit 9 that's been admitted into evidence. If I can just hand that and I will make sure that it is 9. I'm going to give that back to you as admitted Exhibit No. 9.

Did you work off the original cloned hard drive that Mr. Sharp had done for us in 2015 or did you work off Exhibit No. 9 that has now been introduced into evidence?

A. We started working on the Exhibit 8 that we received, and we told your office we really want an exact copy of the original hard drive from the theater, the hard drives plural.

So we -- we started working on that -- on No. 8, but then once we got No. 9, we stopped doing anything with 8 and only used 9.

Q. Let's talk a little about 8, if I can.

MR. ESCOBAR: If I may approach the clerk again?

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

Q. In looking at Exhibit No. 8, which is the copy of the hard drive that Detective Aaron Smith had copied for the Defense, why was it that we wanted to get our own

1 | hard drive clone done in this matter?

- A. Because it's actually a re-encoded copy. It's not the original.
- Q. And so explain to the Court what that means by a re-encoded copy, not the original.
- A. As I've mentioned before, they use these specialized programs in there. So to be able to play it back --
 - Q. Are those the proprietary --
 - A. The proprietary.
 - Q. -- that are in the DVR itself?
- A. Correct. They -- you can't just play them on a regular computer. So I don't think the detective was wrong at all in making a copy that was playable; however, from a forensic prospective of getting the best quality, getting all the data correct, we need to go back to look at the original.

The detective in the police department would just not have that capability. If they had it, they wouldn't even be able to do anything about it. So we needed that to do our analysis of that original. And there are differences between 8 and 9, you know.

Do you want me to --

Q. I want you to tell the Court what those differences were that caused us to go out there and now

retain Mr. Sharp and have a cloned image of the Cobb Theater hard drives.

A. Let me explain what re-encoding means. It means that basically it keeps the general same format, but it can change things and make it so that it works in a regular system. That's what happened here.

And so they kind of kept it in the same format, but by doing that, it meant you re-encoded it, which meant you compressed it again. Every time you compress it, you lower the quality.

If you took a JPEG image out of a camera and made another JPEG, you're going to lower the quality.

It's just how it works. So 9 is of slightly better quality, even though they aren't very good quality, than 8. So right off the bat, that's a difference right there.

Q. Okay.

- A. It's -- it's a little better quality.
- 19 Q. What other differences?
 - A. Okay. The times -- the times, like I told you, are embedded within the -- what we call the metadata of the file. It says, okay, here's the video information and here's the time and date that matches that particular frame or image in the video. Okay?

We discovered that when you make this

conversion, the program changes the time slightly. Not a lot, it's like probably around twenty-thousandths of a second, but to us we see it. I mean, I don't think it's critical to this case particularly, but it changes it and does it.

Third, it puts a time code right in the image itself. We don't really like that because what if there's something in that part of image you want to see. Yeah, so we really don't like it to be in there if we have a choice.

The other problem that Counsel mentioned is that it adds duplicate frames. So in other words, if there's an area where it's not recording, it just takes the last picture, it just keeps that picture there until it records another picture.

So they're the four main things. There's some other minor changes but they're the four things that change by going that process.

Q. Okay. And so we got you a virgin hard drive for you to work on, and you made a copy of that particular hard drive, Q6. Just so that the Court knows that a lot of these are going to be in Q form.

So that particular exhibit now that we are working off of is Q6?

A. Correct.

1 And so, did you work off that particular Q. 2 exhibit when you were doing your work, that means the 3 exact cloned copy, or did you work still on the original 4 hard drive that was provided to you by Adam Sharp and E-Hounds? 5 6 Α. I don't think we worked on the original at all. 7 I mean, once you make an exact copy and prove it's an 8 exact copy, what difference does it make? 9 Q. Okay. 10 But we probably -- we usually just don't touch Α. 11 the original then. We make our copy and work off of it 12 and that's where we go. 13 Q. And so that would be the exhibit that is in 14 front of you, Q6? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. Okay. 17 MR. ESCOBAR: Now, Your Honor, can we approach? 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 It's just that this is probably a MR. ESCOBAR: 20 very good time to break, if we're going to break for 21 lunch, because we're going to be getting into the, 22 you know, some of the video production that I would 23 rather not break up. 24 THE COURT: Any objection to that?

No.

MR. MARTIN:

1 THE COURT: It seems like an appropriate time 2 if we are going to get into the video. Without 3 further ado --4 MR. ESCOBAR: There's going to be some 5 testimony, but we're getting very close. 6 THE COURT: All right. Well, we don't have to 7 go through all the identification all over again, 8 right? 9 MR. ESCOBAR: Right. 10 THE COURT: Then, how long does Counsel wish 11 for lunch? 12 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, if we could have an hour, 13 an hour 15 minutes. 14 THE COURT: All right. That will put us, say, 15 1:15 you want to come back? 16 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, and another request. Mr. 17 Reeves obviously is here. He has to have some 18 lunch. Is it appropriate, with the Court's 19 approval, for him to be able it go to lunch with his family, if necessary? 20 21 THE COURT: Certainly. 22 MR. ESCOBAR: Okay. I know that his wife is here. He will not have conversations about what's 23 24 happening in here with his wife. 25 THE COURT: Correct.

1 MR. ESCOBAR: Is there any prohibitions with 2 him having lunch with his wife? 3 THE COURT: No. Just, you know, obviously don't discuss what is going on in the courtroom just 4 5 as you wouldn't want any other witness. She is a 6 witness, supposed to testify today, correct? 7 All right. That's the only prohibition. 8 Obviously, I'm sure you've got her depo, so I'm a 9 little safeguarded here, but I have no qualms 10 otherwise. 11 MR. ESCOBAR: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. We will be in recess 13 until 1:15. 14 (Recess taken.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25