IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY CASE NO. CRC14-0216CFAES

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VOLUME XI

CURTIS J. REEVES,

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS: Stand Your Ground Motion

DATE:

February 27, 2017

BEFORE:

The Honorable Susan Barthle

Circuit Court Judge

PLACE:

Robert D. Sumner Judicial Center

38053 Live Oak Avenue Dade City, Florida 33523

REPORTED BY:

Charlene M. Eannel, RPR

Court Reporter PAGES 1243 - 1371

VERBATIM PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS, INC. 601 Cleveland Street, Suite 380 Clearwater, Florida 33765 (727)442-7288

```
1
     A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
 2
 3
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
     THE STATE OF FLORIDA:
 4
 5
     Glenn Martin, Assistant State Attorney
     Office of Bernie McCabe, State Attorney
 6
     Pinellas County Judicial Center
     14250-49th Street North
 7
     Clearwater, Florida 33762
 8
     Manny Garcia, Assistant State Attorney
     Stacy Sumner, Assistant State Attorney
 9
     Robert D. Sumner Judicial Center
     38053 Live Oak Avenue
10
     Dade City, Florida 33523
11
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
12
     THE DEFENDANT: CURTIS REEVES
13
     Richard Escobar, Esquire
     Dino Michaels, Esquire
14
     Rupak Shah, Esquire
     ESCOBAR & ASSOCIATES
15
     2917 W. Kennedy Blvd.
     Suite 100
16
     Tampa, Florida
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	
2		PAGE
3	DEFENSE WITNESS	
4	AARON SMITH	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Escobar Cross-Examination by Mr. Martin Redirect Examination by Mr. Escobar	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S		
2	THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.		
3	MR. ESCOBAR: Good morning, Your Honor.		
4	MR. MARTIN: Good morning.		
5	THE COURT: Do we have any matters we need to		
6	address before we get started?		
7	MR. ESCOBAR: Not from the Defense, Your Honor.		
8	THE COURT: Mr. Martin?		
9	MR. MARTIN: Judge, I'm in the process of		
LO	confirming witnesses for Wednesday, and hopefully by		
L1	this afternoon, but the latest by first thing in the		
L2	morning. If we can know if I'm starting Wednesday,		
L3	I have seven people lined up, but as things		
L 4	progress and I know we're getting a late start at		
L 5	10:00, but hopefully seven people on Wednesday		
L6	THE COURT: Okay.		
L7	MR. MARTIN: two on Thursday, which will be		
L8	the FBI expert, which is going to take a long		
L 9	time		
20	THE COURT: Okay.		
21	MR. MARTIN: along with Detective Smith, and		
22	then we'll finish up with some civilians on Friday.		
23	THE COURT: Very good.		
24	MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, just so the Court		
25	knows, we have three witnesses that are going to be		

1 testifying today --2 THE COURT: Uh-hum. 3 MR. ESCOBAR: -- then we will put Mr. Reeves on 4 tomorrow morning. 5 Depending upon how long their cross is going to 6 be, they may be able to get to a witness, if they 7 would like, tomorrow afternoon. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. ESCOBAR: I'm just giving the Court that 10 idea so that -- you know, I know the three witnesses 11 today, you know, we're going to be hopefully getting 12 through with them, and I would ask the Court if for 13 some reason we are not yet finished at 5:00 with my 14 third and last witness, that we maybe run a little 15 bit later so that we can finish that third witness 16 so that tomorrow all we have is Mr. Reeves tomorrow 17 in the morning. They can cross him, and they may be 18 able --19 Is everybody okay with that, Madam THE COURT: 20 Court Reporter? 21 THE REPORTER: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Is everybody okay to stay late, if 23 we need to? 24 All right. 25 MR. MARTIN: I think I might be able to

1 accommodate the Court with a little bit of out of 2 order. Probably with Sue Miller, she's local. 3 Ms. Oulsen is here. She's local, and then maybe -that's all that I'd be able to do. 4 5 I lined up everybody for Wednesday, Thursday 6 and Friday --7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. MARTIN: -- but I'll try to accommodate the Court, but quite frankly, if we do have a two or 9 10 three-hour break, I think I can get it done in three 11 days. 12 I've pared it down to 15 witnesses. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. MARTIN: We might -- and I pared down the 15 testimony of some of those witnesses. We're going 16 for the bang for our buck and we want to move on. 17 THE COURT: Very good. 18 MR. MARTIN: So I think if there's a break -- I 19 would like a break to get all my equipment down here 20 and set up, because I'm not using Defense 21 Exhibits --22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. MARTIN: -- so maybe that would be nice 24 Wednesday early in the afternoon to logistically get 25 all the stuff that I need down here so we're ready.

1 MR. ESCOBAR: You mean Tuesday? 2 THE COURT: Tuesday. It will be Tuesday. 3 MR. MARTIN: Yes, ma'am. Tuesday. I'm sorry. That's fine. 4 THE COURT: 5 MR. MARTIN: The days are running into each 6 I thought Wednesday was March 29th, so there 7 you go. 8 THE COURT: I know. I know. I know. 9 MR. MARTIN: But I think that would be great. 10 That way we won't waste any time because we're 11 getting a late start on Wednesday, right? 10:00 12 o'clock? Because I asked my witnesses to be here by 13 9:00. 14 THE COURT: Right. I have a calendar call that 15 I have to address starting at 9:00, and I can get 16 that done within an hour. 17 MR. MARTIN: That would make it a lot less 18 stressful on us to get all our equipment down here. 19 That's fine. THE COURT: 20 MR. MARTIN: I think we can move it along 21 Wednesday, Thursday, Friday. 22 THE COURT: Okay. I have no doubt we'll 23 manage. Any time after Wednesday morning I'll know 24 what trials I've got for the following week. 25 MS. SUMNER: Judge, I'll bring that down on a

```
1
                I left it on my desk.
        break.
2
             THE COURT: All right. Good.
 3
             MR. MARTIN: I really don't want to go into the
        next week.
 4
             THE COURT: I don't either.
 5
 6
             MR. MARTIN: So we're moving it along, then.
 7
        The State is moving it along. Okay?
8
             THE COURT:
                         That's -- I figured the second half
 9
        would be littler quicker, as it typically is in any
10
       proceeding. Who's your first witness today?
11
             MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, the Defense would
12
        call Detective Aaron Smith.
             MR. MARTIN: Could I have a moment with
13
14
        Counsel, Judge?
15
             THE COURT: Okay.
16
             THE BAILIFF:
                           Step this way, stand right here.
17
        Face the clerk, raise your right hand to be sworn.
18
        (Thereupon, the witness was duly sworn on oath.)
19
             THE BAILIFF: Come have a seat up here. Adjust
20
        the mic. Speak in a loud and clear voice for the
21
        Court.
22
             THE COURT: You may proceed, Counselor.
23
             MR. ESCOBAR:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
24
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
25
    BY MR. ESCOBAR:
```

- Q. Good morning, Detective Smith.
- 2 A. Good morning, sir.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

- Q. Detective, would you please state your full name for the record and please spell your first name?
- 5 A. That's Aaron Wayne Smith. First name is 6 A-A-R-O-N.
 - Q. And how are you employed?
 - A. I'm employed as a major crimes detective with the Pasco Sheriff's Office.
 - Q. Okay. Before we get to that position that you hold with the Sheriff's Office, it's my understanding, Detective, that you spent four years in the U.S. Army.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And that you started your law enforcement career in 1999 initially as a part-time police officer in a little town called -- correct me -- Hillsborough, New Hampshire; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Is that a relatively small town?
- A. Yes, sir. I think the population was somewhere around 8,000 people.
 - Q. Okay. And why is it that you started as a part-time police officer there?
- A. Once I had gotten out of the service, I applied to get the police officer job while I was working, like,

1 general construction jobs.

2 MR. ESCOBAR: Excuse me, Your Honor. Do you

3 need something?

4 THE COURT: No.

5 BY MR. ESCOBAR:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Go ahead.
- A. Yes, sir. So I applied to the Hillsborough

 Police Department and they had both full-time and

 part-time positions. And the first position I was

 offered was as a part-time officer, but then I was hired

 as a full-time officer shortly after that.
- Q. I would imagine you'd have had to go into the academy before becoming part time?
- A. Yes, sir. In the state of New Hampshire, part time is a little different from reserve. Down here, you're actually, like, a fully certified, working-on-your-own officer. You just want the hours. When you go to the part-time police academy, that's, like, nights and weekends.
- Q. So I would imagine you did that nights and weekends part-time academy before 1999?
- A. Well, my actual hire date is 1999. There you have to be hired before you could get into the academy. Unlike here, you have to actually have the job first, so you actually are hired and they send you as part of your

field training while you're going through the academy, so it was simultaneous.

- Q. Okay. Now, you graduated from, I guess, the academy in, actually, the year 2000?
- A. There were two different academies that I actually went to. I completed that part-time academy, which I think was over the span of -- it was either three or four months.

Shortly after that -- by the time I was completing my field training, I did get hired as a full-time officer. So then I had to start the full-time academy, which went through, like, the Christmas holidays and it went into 2000, so...

- Q. Is the part-time academy and the full-time academy different?
 - A. Yes, they are. They're held in two different places.
 - Q. Okay. So how many officers did you have in this town at the time?
- 20 A. I think there are probably about 15 or so 21 officers.
 - Q. Two detectives?
- 23 A. Two detectives, yes.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

22

Q. And it's my understanding that in this town
while you were working there, the Attorney General's

office is the one that actually handles the homicide investigations; is that correct?

- A. Yes, sir. For pretty much the entire state they take the lead for any homicide case.
- Q. Okay. Now, you worked as a police officer there for Hillsborough for about 11 years; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

- 9 Q. Hillsborough is not a particularly violent town 10 correct?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- Q. In fact, you only participated in assisting
 with the investigation -- I guess the Attorney
 General's -- in only two homicides in the 11 years that
 you were there?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Now, you have never investigated a homicide -18 is that correct -- where the issue of self-defense was an
 19 issue?
- 20 A. That is not correct, sir.
- Q. Okay. Well, tell me which ones -- we're talking about Hillsborough right now.
- A. Oh, Hillsborough, that's correct. Not in Hillsborough.
- Q. Okay. Now, you came to Florida in late 2009?

- A. Yes, sir. I believe it was October.
- Q. Of 2009?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. I think so.
- Q. And you came because you were hired by the Pasco Sheriff's Office as a patrol deputy?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And did you have to go through another academy training, or did your academy training from Hillsborough suffice?
 - A. I went through what they call comparative compliance, which is -- you go through high-liability trainings here, but then they also take into account your certifications from out of state, so it's kind of an abbreviated academy, I would call it.
 - Q. Now, between 2009 and 2011, you were in patrol?
 - A. Yes, sir. I think it was between 2011 and 2012 that I became a detective.
- Q. And when you started in patrol, where did you start working in patrol?
- A. Originally, I worked in District 2 in the Land O' Lakes/Wesley Chapel area.
- Q. Did you move to some small community at some point, this time on a contractual basis?
- A. Yes, sir. We have a couple of what we call contract deputies, and I worked for the Meadow Point

area. You're still a functioning deputy; you're just assigned to that specific area.

- Q. That small community?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you did that for, you believe, a year-and-a-half?
 - A. Approximately, yes, sir.
 - Q. While you were working in that Meadow Point, you didn't investigate any homicides there in that location, correct?
 - A. Not that I can recall, sir.
- Q. And so somewhere in October of 2013, you get promoted to the detective division; is that correct?
- A. I believe it was 2012, sir, when I started as a missing person detective.
 - Q. Do you know what month in 2012?
 - A. I believe it was around October or November, but I am not positive about what.
- Q. Okay. And that unit of missing persons, what unit is that comprised of?
 - A. At the time I was in, it was two detectives, and we were assigned to the major crimes squad in District 2. So we're still part of that squad and we answer to the same sergeant, but we are independent detectives working mainly on missing person cases.

- Q. What sort of training did you get before going into that particular unit?
 - A. Before going into the unit?

- Q. As a missing persons detective.
- A. Nothing specific to missing persons. I guess I've had several trainings just over the course of my career in general investigations. Once I became a missing persons detective, I did some specific human trafficking investigation courses, things like that.
- Q. Okay. So throughout your period of time there with the Sheriff's Office in patrol and now as a missing persons detective, I would imagine that there's some in-house training that takes place for officer safety, correct?
- A. Yes, sir. We also have our detective field training program.
- Q. And some of those courses, in fact, those yearly courses deal with assessing escalating patterns of violence?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that is important because of your safety as a police officer?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you're taught to -- you're actually put into real-life situations, correct?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Where you're having to observe certain cues that you have to react to?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And if you don't react properly to those particular cues, it could cost you your life?
 - A. That's true.
 - Q. And one of the reasons that the Pasco County Sheriff's Office is so intent on doing this is because things can happen in a moment's notice?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

8

9

- Q. And the process of reacting to the cue and then acting appropriately takes time?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And time is against a police officer when that process is taking place?
- 17 A. Yes, sir. I would agree with that. Excuse me.
- Q. And the cues that you are looking for are all sorts of cues, somebody bowing up?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Someone in a fighting stance?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Facial expressions?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Clenched fist?

```
1 A. Yes.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. Acting out of control based on the environment that that person is in?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Those are all things that you're taught day in and day out in your profession to look for and to react appropriately?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You've also been trained in all these courses
 10 that hands can kill?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Fists can kill?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Hands can cause serious bodily injury?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Great bodily injury?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 O. Hands and fists can do that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Objects can do that?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In fact, your position is that hands are particularly dangerous because they're the most coordinative parts of your body?
- 25 A. Yes, sir. I think that's true.

Q. And you'd certainly agree that a person does not have to have a weapon to injure you seriously or greatly?

- A. That is true, an unarmed can definitely cause injury to somebody.
- Q. Your surroundings are important; you've been taught on a yearly basis you've got to take into consideration your surroundings when you're faced with that situation, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 Q. Because if you're in a darkened area, you're at 12 a disadvantage?
 - A. You can be. Yes, sir.
- Q. If you're in close proximity to the person that's attacking you, it creates a greater danger?
- 16 A. That gives you less time to react to things,
 17 sir. Yes, I agree.
- 18 Q. That's why you're taught to create distance --
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. -- from the person that's attacking you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. It's not an easy process, is it, Detective?
- A. No, it's complicated, sir.
- Q. And in those exercises that you engage in yearly, many times you fail?

- A. It has happened, sir, yes.
 - Q. It's not a good feeling.
- A. It would be a very scary feeling, even in training.
- Q. Tell the Court, even though you're in training, what happens to you -- physiologically to your body, as you're trying to make those decisions in a split-second?
- A. I guess the most common thing we refer to is you get tunnel vision, just meaning you focus maybe on what's directly in front of you and you lose peripherally what's going on around you, and also increased heart rate, just general stress.
 - Q. The blood flow in your body changes?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Your anxiety level is elevated?
- 16 A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And you would agree that you get most of your information from your sight, your vision in a situation?
- A. That's probably split between -- sight and hearing are probably the two most important senses.
- Q. And so if it's darker and if it's louder, now you've got a combination of disadvantages?
- A. I could have some distractions there. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Certainly your focus on the attacker is of

1 primary importance? 2 That's probably your main focus, but like we 3 say, we train against tunnel vision so that you don't 4 lose the possibility of other dangers going on around you 5 at the same time. 6 Even though you've trained against tunnel 7 vision, sometimes you can't help it? 8 Α. It always happens to some extent. 9 Detective, how do you determine if you're going **Q**. 10 to be seriously injured, greatly injured? 11 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to 12 That's so open-ended and broad. I object to 13 the form of the question and, if answered, it would 14 call for speculation just as to what the question 15 means in light of the answer. 16 MR. ESCOBAR: I expect his answer is going to 17 be it's impossible to tell. 18 MR. MARTIN: It doesn't matter what he expects 19 it to be. It's the way he asked the question. 20 THE COURT: Narrow the question a little bit. 21 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 22 Q. You recognize that a person's head is a very 23

- sensitive area, correct?
 - Α. Yes, sir.

24

25

Q. And why is it a sensitive area?

- A. Mainly because it contains your -- obviously your brain or what we call your computer, but also your senses that you use most of your eyesight and your hearing.
 - Q. Obviously if you lose your sight, you're at a greater disadvantage to defend yourself?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. It's my understanding that you've taken some training courses concerning these particular issues also with the FBI?
 - A. I guess -- what specific issue?
 - Q. Use of force, self-defense, officer safety.
- 13 A. Yes, sir. There's always ongoing training for 14 that type of thing, yes.
 - Q. Was that before -- with the FBI before Mr. Reeves' case or after?
 - A. My position with the FBI didn't start until, I think, shortly after this case, so it would have been, I think, probably three or four months later before I started any training with them.
 - Q. Now, you've investigated enough cases to understand the importance of video surveillance evidence in a crime?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Why is that so important?

A. It can give us kind of an independent view, possibly, of the events, and it records them in a specific time period so that we can go back and review.

- Q. Okay. And a goal of your investigation is, obviously, to try to retrieve and preserve that sort of evidence?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

21

22

23

24

- Q. You've also dealt with video surveillance equipment that has motion-activated recording as well as infrared capability --
 - A. Yes, sir. I have.
 - Q. -- is that correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And, likewise, there was a great value in that?
 - A. In the evidence from it, yes, sir.
- Q. So you started a new division in December of 2013?
- A. In -- as a major crimes detective, yes, sir.

 But like I said, I was still in that unit in missing

 persons. I believe that was 2012 I started.
 - Q. Tell this Court how you were promoted in December of 2013 from a missing persons detective.
 - A. Around that time frame, I was selected to fill an open position in major crimes in the same squad that I was working on, so essentially it was -- it's not

necessarily a promotion. We don't consider it a promotion. You're still a detective, but it's a lateral change of unit.

- Q. Now, could you tell the Court when in December?
- A. As far as what day? That, I'm not sure.
- Q. Yeah. What part of the month?

- A. I believe that was earlier in the month, but off the top of my head, I don't recall the date.
- Q. So when you get placed in this division, you are now a major crimes homicide detective?
- A. We don't have a separate homicide division, per se, so major crimes handles any crimes against people which involves sex crimes, robbery up to and including homicide. But as a general rule in the unit, you're not assigned to be a primary or case detective in a homicide until you've had at least one advanced homicide school and the amount of experience that your supervisor decides is appropriate, I quess.
- Q. So being placed now in this particular position, you're having various tasks. One of them is also to assist in the investigation of homicide?
- A. Yes, sir. Even starting in missing persons, we're included in that, but you'll never be assigned to be what we call "the case detective."
 - Q. You're kind of interviewing witnesses, helping

out at the scene, doing all those other things?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Now, tell me what in-house training you took from the Pasco County Sheriff's Office when you first went into this new position in December of 2013.

 So that's about a month prior to this incident?
 - A. Approximately. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. There was no specific classes that I took within the Sheriff's Office. When you're reassigned, even though you're still a detective from one unit to the next, you go through another abbreviated field training program.

So you're assigned a training detective to -it's not a full FTO because you've done that as an
assigned detective, but I guess more specific to your job
functions in your new job.

- Q. So who were you assigned to?
- A. Detective Proctor.
- Q. Now, when you're assigned to investigate homicides, one of the things that you're required to take in the form of a course is the -- to go to the homicide investigator school?
- A. Yes, sir. It's -- before you're assigned as primary or lead investigator, supervision has deemed --

there's nothing written in stone necessarily, but that's our policy and procedures.

- Q. And you took that homicide investigator course after the investigation of the Curtis Reeves shooting incident?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Why did you take it before?
- A. Just for opportunity of time to take the class. And, generally speaking, when you first get into the unit, you're sent to other classes, sex crimes investigation that I've taken, things like that, to build up to, eventually, the advanced homicide.
- Q. Well, I realize that, but since you got placed in this position in December and this shooting incident happened in January, why didn't you take this course in December when you were first placed in this position?
- A. The requirement is not to participate -- or to take the class to participate in a homicide investigation. It's to be the lead investigator, which I was not.
- Q. Okay. So before the Curtis Reeves shooting investigation, you were never assigned as the lead detective in a homicide; that's correct?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. You assumed a role or you were given a role --

pardon my introduction there. You were given a role in the Curtis Reeves shooting investigation as the lead crime scene detective?

A. Yes, sir. We have kind of a procedure on scene when our -- anytime there's a homicide investigation, we respond to it as a squad, so the sergeant or whoever is in charge will dole out specific jobs. The two main ones, I guess, that will happen every time would be the lead investigator and the crime scene detective.

Then depending on the need, there will be other detectives assigned for interviews, for possibly responding to a hospital, different things like that.

- Q. Now, you had never been assigned as the lead crime scene detective in any homicide?
- A. No, sir. I had been assigned it one other time before this case.
 - O. As a --
 - A. As a crime scene detective.
- 19 | 0. As a lead?

- A. Well, not as the lead investigator, sir. We don't determine a lead crime scene, I guess. It's typically just one person assigned to that job.
- Q. Well, would you agree that you had very little experience in being a crime scene detective?
 - A. That was -- like I said, there was one other

time I had been assigned that job, yes.

- Q. Page 94. Did you know what case that was?
- A. I don't remember the case number. I know
 Mr. Ortega was the subject who had fired the shots in the
 case, sir. I can't recall the victim's name off the top
 of my head.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you were first called out to this particular case, you were actually working with Detective Moyer on a missing persons case --
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - 0. -- is that correct?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And that's where you heard the call come out, and as a result of that, you went to the Cobb Theater?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When you arrived at the Cobb Theater, was it Detective Harris that assigned you to first start interviewing some witnesses?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, you would agree that you've been trained as a law enforcement officer that it is very important when you're going to be interviewing witnesses that you first go into the crime scene and get a visual perspective of what you have so that you're equipped with some knowledge to ask appropriate questions?

- A. It does depend on the situation, sir. A lot of times you definitely do want to do that, but there are other considerations for contamination of the scene that we do. Even though detectives limit the amount of people, if it's appropriate for someone to maybe give you an oral synopsis of what's going on, that's not uncommon for us to do.
 - Q. Are you telling this Court that you were concerned that by going into the scene of the theater, that you somehow were going to contaminate that scene?
 - A. Not necessarily, but you want to keep as tight of controls, that you can just to make sure that somebody doesn't pick up an item that they didn't realize was part of the scene. You know, if you don't have a good understanding -- we're very, very cautious as detectives just where we walk, even if we have an understanding of what occurred where, because there will be obvious places that there are no evidence and places that there are, so we try to keep traffic down to a minimum.
 - Q. Well, you're aware that there were officers inside the theater at the time that you arrived?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Do they have some exception that you don't?
- A. Well, the original responding officers obviously, sir. Once something is still an active

incident, there could be any number of people or officers involved.

Once the situation is kind of gained control of and we start to remove people out of it, that's kind of when we establish that more secure crime scene.

- Q. Well, you would agree that sometimes information that you're getting from a witness may not make much sense unless you know what the layout of the scene is?
 - A. That can be the case sometimes. Yes, sir.
- Q. And as you've indicated before, there might be a whole myriad of questions that you may be able to develop just by going into the scene while you're asking that witness that list of questions?
- A. There can be, sir, from both sides. You might develop questions in your mind from a statement a witness gives you that when you see it, you either have follow-up questions or it appears to be something different from what they've told you.
- Q. Now, when you first started your interview process, the first person that you interviewed was Mrs. Reeves?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Q. And since you were assigned to do some interviews, I'm sure that you had a recorder nearby.

- A. At that time, I did not. No, sir.
 - Q. Where was your recorder?
- A. Honestly, I'm not sure. The assignment that
 we'd been on previously, the missing person
 investigation, I didn't need it, so I am not 100 percent
 sure where it was at that point.
 - Q. Well, by that time there were quite a few officers out there, right?
 - A. By the time we got there, yes.
 - Q. And having a recorder is not an odd thing for detectives and officers to have?
 - A. No, sir.

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So did you go and ask an officer to allow you to borrow a digital recorder so that you could record this interview with Mrs. Reeves?
 - A. I did not.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. At the time, sir, I guess I made the decision just to conduct the initial interviews. Detective Harries had said we knew at that point that Vivian and her son were present at the time, and I don't think anyone had gotten a thorough statement from them, so it was to get the information as quickly as I could.
 - Q. What was the hurry?
- A. At that point, sir, we really didn't know what

had gone on, to my understanding.

- Q. So you got all day. You've got all night.

 You've got the next day. You've got three days

 thereafter. What was so -- why were you in such a hurry
 to conduct an interview of such an important witness
 without a recording?
- A. At that point, sir, it was to get the other information to other people on the squad as quickly as I could.
- Q. You would agree that capturing not only what the witness is saying, but certainly the emotional state of the witness while you're questioning her is crucial?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And so if you have the recorder on, not only are you grasping what the witness is saying, but just as important, you're grasping the emotional side of that person as they're explaining what took place.
- A. Yes, sir. It's a combination of that and annotating it in your report. Because sometimes the facial expressions and stuff like that isn't something that's going to be captured on an audio recording, so you try to describe that when you do your interviews.
- Q. So you would expect, certainly, that from the very beginning of an interview, in order to capture that emotion, that if a detective has a digital recorder, you

turn that recorder on immediately when the questioning is going to be taking place?

- A. Generally speaking, we will turn it on before we even walk in the room most of the time.
 - Q. So you can capture it all?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Certainly, you would have expected the same from all of the detectives that were interviewing eyewitnesses there at the Cobb Theater?
 - A. I guess I don't understand the --
- Q. Well, you weren't the only detective interviewing witnesses, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so you expected the same procedure, "Hey, if you're a detective interviewing an eyewitness of this incident," would you expect that detective to have a digital recorder and go through the process as you would have? Meaning, I'm going to turn on that recorder before I even go into this room with this witness, and I'm going to record that witness from beginning to end so that I can capture everything.
- A. We do commonly record, but we don't record every interview that we do. So I wouldn't necessarily expect that on an investigation of this size that every interview was recorded, no, sir.

1 Well, I'm not talking about an interview of the Q. 2 cook that was up in the kitchen, but I'm talking about an 3 interview --4 MR. MARTIN: This is becoming argumentative. Ι 5 object to the argumentative nature of the 6 questioning even though it is, quote, an adverse 7 witness, hostile. He just simply doesn't like the 8 answer. 9 Judge, I don't believe he's MR. ESCOBAR: 10 hostile, just adverse. But Detective Smith and I 11 have had a professional relationship. I think he 12 can tell you that I've treated him with courtesy and he has with me. 13 14 I'm not being ugly with him. I'm just asking 15 him this particular question. 16 MR. MARTIN: And I didn't say he was being 17 ugly. I just said it is argumentative, at this 18 point. 19 All right. You're getting there. THE COURT: 20 I'll cover a little different aspect, so I'll allow 21 it, but we're getting into asked and answered as 22 well. 23 I understand, Your Honor. MR. ESCOBAR: 24 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 25 What I'm talking about is eyewitnesses. Q. You

don't have to, obviously, record someone that has no information because they weren't in there. But for eyewitnesses, you want to employ the same procedure that you've just discussed, and that is, whatever the detective may be, you go into the room with a recorder in hand. And before you even go into that room, you turn on that recorder so that you can ask the questions so that you can capture it all; emotion, uncertainty, certainty, description, everything. Right?

- A. I guess the best way for me to answer that is, yes. I expect that the -- it's -- it's preferred to have the recording, honestly, anytime you have an important witness, so...
- Q. And the reason that it's so important is that in these situations, we can't make mistakes?
- A. Well, I would have to disagree with you there, sir. Everybody makes mistakes, but yes, we try to be as absolutely thorough as we can and try not to miss anything.
- Q. Sir, could you tell the Court whether all the eyewitnesses in this particular case were interviewed with a recorder present?
- A. I guess I can't tell you that for certain.

 I've not reviewed all of the audio, but I would say most likely not.

Now, Mrs. Reeves you remember vividly was Q. 2 upset, crying, pale, and physically shaking?

- Α. Yes, sir.
- You believe she was in her 70s?
- 5 Α. If I wrote that in my notes, possibly, sir. Ι 6 honestly don't remember if I --
 - Very thin woman? 0.
- 8 Α. Yes.

1

3

4

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 9 **Q**. There was another detective there with you. It 10 wasn't just you, correct?
- 11 That's correct, sir. Detective Moyer.
- 12 Did you ask Detective Moyer whether he had his Q. 13 recorder?
 - Α. I honestly don't recall if I asked him or not, sir. I would say I probably would have once we were inside the theater, but I can't say for sure.
 - Now, you had your recorder in the car; did you Ο. not?
 - Most likely it would have been either in the Α. car or my office. I'm not certain.
 - That would have been a short walk? **Q**.
- 22 Α. Yes, sir.
- 23 Now, during your interviews where you're not 24 using a recorder, you take notes sporadically, meaning 25 you're not jotting down every word, you're not -- you

wait until the end of the interview to do that?

- A. Yes, sir. You take some notes and then you go and usually write follow-up notes of things that you remember.
- Q. Now, in interviewing Mrs. Reeves, did you ask her any questions about the lighting conditions that were present in the theater on January 13th of 2013, when she was seated by her husband?
- A. I don't think I asked any specific questions about the lighting. I think I probably asked about what time in the movie it was, like, during the previews, to understand that it was darker, but I don't think I asked specifically what lights may or may not have been on.
- Q. I think you, earlier in direct examination, indicated how important it is in assessing whether perception is reasonable, the lighting condition, because it affects your perception, right?
 - A. Yes, sir. Lighting does affect perception.
- Q. So why wouldn't you ask her specifically about the lighting condition and how it affected, if it did, her perception?
- A. Honestly, sir, I think I assumed, knowing that a movie theater is generally dark -- I kind of had that predisposed knowledge that I knew it would be dark.
 - Q. Did you know how dark?

1 As far as a specific light level I could give Α. 2 you, no, sir. 3 Q. Did you ask her whether there was any 4 silhouetting effect that she experienced when Mr. Oulson 5 was standing in front of them? 6 I don't remember asking a question like that, 7 sir, no. 8 0. Do you know what we're talking about when we're 9 saying ambient light from a --10 As far as being acclimated by something? Α. 11 0. Uh-huh. 12 Α. No, sir. I don't remember asking that 13 question. 14 0. But you realize what happens when that takes 15 place, correct? It diminishes the light on the 16 individual that may be seated in looking at this 17 individual standing up and creates a silhouetting effect? 18 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object. 19 It calls for speculation. It's just way too general 20 a question. We have theater lighting with numerous 21 ambient lights. That's way too general to try to 22 equate in this case. It calls for speculation. I think he's familiar with the 23 MR. ESCOBAR:

light on the back of an individual.

silhouetting effect of a light -- on the ambient

24

THE COURT: To the extent that he knows without pure speculation.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. You're familiar with that process, right?
- A. If I understand you correctly, you're talking about a backlight being behind somebody? Yes, sir.
 - Q. Uh-hum. That creates a silhouetting effect.
- A. Meaning the light could be brighter around the person rather than in front of the person? Yes, sir. I understand that.
- Q. And that it reduces, obviously, the light that is visible on the person that is taking a look at that silhouette?
- A. Depending on where other sources of the light were coming from, then, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. You, likewise, never asked Mrs. Reeves anything about the noise level within the theater?
 - A. Not specifically that I recall. No, sir.
- Q. Did you even know at the time of this particular incident -- when you were interviewing Mrs. Reeves this incident occurred while the previews were playing?
- A. I'm sorry. I guess I don't understand what you're asking.
 - Q. Were you aware that this shooting incident had

1 occurred during the previews playing even before you went 2 to go and interview Mrs. Reeves? That's my question. 3 I believe I did, sir. I guess I can't tell you 4 exactly when I came about that, but I think most likely 5 when I got my initial briefing showing up that that came 6 up, yes, sir. 7 And you're aware that previews are generally 0. 8 played louder in the theater than even the motion picture 9 that you're able to see? 10 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I object to that. 11 That calls for speculation. 12 MR. ESCOBAR: If he knows. It's not -- Judge, 13 that's a very common understanding of any human 14 being that's gone to a theater. 15 MR. MARTIN: No, it's not, and Mr. Escobar was 16 in the sound booth just like I was at Cobb Theater, 17 and he has no basis whatsoever to ask that question. 18 Now, I'm not going to stand here and testify, 19 but I know he has no basis to ask that question, 20 none. 21 MR. ESCOBAR: Do you know how many movies I've 22 been to? I have a very good basis. 23 What did I just say? MR. MARTIN: I said I was 24 in the sound booth. I saw him set the sound. 25 know he has no basis to ask that question. I don't

care what his life experience is.

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, this is the issue. The issue is whether a common individual that's gone to the movies on many occasions -- whether they recognize that the previews are played at a louder noise level than even the general movie. That's my question.

THE COURT: Well, I guess you can ask him does he know.

THE COURT: Other than that, you know.

MR. ESCOBAR: Yeah, that's what I've asked him.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

- Q. Do you know?
- A. I guess, honestly, I'd have to say not necessarily, sir, because I guess it would depend. If it's an action movie and a love story preview, then, no. Could it be? I would assume so, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So did you ask Ms. Reeves, based upon that limited knowledge that you had, what the noise level of the previews were at the time that she was perceiving the threat?
- A. Not that I recall, sir. I don't recall a specific question about the noise.
- Q. And certainly before interviewing Mrs. Reeves, you yourself had not reviewed the previews that were

playing that day?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. No, sir.
- Q. In fact, you didn't even know what previews were playing?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. And you would agree that noise and light, as you've testified earlier, is an important aspect of perception?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So when you're questioning someone concerning their perception of the event, you want to take into consideration those types of things that would affect that person's perception?
- A. I guess in a preliminary interview that I'm conducting with someone like that, I'm probably not going to ask as pointed a question to find out their explanation of what happened, if she had --
 - Q. "In a preliminary interview."
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What does that mean?
- A. Generally speaking, in any major case you conduct multiple interviews by the time you're done and --
- Q. So you would have expected, then, Detective
 Proctor to reinterview every eyewitness in this

particular case because, I guess, all the eyewitnesses were just preliminarily interviewed?

A. Not necessarily, sir, no. Generally speaking, we -- when we train, I guess we call it interview or interrogation, and during an interview it's more of a, "Please tell me your side of the story, what you saw."

You may have some general follow-up questions, but more pointed questions come during the interrogation after you learn more information possibly, from other witnesses, and you don't always go back and interrogate a witness or even follow up with more interviews. It depends on the situation.

- Q. Even if you have those two issues, low lighting, noise, and you want to know how that affects perception, you just don't do it?
- A. No, sir. If it had come up as an issue during the investigation and the investigator determined -- I want to try to determine that, I'm sure they would ask more specific questions about it, but I did not during my interview with Mrs. Reeves.
- Q. Now, you, at some point in time, finished this interview, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Q. And you then started an interview with Matt Reeves; is that correct?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And Matt Reeves, same situation: You didn't record him?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You did not go back to your car in between interviews and go get your recorder?
- A. No, sir. It was conducted in the same place, just like --
 - Q. And you didn't ask Detective Moyer or any other officers for their report?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Now, you knew that Mr. Reeves, Matt Reeves, was a police officer?
 - A. I don't think I knew at the time. Obviously once I started conversing with him, I believe he told me, yes.
 - Q. And, in fact, you indicated to him, "Mr. Reeves, because you're a police officer, I want to you draw me a diagram of where you were and how you were able to see what you saw," in this particular case?
 - A. I do remember during my interview with him there -- I had him draw some notes. I think I drew some notes as well just to get an idea of where in the theater we were talking about, yes.
 - Q. You lost that diagram that Mr. Reeves drafted?

A. I didn't lose it, sir. I used that as part of my notes throughout the day. I continued to write my crime scene notes on it, and after completing my reports on it the notes were destroyed, most likely along with some of my other case notes.

- Q. But wait a minute. He was the one that drew the diagram, not you. Why were you destroying something that he wrote?
- A. It is not -- it wasn't something, sir, that I considered that -- for him to have authored. It was my notes.

Yes, I do believe he did draw some things on there as well, but I was using them as, like I said, my general guidelines throughout that day as to where things were.

- Q. You didn't think that someday he may want to present that in a court of law?
- A. Not at that time, sir. If I thought it would be more of an item of evidence, I probably would have secured it as such, but again, it was for my purposes of notes during the day.
 - Q. Did you tell him you were going to destroy it?
- A. No, sir, I would not have necessarily told anybody that I was talking to.
 - Q. Now, both of these interviews were relatively

1 | short?

- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, by that time did you know Mr. Reeves to have been a former police officer?
 - A. I believe so, sir. I guess, again, I can't tell you exactly when I came up with the information, but most likely.
 - Q. And you recognized that he was a retired captain?
- 10 A. Yes, sir. I think I probably did know that at 11 that time.
 - Q. And you certainly felt as a retired captain that he had had quite a bit of training over the years and that you were going to bring that up to Detective Proctor?
 - A. I guess I don't specifically recall bringing that up --
 - Q. No, no, that you were going to. In other words, you recognized he was a captain. He had, in your opinion, a lot of training through the years and that you were going to -- your intent was to bring that up to Detective Proctor?
 - A. I guess I don't believe so. I mean, I knew

 Detective Proctor was questioning him, so I guess I don't

 recall an instance where I remembered thinking about

```
1
     doing that or doing that because I probably thought he
2
    had the same information that I did.
 3
          Q.
               It's the bottom of page 174, lines 21 through
 4
     25; the top of page 175, lines 1 through 5, do you
 5
     remember me taking your deposition? I believe that was
 6
     June --
 7
               MR. MARTIN:
                            Your Honor, I'm going to object to
8
          the use of the deposition at this time. It is an
 9
          improper method of which to use the deposition.
10
          isn't substantive evidence.
11
               MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, it is proper impeachment
12
          of a witness. Totally proper.
13
               THE COURT:
                           It may be if you're getting on, if
14
          it's substantially different.
15
               MR. ESCOBAR: Yes.
16
               THE COURT: Is it?
17
               MR. ESCOBAR:
                             It is. If you want to see it, I
18
          can show it to you before I even --
19
               MR. MARTIN: No.
                                 The proper method is to show
20
          it to the witness. You ask the witness --
21
               THE COURT: He didn't say he didn't remember.
22
               MR. ESCOBAR:
                             Right.
23
               THE COURT: We're on a different -- you know,
24
          the actual impeachment part.
25
               MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I'll be more than glad to
```

1 show it to you so that the Court has no doubt that 2 it certainly -- in my professional opinion, I 3 believe it's different. 4 THE COURT: All right. As I said before, often 5 what lawyers think is substantially different is often not what I think is substantially different in 6 7 accordance with the case law and the rules, but --8 MR. ESCOBAR: The beauty of this is you're 9 going to hear it and you're either going to --10 THE COURT: Right. So you can either show it 11 to Counsel and show it to --12 MR. MARTIN: If you're going to hear it, you're 13 going to hear it. Let's not waste anymore time. 14 This is the Monday of the second week. BY MR. ESCOBAR: 15 16 Q. Do you remember me taking your depo on 17 March 30th, 2015? 18 Yes, sir. Α. 19 THE COURT: And I will reserve those rulings 20 until I hear it. 21 MR. MARTIN: Yes. 22 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 23 Do you remember me asking you the following Q. 24 questions: 25 Okay. And so tell me, what did you do with

that information -- meaning, the information of Captain Reeves having that training.

So, what did you do with that information, the fact that, you know, Curtis was a police officer? Tell me how you used that information immediately upon Mrs. Reeves giving it to you?

Again, I recognize the fact that he would have some training in use of force. Obviously, if you're a retired captain, you've had lots of training over the years, things like that. He kept that, you know, to bring up to Detective Proctor and other people who are obviously by that time I talked to them were already aware of all of that, because Curtis had identified himself.

So you were going to do that -- all of that information that you believed that Mr. Reeves had in the use of force, his expertise, you wanted to share that with Detective Proctor.

THE COURT: I'll overrule. That's basically what he said.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

- Q. Correct? You wanted to share that with Detective Proctor?
- A. I wanted to share all of the information that I got in my interviews with Detective Proctor.

- Q. Including that information, the fact that
 Curtis Reeves was a captain for many years in the Tampa
 Police Department and, like you, would have received tons
 of training in use of force, correct?

 A. As I said before, sir, I don't specifically
 remember that topic. But yes, any of the investigation
 - A. As I said before, sir, I don't specifically remember that topic. But yes, any of the investigation that -- information that I would have gotten from my interview, and that was part of it, I would have obviously relayed to everybody involved in my chain of command.

- Q. Well, but that information is critically important in a self-defense case, correct? Because it's his perception that's important, correct?
 - MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object. First, it calls for speculation, and it's almost like we're going into a legal aspect of it and no predicate has been laid.
 - MR. ESCOBAR: This is investigative, Judge.

 This is not legal aspect. This individual

 understands use of force. He's testified about use

 of force.

He's now been given the information that Curtis
Reeves has many years of experience in use of force,
and the question of whether that particular
information was critical in his assessment whether

Mr. Reeves' perception was reasonable at that time is critical.

It's a piece of evidence that he has to give to every other detective out there so that they can use that information in their analysis. Because otherwise, what are we going to do? How are we going to do it?

We need to have that information being carried over to other officers so that they can properly evaluate the circumstances.

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to rely on the objections that I've made over the last couple of days. I think that argument speaks for itself, that we're looking at making a determination of whether or not their initial determination that Mr. Reeves was not entitled to any type of immunity for the shooting.

That's not the case. This is not an arrest where we're trying suppress evidence. This is not a motion to suppress. That is water under the bridge. Now we're looking at you have to make an independent determination based on the facts.

So whether or not -- what they thought is not relevant. They already -- we already know what they thought. He was not entitled to it. So now you get

4 5

3

7

6

9

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to make your own independent determination, and that's what we'll live by from this point forward, so it's not relevant.

MR. ESCOBAR: So let's listen to this and see how it really sounds here. We don't have to worry about how they came to that conclusion. We don't have to worry about the information that was given to them in order to make that conclusion.

Let's just assume, Your Honor, that their decisions are right, and now you all just go ahead and put your decisions on and let the Court decide. That's what he's saying which is -- Your Honor, with all due respect, this is preposterous.

This individual had certain information that was given to him that he had to use in that analysis. Because if this case is about perspective and if, in fact, he's got knowledge of use of force for 27 years as a decorated officer with the TPD and he's been given that information, not only does he have to use that information for his own assessment --

MR. MARTIN: Is this a closing argument or are we talking about --

MR. ESCOBAR: -- but he has to use that information for every aspect of the investigation and he has to use that information for every aspect of his opinions. Because the issue here, whether it's an arrest or whether it's this Court's decision, and, that is, whether or not -- at the time of the shooting incident, whether or not Mr. Reeves reasonably perceived in his shoes, 27 years as a decorated TPD officer, with his particular physical condition, all of those conditions, it's in his shoes.

It's not in his shoes. It's not in anybody's shoes. He has to evaluate it in his shoes. That's what the law says.

So how can we now say that the information that I am cross-examining and directing by leading questions of this particular officer are not relevant on that particular issue? It's the focal issue of this case.

MR. MARTIN: The reason it's not relevant is because you're not making your decision on their decision. Yours is independent. It's not relevant.

MR. ESCOBAR: Your decision, Your Honor, has to be based on part of what they gathered. How could the Court make an independent decision without the information that they've gathered?

Is the Court now -- somehow do we presume that

the Court has this --

2

THE COURT: What exactly are you seeking from

MR. ESCOBAR: Very simply, at this point in

3

this witness?

4

5 time, what I'm seeking from this witness is for him

6

to acknowledge that, in fact, he was aware of the

7

experience in dealing with use of force that the

8

detective had and that he was going to share that

9

experience -- because I've got it here -- that he

was -- his intent was to share that experience with

10 11

not only Detective Proctor, but also the other

12

detectives that were having a vital role in this

13

case.

14

THE COURT: Okay. I think we've covered that

MR. ESCOBAR: Well, there was an objection when

15

already.

16

that question was asked.

17 18

THE COURT: All right. I kind of lost track of

19

the question about 10 minutes ago in the interim,

20

but if that's the question, go ahead, but let's

21

stick to that. I don't need -- you know, just stick

22

Go ahead. Overruled.

24

23

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

to that.

25

Q. You knew that Mr. Reeves -- when you were

1 interviewing both of these witnesses, you knew that 2 Mr. Reeves was armed in that theater? 3 Α. Yes, sir. You did not find it unusual that he would be 4 5 armed? 6 I guess not necessarily, no, knowing that he 7 was a prior law enforcement officer, you know, frequently 8 carry off duty or when you're retired. 9 Q. And you are aware that there's actually a 10 federal statute that encourages law enforcement to --11 retired law enforcement to carry a firearm? 12 Your Honor, I object, because the MR. MARTIN: 13 word "encourages it" gives him the authority. 14 That's all -- that "encourage" is not in the federal 15 statute. 16 THE COURT: If it's not, rephrase, then. 17 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 18 Authorizes? 0. 19 Authorizes, yes, sir. I would agree with that. Α. 20 Do you know why they authorize it, the federal Ο. 21 statutes, that is? 22 Α. Do I know specifically, like, the reasons for 23 the statute?

Sure. Yeah, the statutory intent, that's what

24

25

Q.

they call it.

- A. My -- I guess my general understanding when the bill came out was just to give officers -- because generally speaking, the state you were certified in you could always carry, but it just gives the officers the ability to carry from state to state with a single common statute over it.
 - Q. And you have to qualify for that?
 - A. Yes, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You want to make sure that those officers that do have that license are competent?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So your interview of both of these witnesses were very general, and you just met them, tell their story, very few questions on your part?
- A. Yes, sir. It was more of a preliminary interview to find out what they'd seen.
- Q. Now, when you finished -- when you finish these interviews, do you report back to a supervisor concerning the information that you're finding?
- A. Generally, yes, sir. When you're given a specific task like that, you would go back to the sergeant and report back to him what information you gathered.
 - Q. And would that be Sergeant Harris?
 - A. Yes, sir. At the time our sergeant was out of

town.

- Q. Did you indicate to Sergeant Harris you had determined that at the time of this incident, the theater was dark or darker and that the noise level was up pretty high?
- A. I don't specifically remember speaking about that. I think I relayed to him that both witnesses reported it was during the previews. And I know some of the testimony that Matt had given me was that it was dark when he had walked in, so I think, generally speaking, that was it, but I don't remember a direct conversation with Detective Harris about that.
- Q. Certainly at that point in time, you gave no opinions to Detective Harris, correct?
- A. I honestly don't recall. If you could ask about a specific opinion, maybe.
- Q. Any opinion. You interviewed two people. Did you give anybody an opinion by that time?
- A. I probably spoke to my opinion of their level of truthfulness, things like that. As far as what had happened in the case at that point, no, sir, because I don't believe I had enough information.
- Q. And you told Harris, "Hey, I think these two people are being truthful"?
 - A. I had no reason to believe that they were lying

1 to me. No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, it's at this point in time that you get assigned as the lead crime scene detective, correct?
- A. Yes, sir, very shortly after completing the interviews.
- Q. And you never saw Mr. Proctor or Detective Proctor come to the crime scene until much later, correct?
- A. I know when I first arrived, he was not there.

 I believe he was conducting an interview with Mr. Reeves,
 so I didn't see him specifically when he came in. I'm
 not sure.
 - Q. And, again, this was your first time as a -- as the lead crime scene detective?
 - A. Second time, sir.
- 16 O. The second time?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What courses had you taken in crime scene detective analyzation?
 - A. I guess there's not -- there are some courses for forensic processing that I had taken as far as dealing mainly with fingerprints, with castings. I think we covered very basic collection of DNA and things like what.
 - My previous law enforcement experience in New

Hampshire, because we were such a small department, we did all of our forensic processing ourselves. There was no forensic department, if you will. So I've had some up there.

A large part of my training, as far as reconstructing a scene, came from my accident reconstruction experience up there.

We treat -- even though it's an outside venue and larger, we do measurements, photographs, things like that, so I would say that's probably the bulk of my training in any type of evidence collection of crime scene type things.

- Q. So you probably had some human factors training?
 - A. I don't understand what you're --
- Q. Accident reconstruction, you probably had some training in what we call human factors?
- A. As far as a person's influence on how things occur, that type? Is that what you're referring to?
 - O. Reaction time?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Tell the Court what human factors are.
- A. Well, you always have to -- when reconstructing, say, a crash scene, you have to take into account people's possible level of distraction; a perfect

reaction time if they had seen an object to try to avoid
before they could react, improper correction, say, to
swerve or lock up their brakes, different things like
that.

- Q. All of that takes time because you have to perceive it, you've got to go through a mental process, right? That takes time as well?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Then you have to make a plan, a decision of what you're going to do, right? That takes time as well?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Then you've got to actually carry out the plan, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

- 15 Q. That takes time as well?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So at least you'd had some factors back then.
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, when you walk into the scene, your first process was to walk the scene and see what you had, right?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. One of the things that you noticed --
- MR. ESCOBAR: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 25 THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Detective, I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit No. 33 that has been introduced into evidence.

I want you to take a look at that exhibit and tell me if you recognize that exhibit.

- A. Yes, sir. My initials are on the bag. This appears to be the cell phone that we collected from the floor of the theater.
- Q. If you would be so kind to remove that phone from that bag for us, if you can.
 - A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. Now, when you first walked into that scene, you were able to see that particular phone on the ground, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you were able to see that phone on the ground in the very top row, middle section of that theater?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | O. On the floor?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do you remember the positioning of the phone, whether it was face-up or face-down when you first saw it?

A. It was face-up.

Q. Okay. Now, did you recognize at that point in time that that particular item was a piece of evidence?

A. We treated it as a piece of evidence as that was everything in the immediate vicinity of that row, because we knew that, generally, was where the Defendant had been seated and the victim had been seated. I did

not know specifically where that item had come from at

9 that time.

incident, correct?

Q. And you didn't know specifically what seat Mr. Reeves had been seated in at the time of the shooting

When you walked in there, when you got whatever information you got from your sergeant, you had no idea when you went into that scene what seat Mr. Reeves was seated in at the time of the shooting?

A. Correct. We determined that there were no seat numbers and we didn't have any kind of verification as to -- we knew within, I believe, probably three or four seats what area we were talking about, but not specifically the specific seat.

Q. You didn't know either what seat Mrs. Reeves was seated in?

A. I believe in relation to Mr. Reeves, I knew she was to his right side, but again, specifically which seat

1 that was, no, sir. 2 So you just had access to Mrs. Reeves, right? Ο. 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 Q. And did you ask Ms. Reeves to come with you into the scene so, at the very least, early on in your 5 6 investigation, she could point out the actual seat that 7 she was seated in? 8 Α. No, sir. She did not come into the theater. 9 And you didn't ask her to? **Q**. 10 No, sir. Α. 11 Well, did you ask Matt Reeves -- who is a Q. 12 police officer in the Tampa Police Department, correct --13 Α. Correct. 14 -- who you believe was very truthful to you 15 during your interview. Did ask him to --16 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to 17 the last gratuitous comment. 18 MR. ESCOBAR: Just -- he testified that he 19 believed he was truthful. 20 MR. MARTIN: I haven't heard that testimony. 21 MR. ESCOBAR: Well, let me ask him. 22 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 23 Let me ask you, Detective, did you indicate Q. 24 that you believed Matthew Reeves was truthful to you? 25

Yes, sir. I said I didn't have any reason to

1 believe that he had been lying to me. 2 If you can, I'm going to put that a little bit Q. 3 closer to you, because part of problem may be that Mr. Martin is --4 5 MR. MARTIN: No. Part of the problem, the question wasn't asked before, that's what the 6 7 problem was. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. ESCOBAR: Detective --10 THE COURT: It's been asked. 11 It has, Your Honor. If we want MR. ESCOBAR: 12 to get this court reporter, she will pull it right 13 away. 14 THE COURT: If not before, it was asked just 15 I recall hearing the question previously, 16 though, about: Did you believe these witnesses were 17 truthful? I don't remember specifically hearing Mr. 18 Reeves, Matt Reeves --19 MR. ESCOBAR: He said both at the time. 20 said both of those individuals were truthful to him. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 23 Now, you didn't ask Mr. Reeves to come in and Q. 24 point out the seats, correct? 25 Α. No, sir.

Q. I'm going to show you, first of all, what's
been marked as -- introduced into evidence Defendant's
Exhibit No. 26.

I'm going to ask you to take a look -- I'm

I'm going to ask you to take a look -- I'm going to ask you to take a look at that particular exhibit, and do you recognize that exhibit?

- A. Yes, sir. It appears to be one of the crime scene photographs from that day.
- Q. Is that the condition of the cell phone as you saw it when you first went into that crime scene?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ESCOBAR: Can you zoom that in?

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

- Q. Did you also see in that particular same general area the bag that appears within that photo?
- A. Yes, sir. Everything -- the position of the things that are in the photo is as I remember seeing them when I got there.
- Q. So, Detective, your feelings were that when you first went into this theater, you felt you were kind of blind because you really didn't know where the participants in the shooting incident were situated?
 - A. I wouldn't say that, sir. I did have an

understanding of the general overall events in that one of the items, that being the popcorn, was thrown during it, so seeing the popcorn and the phone there, I was -- I felt within a reasonable certainty those were the seats. I just can't commit to you to say for sure I knew which one was which.

- Q. Now, do you know if by the time that you walked into the theater -- did you know if Mr. Reeves had been interviewed yet by Detective Proctor?
- A. Upon entering into the crime scene, I believe the interview was either in progress or it may have been finished. I'm not sure.
- Q. And tell me or tell the Court -- more importantly, tell us the communication that you had with Detective Proctor in the sharing of information. Was that a direct communication between yourself and Detective Proctor?
- A. At some point, yes, but upon my first arrival, like I said, Detective Proctor wasn't there. I believe he was involved in the interview with Mr. Reeves, so most of the information sharing I'd done I guess would have been through Detective Harris.
 - Q. Detective Harris?
- A. Yes, sir.

Q. By that time, had Detective Harris indicated to

you that Mr. Reeves had indicated to Proctor that he believed that he had been possibly hit with Mr. Oulson's phone?

- A. At the time that I arrived and first started processing, no, I was not aware of that.
- Q. That would have been important information for you?
- A. At some point during the investigation, I did become aware of it, yes, sir. It obviously is an important statement.
- Q. Now, one of the first things that you wanted to do there at the scene is that you wanted to try to capture evidence, secure evidence and certainly focus on what the perception of Mr. Reeves was as he was seated in the theater chair?
- A. I guess at this time, my specific duties were more towards the capturing of the evidence in place, not necessarily to come up with an opinion on the perception.

 I guess that would be more for Detective Proctor and the chain of command to decide.
- Q. Well, how are you going to know what to properly capture unless you understand that there's a self-defense issue here in this case?
- A. The understanding of events is obviously important to knowing that, but I guess the perception

part, I guess, is just where -- I don't think I necessarily would have to understand that as far as the physical processing.

- Q. Well, depending upon where you're seated, you may have a different perception of what's taking place, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you knew that there were cameras in the theater, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And do you think that a camera 36 feet up on a theater wall is going to give you the same perspective as an individual seated in a seat while someone is confronting him and attacking him?
 - A. No, sir. It would definitely be different.
 - Q. Well, tell the Court why it would be different.
- A. I guess the general rule of thumb when you're analyzing video evidence, there's factors that you can't see. You're looking at a very specific angle. Things may be blocked from view, and only whatever is visually captured right there gives you some sort of reference, but you don't necessarily understand the interaction between anything in the video so you have to understand a little bit of both, I guess.
 - Q. It's almost like going to a football game. If

you sit down low, you get a different perspective of a football game, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

20

21

22

- Q. Then when you sit real high in a football stadium, you actually are able to see the plays develop?
- A. I guess you'd see a broader view, like. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, one of the things that you want to do before you even start securing anything in a crime scene is you want to make sure that it either has or has not been disturbed prior to your arrival?
 - A. I guess I don't understand your question, sir.
- Q. Yeah. You were out interviewing two witnesses, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Before you even went into the crime scene?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. And what time did you arrive to the location to 19 the Cobb Theater?
 - A. I think if I could refer to my note --
 - Q. Anytime you need to. I'm one of those, we want you to get to the truth, not --
- A. It looks like at approximately 2:25 in the afternoon.
 - Q. Somewhere around an hour, approximately, after

the incident?

- A. Probably, sir, because I know I was in New Port Richey at the time that it occurred.
- Q. And so you certainly want to know when you had the interviews, so we're maybe an hour-and-a-half after the incident you're going into the crime scene. I know there's a log so we'll have a precise time.

Do you have your log there?

- A. That, I don't. I'm sorry.
- Q. Okay. So you are now going into the crime scene, and one of the most important things that you want to know is: Okay. Who's been in here? What have they done? You know, what do I have to look for? Right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So tell me how you do that. Tell me what you did. More importantly, what did you do in this case in order to find out that information?
- A. Well, in this particular case, like I said, I was kind of late arriving, so by the time I arrived the crime scene tape was secured. It had already been set up.

We called a couple layers of security. There was general crime scene tape at the front doors, but then we had additional tape at the actual entrance to Theater number 10. I remember there were a couple of deputies

there providing security. We had some forensics supplies, so things had been set up already.

So when I first came in, our forensics investigators were already in the process of taking some of the photographs, documenting, so my first step would have been asking them, obviously, because they've been in there processing for --

- Q. You mean to tell me when you got there, your forensic techs were already doing things without your instruction?
- A. Our forensic techs operate independently. They are investigators as well, and they have specific policies and procedures they follow that are not necessarily at my direction. It's more of a team effort, if you will, where we might converse back and forth, but they have certain expertise in doing things that I don't.
- Q. Well, can you tell me which forensic techs that were there before you arrived?
- A. I remember Investigator Parish was there. I believe Investigator Garrison was there. I can't remember specifically, because there was a total of, I think, either four or five techs that arrived at some point, but I just can't tell you for sure who might have come after me. I know there were at least one or two that arrived after I did.

- Q. Do you know what information either one of those two techs had before you arrived at the scene?
- A. Off the top of my head, no. At the time did I speak with them about what they had done and generally just get the overview and have them point things out to me? Yes.
 - Q. So what had they done?
- A. Again, specifically what tasks that they accomplished before I had showed up I'm not certain of.

 I know --
- Q. Well, didn't you memorialize that conversation?

 Didn't you say, "Wait a minute. I just arrived. I want
 to know what information you have, what you've done, if
 you've moved anything, if you've photographed anything?"

You're the crime scene detective, right?
That's what you want to happen?

A. Not at that point, sir, because it's still a work in progress, if you will, and they, again, write their own independent supplements. So if, say, one of the techs is tasked with doing specific measurements, I'm not going to be noting their measurements. They are responsible to do that and do that themselves.

I will probably be aware of it and possibly give you my opinion in order to point out some things that may have been missed, but...

- Q. Well, since you're the crime scene detective and you know that there's a self-defense issue here, aren't you going to want to be talking to them about the measurements that you want?
- A. Again, I guess the best way to answer that, it's a team effort, because I'm also not going to trust just based on what I've seen because I may have missed something that one of the other investigators saw. So there was ongoing discussion throughout the entire time we were at the scene as to what items might be where, what significance they could have, and if we were going to collect them.
- Q. Well, you certainly, at that point in time, couldn't be giving them any requests to take measurements from seat to seat, meaning where the Oulsons were seated and where the Reeves were seated, because you had no idea where they were seated?
- A. Correct. At that point, I think, probably upon my arrival, the only thing that had been done would have been some of the photographing.
- Q. Okay. And so how did you go about trying to devise or formulate a plan for what you wanted to preserve in the form of measurements that were going to be relevant in a self-defense case?
 - A. Generally, we looked at it as we would any

other crime scene. We knew we had a very large room, and we were not going to be likely taking measurements of something at the very front of the theater because we knew that it occurred in the top two rows, we knew generally in the middle.

So I think a determination was made we would concentrate mostly on those top three rows of seats and again surrounding middle area, and again, we did -- we could see where the cell phone was on the floor, the bag of popcorn was on the floor, and I guess we kind of picked that as the central point and moved out from that.

- Q. You don't know what measurements were taken that day, do you?
 - A. Specific measurements, no, sir, I don't.
- Q. You never even discussed the specific measurements that were taken with these techs that day, did you?

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object at this time. The exact crime scene investigation at the time, again, it goes to what information they had to make, whatever determination they want to do.

Again, they already made it. You know, going into what seat was there, was this there, it doesn't help Your Honor to make your own independent judgment in this case. It's just not relevant.

1 2

This is an immunity hearing. This is not a trial where he's attempting to establish reasonable doubt. I keep saying that over and over and over again. And I'm aware of your rulings and I respect them, but I just can't let this keep going on. Now we're going to talk about measurements of seats, for crying out loud?

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, they are going to testify and give you their recreation of the events based upon the work that not only this particular detective did, but also the forensic group did.

He doesn't want you to understand what they did and what they didn't do. He wants to just say, "Well, listen. Let's just do it all over again. Let's just do it all over again in this Court without the benefit of what happened there at the scene," and that's extremely prejudicial to the Defense.

This Court, in an effort to make determinations concerning competence, bias, credibility, motive, bias, are -- are important. That aspect of the investigation is crucial, and so the Court has to do that with all the evidence in this particular case, and he doesn't want you to. He wants you to just take a moment in time here.

1 2

During the week of February the 20th -- and let's just focus on what -- you know, what happened here in this courtroom and not what happened out there. That's extremely unfair in this case.

And I think, Your Honor -- with all candor, I think we're on very solid ground here because any time that witnesses take the stand, their credibility, their bias, their motive, their competency is always an issue that should be discussed, cross-examined and evaluated by the trier of fact, and that's -- that's my position.

MR. MARTIN: It's not a trial.

MR. ESCOBAR: It's a motion. It doesn't change --

THE COURT: All right. How is it -- everything that you just said, how does that relate to the question at hand, "Did you take measurements?"

What -- obviously pictures were taken. I've seen pictures. We've got pictures.

MR. ESCOBAR: Because measurements are critical. Let me tell you why measurements are critical:

When you're looking at a picture and you're seeing a picture of the row A seat -- I'll call them row A as the top row, row B as the row below -- when

you're looking at pictures from row A and row B, that's giving you just the actual rows.

The minute that you start taking pictures and you're starting to be able to put bodies in positions, that body who is six-four, now you're seated in that seat number 9, appears much more menacing. It's large. It's --

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this. I don't -- I don't --

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, he's --

MR. MARTIN: How in the world --

THE COURT: Hold on. One at a time.

MR. MARTIN: I don't know how in the world we can get through these micro-closing arguments. He goes off on these tangents. It's not even responsive to your question, for crying out loud.

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, and that's exactly what my question was. I was responding to the Court as to why measurements, why all of that process -- the whole reason for a crime scene detective is for him to gather all of that information that's going to be used later on in order to determine whether someone's perception at that time seated in that particular seat was reasonable. It's -- it's --

THE COURT: All right. Enough, enough.

Let's try to keep the responses down to what I'm asking. I'm starting to agree with Mr. Martin. We don't need all these arguments. I just -- you know, let's just stick to the issue at hand.

He responded that the crime scene techs did their job. He wasn't aware of any measurements. How does that take 20 minutes of discussions?

MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor -- and that's the problem with this job. What is that job? How did they do it? Did they do it accurately? What information did you get from the job?

THE COURT: Let's proceed. Go ahead.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

- Q. So what you are telling me, Detective -- and I don't want to put words in your mouth but what you're telling me, you let the crime scene detectives do whatever they do?
- A. No, sir. There was definite direction by me at certain points.
 - Q. What direction did you give them at any point?
- A. We had discussion over how to get some of the best-quality photographs in the light conditions that were there.
- I specifically pointed out the phone in the bag and the items in the middle and said: That's definitely

a physical item we want to collect to take with us.

Q. So let's stop there for a second.

"In the lighting conditions that there were," what does that mean?

- A. Generally speaking, the theater lights, even when it's on full lighting, is designed to be darker, so we were having issues with some of the quality of the photographs to represent what we were looking at. Things appeared dark. Seats appeared dark, so we needed some auxiliary lighting to capture it.
- Q. So you certainly weren't telling them, "Hey, listen. Let's find out what the lighting conditions were during previews, and let's get that to Mid 1 and then 60 percent off the remainder of the lights"? You didn't do that?
- A. That was not relevant to the direction that we were going because we were getting representational photographs of where things were, and we wanted to be able to show them clearly. So if we could open the sun roof, we want the sun to shine in.
- Q. You didn't want to get necessarily photos as to what the perspective of an individual sitting in a preview would be at that time; you just wanted to get photos of what was there at the scene at the time of whatever lighting?

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going object as preassumed that a camera cam capture exactly what the person's perception is in a particular lighting condition.

This officer hasn't been even asked about his knowledge of cameras and use of cameras and what they can and cannot capture, so he hasn't laid the proper foundation to even ask that witness the question. And there's been no indication he even has the knowledge to answer that question.

MR. ESCOBAR: The question was very simply,
Your Honor, that was the question, did he? We've
not gotten into anything else other than, Did he do
that?

THE COURT: Overruled.

16 BY MR. ESCOBAR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

22

23

24

- Q. Did you --
- A. You'll have to repeat the question.
- Q. Did you go to the manager and say, "Listen, I want to know what the lighting setting was for the previews"?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Now, you became involved with the DVR issue and the security system, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

Q. And you knew that Detective Bossone was there?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Competent member of cyber crimes?
- A. Yes, sir. He's the first one that spoke to me about the video system there.
 - Q. You had Detective Lindsay there as well?
 - A. I don't believe we did. I believe Detective
 Lindsay went to the hospital to conduct an interview, but
 I'm not certain off the top of my head.
 - Q. Is there anything that would refresh your recollection?
 - A. Probably not. My only real interaction, I think, with Detective Lindsay was after that day, so I don't recall him being there.
 - Q. Did you yourself ever request to go and see the video system itself there at the Cobb Theater on January 13th of 2014?
- 18 A. On the 13th, no.
- 19 Q. Did you see that at some later point in time?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What room was that?
- A. I guess I would describe it as the projector room.
 - Q. And when did you first see the projector room?
- 25 A. It would have been, I believe, on the morning

of the 15th.

- Q. A couple days after?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked and introduced as Defense Exhibit No. 23.

Is that the room you're talking about?

- A. Yes, sir. I remember there was also kind of a long room that included projectors that was off to the side.
- Q. Now, your position is that you directed Bossone to take care of issues with surveillance equipment?
- A. I didn't direct him, sir. He was -- he had come to me -- probably me because I was the crime scene detective at that point -- and he told me that he already made a request to the general manager to capture video there from the various cameras, and I know there was some discussion.

At that point, I think Detective Bossone took on another role and had passed that duty off to me to follow up to get footage.

- Q. So your testimony today is that he passed it to you? You didn't tell him, "Hey, I'm taking over that"?

 That was not your --
- A. Honestly, I mean, Detective Bossone and I -it's not, like, an issue of rank. We would have come to

an agreement. I can't honestly remember if he said,
"I'll do it," or I said I would do it, but yes, there was
an acknowledgment, yes, that I would take responsibility
of collecting footage later.

Q. Why not Bossone? He was in the cyber crime unit.

- A. Because he wasn't available at that time. That was part of the information that Detective Bossone gave me, that it would take several hours to back up the footage.
- Q. So you're telling this Court today under oath that Bossone told you, "I spoke to management, and the film -- the video film is not available today"?
- A. Well, the backup copies that we requested weren't available.
- Q. What about the film? You want to see that film as quickly as possible.
- A. At -- the request we had made at the time was that to capture all recorded footage of the entire theater, which is multiple, multiple cameras for -- I believe Detective Bossone told me an hour or two, because at the time we didn't know what may have been captured. We didn't know if there could have been a previous argument in a hallway, so he asked them to back up all of that footage for us to review.

1 Q. That was going to take an hour or two?

- A. Well, no, that more than likely would have taken several hours, but I know the time --
- Q. But, Detective, you didn't want to see what was captured in Theater 10?
 - A. Eventually, once we had the footage, yes.
 - Q. Well, why not go see it right then and there?
- A. At the time that I was involved in the crime scene, I'm physically involved in what's going on there. Detective Bossone told me that all of the footage was being backed up, which is typical to our policies and procedures of how we collect the video, and you can't generally watch the video and back it up at the same time.
- Q. So are you telling me you asked Detective
 Bossone, "Should we let somebody else do this, or can you
 do it yourself?"
- A. Did I ask Detective Bossone if he could do it himself?
- Q. Yeah. He's a member of your investigative group, Detective Bossone. "You're in the cyber crimes unit. Can you actually copy what we need here?"
- A. I don't know if -- I had discussions with

 Detective Bossone and also with the manager -- general

 manager Tom Peck, so I'm not sure exactly who told me,

but I was aware that their IT director or person who ran the system was backing the stuff up off-site.

So with that being the case, there was not a need nor -- you could not do both at the same time and have Detective Bossone doing backup.

- Q. Did you know who this person was who was, quote, "backing it up"?
 - A. At the time, no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

- Q. Did you know anything about his competency?
- 10 A. I believe he was the IT director for the 11 company.
- Q. No, no. Did you know about the level of his competency?
 - A. I can only assume that being the IT director of such a large company, he's a pretty competent person, but I did not personally know him.
 - Q. Did you know what his motive was?
 - A. His motive?
- Q. Any motive. You know, he's -- it's a corporation, right?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you get on the phone and say, "Let me talk to this guy myself"?
- A. At that point, like I said, Cobb was assisting us with things. They were being very cooperative, so I

took the general manager, I guess, at his word that was happening.

- Q. Now, you would agree that in your work there as a crime scene detective, that there was nothing of your investigative work that you did there at the crime scene that gave you any indication of what happened inside the theater, correct?
 - A. I guess I would disagree with that, sir.
- Q. Well, tell me what you did there at the theater that gave you an indication of what happened.
- A. Some of the items of physical evidence, I guess the popcorn on the floor and knowing the overall story that the popcorn had been thrown, it appeared to be on the floor, appropriate position to where you would expect.
 - Q. Popcorn. Next?

- A. Yes, sir. Other various items of blood evidence that were in the row where Mr. Oulson had kind of collapsed kind of down in there.
 - Q. Okay. Blood?
 - A. I guess I don't know specifically.
- Q. Anything else?
- A. I mean, I guess that's hard to just surmise. I mean, we took a lot of photographs of all of the seating to try to represent best for witnesses in the future to

point out where they were. We knew generally that there were witnesses seated around them.

Q. Well, that's an important question. That's where I'm getting at.

It's important to specifically determine where witnesses are seated, correct?

A. As best we could, yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Well, the witnesses were there?
- A. Well, not in the crime scene. No, sir.
- 10 Q. No, no, but they were there in the theater, 11 right?
 - A. At the time that I was doing the processing, I would imagine that most of them had been interviewed and had probably left, but there could have been somewhere as well.
 - Q. But did you ever try to elicit specific information from a witness so that that witness could even go into the crime scene and say, "See that chair right there? That's the one that I was sitting in"?
 - A. No, sir. Again, we limited the traffic through the crime scene, and we had taken the best representation photos that we could to try to follow up with that later.
 - Q. Because sometimes you need to try to verify whether a witness could even see or hear what the witness is purportedly telling you, right?

1 A. Yes, sir, at some point.

- Q. That sometimes is very important, especially in an emotional scene, right?
- A. It would be equally important in any scene, sir.
- Q. Was this a scene that you perceived that witnesses would be emotional?
- A. Yes, sir. I would say a shooting event would be pretty traumatic to most people.
 - Q. Especially in a theater?
- A. I don't think especially in a theater, just people who are exposed to anything like that anywhere.
- Q. Now, two weeks after this incident was the first time that you saw a photograph where you noticed some redness to the eyelid, in the corner of Mr. Reeves' left eye, correct?
- A. I do remember, at some point, seeing some scene photos that were taken of Mr. Reeves, as well as booking photos. I don't know exactly when that was, though.
 - Q. That's what you noticed from those photos?
- A. I don't specifically remember, no. I know one of the photographs that I had seen was somewhat out of focus. I don't recall more specific details past that.
- Q. One important thing is that at no point in time did you say that you were being given information from

law enforcement who were conducting interviews, correct?

- A. Generally speaking -- so like I said --
- Q. So what does generally speaking mean?
- A. Well, throughout the course is obviously a very long investigation that involved many, many people, because many more detectives responded to this than in a typical case because of the way the call had come in.
- Q. But I'm talking only on January 13th. Let's not go beyond that. I'm talking about January 13th.

I want to know who gave you information that you were trying use or did use there in your crime scene investigation?

- A. I spoke to many, many people that day.
- O. Tell me who.

- A. I don't necessarily recall everybody, and

 Detective Harris would have been the person that I

 communicated with most often, but I had seen most of the

 detectives that I work with at the agency at some point.
- Q. So what you're telling me is that, "I can't remember anyone," that you actually spoke to and gave you any information?
- A. I remember seeing Detective Moyer. I remember seeing Investigator Garrison --
 - Q. No, no. Not seeing, talking.
- A. And talking to --

- Q. These are the people that I want to know: What information did Moyer give you? What information did Garrison give you?
 - A. You -- one at a time?
 - Q. If you remember.
 - A. Is that what you want to know?

I remember speaking with Detective Moyer. He and I were together most of the time.

- Q. That kind of doesn't count.
- A. Well, there were times obviously -- he was kind of shadowing me -- that he was going back and forth from inside the crime scene out to communicate with the bosses, so...
 - O. Let me shortcut this.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. On January 13th, 2014, at no point in time did anyone indicate to you that they had interviewed Joanna Turner, who said that she remembered seeing Mr. Oulson with a dark object in his left hand and doing a quick motion, as I've just describe here on the record, which I would describe as a quick throwing motion, but certainly not going in the full range of motion with a hand going down?
- A. No, I definitely didn't speak to anyone about Mrs. Turner's statement.

- Q. Nobody had told you that?
 - A. Not that I recall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. In fact, on January 13th of 2014, nobody had even told you that Mr. Reeves had possibly been struck with a phone?
- A. I believe probably by the end of the day. I was aware of that at some point. Again, it was a very prolonged investigation. I know while I was working inside the physical crime scene, I don't remember anyone bringing that to my attention.
- Q. What's that? You don't remember anyone bringing it to your attention?
- A. Correct, sir.
- Q. So the answer is no?
- A. You said any time on the 13th, sir, and I would say most likely at some point later on that day I had probably --
 - Q. You mean after you were done with the crime scene?
- MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, would you just ask him to let the witness answer?
- MR. ESCOBAR: I apologize. I didn't mean -- I

 just wanted to --
- 24 BY MR. ESCOBAR:
- 25 Q. You mean after the -- your --

- A. Yes, that's what I had just stated. While I
 was working in the crime scene, I don't remember anyone
 bringing that to my attention. But at some point during
 that day as the investigation continued -- I had probably
 become aware of it.

 O. Now, had you heard by that time that there was
 - Q. Now, had you heard by that time that there was an allegation that Mr. Oulson had made a statement in that theater that he was, quote, texting --

MR. ESCOBAR: Apologize, Your Honor.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- his fucking daughter?
- A. I think I remember at that time somebody saying he was texting his sick daughter, is what I recall.
- Q. Sick daughter, not fucking daughter? Sick daughter?
 - A. Sick daughter is what I recall. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And so now the issue of texting, because Mr. Oulson is a participant to the shooting incident, you want to capture whatever texting took place, right?
- A. At that time we were processing the scene for the physical evidence, and we had not made the determination one way or the other what we were going to do for the digital process at that point.
- Q. And you didn't even know whether this particular phone that was -- that was found immediately

after the shooting in between my client's legs -- you didn't know whether that phone belonged to Mr. Oulson?

- A. Correct. At that time, we weren't positive as to who it had belonged to, so we collected it for --
- Q. Well, you did a bit more than collecting, didn't you? You allowed one of your forensic techs to actually turn off that phone.
- A. I had at some point probably instructed her that's the proper way to collect physical digital evidence. Yes, sir.
- Q. So you think here that the proper way of preserving the evidence on that phone was to turn it off?
- A. To preserve the digital evidence that is on the phone. Yes, sir.
- Q. So why not have Bossone handle that, not the forensic techs? He's cyber crimes, right?
- A. Yes. If we were going to do data extraction at the scene, then Detective Bossone would have been the most appropriate, but we collected the phone for possible future analysis at that time. I don't believe it was decided that we would do any digital analysis.
- Q. Had you sent Detective Bossone home by that time?
- A. I honestly -- I didn't do any decision-making as far as who was where, so I don't know what he was

1 doing at that time. 2 Had you ever reached out to Detective Lindsay Q. 3 from cyber crimes? 4 Α. At that point, no, sir. 5 0. And so as you finished your investigation there 6 at the scene, you had no idea who the phone belonged to? 7 We certainly couldn't verify, sir. No, I Α. didn't know. 8 You didn't know that there was an allegation 9 **Q**. 10 that the phone had possibly been thrown and hit 11 Mr. Reeves? 12 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going object to 13 the summing-up questions. We've heard all of the testimony so it's been 14 15 asked and answered, and now we're becoming 16 cumulative. 17 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, we're just wrapping that 18 Then we're just going to go in and do one more 19 thing. It's going to be short. 20 THE COURT: All right. There is no --21 obviously there's an objection as to the repetitive 22 nature, so let's not do that. BY MR. ESCOBAR: 23 24 You didn't know whose phone it was? You didn't Q. 25 know that Mr. Reeves had alleged that the phone had

been -- he had been struck in the head with a phone, correct?

- A. Not while I was at the crime scene. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now, you were aware that the process of retrieving the phone can remove physical evidence on the phone, meaning DNA -- let's cut to the chase -- DNA?
- A. Anytime you have electronic evidence, it can also be physical evidence as well, just like anything else. So you have to make a decision as to importance, what you're going to attempt to collect and how you're going to attempt to collect it.

So, yes. By turning off the phone, you do have to touch it and you have to operate the buttons, but to do -- to protect the data that's on the phone to keep somebody from manipulating it off-site, that's a step that has to be taken.

- Q. And since you didn't know that Mr. Reeves had alleged that the phone had been thrown and possibly hit him in the head, you had no idea that there was possible DNA to be collected off the phone?
- A. Well, as with any evidence we're going to find on the crime scene, we don't know what we may want to process it for. So we try to handle it as best we can to preserve any physical evidence, fingerprint evidence, DNA evidence, things like that.

But again, you have to weigh -- there always has to be some touching of the items to pick them up to collect, so you're just as careful as you can be with it.

- Q. You used gloves?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

22

23

24

- Q. But the fact that you may be picking up the phone may certainly destroy evidence that's there at the scene and on the phone?
- A. Well, that's what -- we obviously have to be careful and try to think about how you pick things up, to pick it up in an area maybe that's not common to touch, things like that, to try as best you can not to destroy any evidence.
 - Q. Did you pick up this phone?
- 15 A. No, I didn't.
- Q. Were you there when it was picked up?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. We're talking about there. I'm talking about there. Were you there, watching?
 - A. Like, standing over the phone?
- 21 Q. Yeah.
 - A. No, sir. I was at the crime scene. I would say most likely I was probably a couple of rows down, away from where the photos were being taken.
 - Q. Well, a phone can be used to call people,

1 | right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes.
- Q. And a phone can be used also as a weapon?
- A. It could be, sir, depending on the manner it's used, yes.
- Q. So at the very least, at some point in time when you discovered that there was a possibility that the phone had been used as a weapon, that becomes a very important point of interest for you, right?
- 10 A. What is an important point of interest, sir? I
 11 don't understand.
 - Q. When a phone becomes a weapon in a shooting incident.
 - A. The knowledge that that happened is important, yes, sir.
 - Q. And tell the Court if you opened that bag at some point in time shortly after this incident, and that you yourself then again grabbed this phone.
 - A. At some point after the collection, it would have been the first time that I had personally touched the phone.
 - Q. Okay. What date was that? Was it two weeks? Was it three weeks? Was it a month?
 - A. You know, I do know it was at least a couple of weeks, but -- hang on one second and I believe I can tell

1 you. 2 I believe it was on January 22nd, sir. 3 A week, week-and-a-half, something like that? 0. 4 Α. Yes, sir. Now, nothing had been done to the phone in the 5 0. 6 form of DNA extraction, correct? 7 At that point, to my knowledge, the phone had Α. 8 not been processed at all. It was in the same state that 9 it had been bagged from the scene. 10 Well, let me ask you this question: Had you 11 issued any orders to have it checked for DNA by that 12 I'm talking about before you went to go and open time? 13 the bag again. 14 I never issued any orders for it. I believe 15 Detective Proctor did at some point. I don't know 16 specifically what date that was, though. 17 And the only reason that you went into that bag 0. is because you wanted to find out who the phone belonged 18 19 to? 20 Yes, sir. There had been some meetings, and it 21 was determined we wanted to try to say for certain who 22 the owner of the phone was. 23 I'm going to go back to Exhibit 41. Q. 24 You had that photo available for you, didn't

25

you?

- 1
- Yes, sir. Α.
- 2
- And you could have taken that photo to Mrs. Q.

Well, you knew that Mr. Oulson had a phone

You hadn't recovered any other phone there at

And you had Mrs. Oulson, who is probably pretty

She had already described it to us, sir, so we

inside the theater when you left during your crime scene

that scene that could have been Mr. Oulson's phone,

Not that I'm aware of. No, sir.

- 3
- Oulson and you could have said, "Mrs. Oulson, do you know whose photo that is -- whose phone that is?" You
- 4
- wouldn't have even had to open the bag. 5

on to verify if it was or not.

Yes, sir.

- 6
- No, sir. The discussion was had because
- 7 iPhones in that specific Otterbox case are so common that
- 8 it was likely, at that point, we suspected that it was

that day, correct?

9 Chad Oulson's phone, but the decision was made to turn it

Α.

correct?

Α.

Q.

Α.

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- So why didn't you take that photo and say, Q.

knew what the color and make and model of the phone were.

familiar with a white phone inside an Otterbox, correct?

- "Mrs. Oulson, is this your husband's phone?" Rather then
- opening it up again and turning it on again and

manipulating that phone with your hand?

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.

This is another topic, and I'm just going to make same observations that I've been making. It's just not relevant to this hearing.

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, he was the collector of the evidence. You're going to see a stipulation on DNA. I don't want to blurt it out here, but there's a stipulation on the DNA issue.

MR. MARTIN: It doesn't --

THE COURT: I'm going to let him proceed.

Overruled.

BY MR. ESCOBAR:

- Q. Correct? You could have done that, and you wouldn't have had to have touched it and turned it on and do all the things that you had to do in order to, I guess -- were you just trying to see what the opening screen was? Is that what you were trying to do to make an identification of the phone?
- A. The -- again, as I said before, the decision was made. I knew perfectly well the make and model of the phone and could tell you from that photograph that's the make and model phone that Mrs. Oulson told us he had.
- Q. Was it that critical to absolutely have to do that process before DNA took place on that phone? Was it

that critical?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I was instructed at that point by the case detective and by the State Attorney's Office that the next step we wanted to take in the investigation was to ascertain for certain whether or not that was Mr.

 Oulson's phone. And Mrs. Oulson gave us a description that on the lock screen would be a picture of Chad and their child, so I went to turn it on to verify that that was, in fact, the case.
- Q. Now, DNA was finally -- this phone was processed finally for DNA, right?
 - A. It was at some point, yes, sir.
- Q. And after the phone was processed for DNA, did
 you weigh this phone?
 - A. Did I? No, sir.
 - Q. Well, by that time, you were well aware we had already finished our bond hearing, right?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you were well aware that certainly Mr.

 Reeves had indicated that he believed that he had been hit over the head with a phone, correct?
 - A. I was aware of the statement he made to Detective Proctor that he thought that the phone could have hit him, yes.
 - Q. So as a crime scene detective, you want to

weigh it and measure it, right?

A. No, sir.

- Q. It's a weapon. You want to weigh it and you want to measure it, right?
- A. No, sir. At that point, your duty as crime scene detective, once you're physically off the crime scene, you assist the case detective in processing things that they want to do. But you're never going to do anything substantially without the case detective making some direction, the sergeant making some direction, so...
- Q. Do you have a pretty good relationship with both of those people?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You didn't feel like now that this phone was going to be the topic of a weapon, that you could go to Proctor and say, "Listen, if this was used as a weapon, we need to measure it and we need to weigh it, because if that phone was actually thrown at someone, the measurements and the weight may have some relevant information," correct?
- A. My general understanding, at some point the phone was measured and photographed. I know I had seen the photographs and I believe weighed, but that was not one of my responsibilities specifically and I was not involved in that conversation with anyone.

1	Q. In fact, your knowledge is that the Pasco
2	County Sheriff's Office never even weighed or measured
3	this phone until the Defense did?
4	A. That, I honestly don't know who did it first.
5	Q. And are you aware that the phone that Mr.
6	Oulson possessed on January 13th of 2014 weighs more than
7	a regulation baseball?
8	MR. MARTIN: Objection. Objection. First, it
9	calls for speculation on his part, and also it's
10	facts not in evidence.
11	I realize it's cross-examination, but having
12	that comparison, that calls for pure speculation.
13	MR. ESCOBAR: The question was whether he
14	knows.
15	MR. MARTIN: It calls for pure speculation. It
16	doesn't matter whether he knows or not. You can't
17	ask a question that calls for pure speculation.
18	MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, many baseball
19	players and he may have played baseball knows
20	what the regulation
21	MR. MARTIN: He needs to lay the proper
22	predicate.
23	MR. ESCOBAR: No, I just need to ask him
24	whether he knows. That it. He hasn't answered the
25	following question, whether you know. That's a

1 simple question. 2 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, just rule on my 3 objection. It calls for speculation. 4 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule as to that 5 question, does he know. BY MR. ESCOBAR: 6 7 Do you know whether this particular phone, when 0. 8 you-all weighed it, weighs more than a regulation baseball? 9 10 I do not. Α. 11 Do you know whether this phone, when you-all 12 weighed it, weighs more than a cue ball, a billiards 13 regulation cue ball? 14 Α. I do not. 15 But you certainly realized one thing, that if 16 this phone was used as a weapon, it could cause great 17 bodily harm, correct? 18 Generally speaking, any object, depending on Α. 19 the manner it's used, could be considered a deadly weapon 20 and you can hurt somebody with it. 21 MR. ESCOBAR: May I have a moment? 22 THE COURT: You may. 23 The Defense would pass the MR. ESCOBAR: 24 witness. 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

1 It's a good time for a short recess. 2 take -- oh, let's take 15 minutes. I know Madam 3 Court Reporter is probably feeling the strain. Fifteen minutes, we'll be in recess. 4 5 Detective, of course, you're allowed to take a 6 recess as well, but don't discuss your testimony 7 with anyone. Thank you. 8 (Recess recess.) 9 THE COURT: Detective, you're still under oath. 10 Go ahead, Mr. Martin. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. MARTIN: 13 Q. Good morning, Detective. 14 Α. Good morning, sir. 15 I want to touch base on just few things that Q. 16 you and Mr. Escobar discussed. 17 He went over with you your training as a police 18 officer in those either formal, semi-formal or in-service 19 trainings dealing with use-of-force threat assessments, 20 those kind of topics. Do you remember that conversation 21 with Mr. Escobar? 22

- Α. Yes, sir.
- 23 That's what I'd like to follow up with, the Q. 24 first one, okay?
 - Α. Okay.

Q. Now, in those -- as a police officer -- as a police officer, you are taught the parameters of use of force; are you not?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that's based on some federal case law, some state case law, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. In dealing with use of force -- and Mr. Escobar used the term, "Escalating pattern of violence." Do you remember that?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You're also taught how to de-escalate a situation, right?
- 14 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. You're taught to not to make it any worse?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. You're taught how to take it and get it under control?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You're taught how to ignore certain things so that you don't make it worse?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, as a police officer, you're actually
 paid -- the public pays you a salary to stand in the line
 of fire for us, don't we?

```
1 A. Yes, sir.
```

2

7

8

21

22

- Q. All right. That's what you get paid to do?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You're supposed to be in front of that person that is not acting like a normal citizen under given circumstances?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. You just can't walk away from it, can you?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. You have to deal with it?
- 11 A. Legal obligation to deal with it. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And that's what you get paid to do?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You get -- you are paid to intervene in situations and put your life on the line as a police officer?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. That's why you are taught the threat 19 assessment, right?
- 20 A. Correct, yes, sir.
 - Q. The public wants you, you as a paid person standing in the line of fire, to go home to your family?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, a civilian, whether they have a federal license to carry a firearm or a carrying concealed

weapon, that license does not give that individual any
power of arrest, does it?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. They're not paid to stand in harm's way, are they?
 - A. No.

3

6

7

8

9

- Q. They are not paid, the civilians, even with a carrying concealed weapon permit, are not paid to enforce the laws of the state of Florida?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. They're not paid to enforce the county 12 ordinances of a particular locale?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Or a city ordinance?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- Q. Even if they're paid to enforce whatever local policy a local business might have within the community, are they?
- 19 A. Not necessarily. No, sir.
- Q. They have no authority to arrest?
- 21 A. No.

22

23

- Q. When a civilian asks another civilian to do something and the civilian doesn't respond, there's no consequences, is there?
- 25 A. No, sir. They have no duty to act, generally.

Q. If the person -- if a civilian doesn't respond to another civilian's request, that other civilian doesn't go to jail, do they?

A. No, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

- Q. In fact, the civilian who makes the request can say whatever they want to that other civilian with no consequences at all?
 - A. Generally speaking, yes, sir.
- Q. Mr. Escobar talked a little bit about human factors, and I think he gave an example about traffic reconstruction. Do you remember that?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. He talked about distractions and focusing and tunnel vision, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, those human factors are in all of us, right --
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- to some degree? Everybody's different.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. But just because those human factors come into play, that doesn't relieve someone from the responsibility of when they commit a crime, right?
- MR. ESCOBAR: Objection, Your Honor. That
 calls for a legal opinion. Improper predicate for a

legal opinion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MARTIN: He was asked specifically about the traffic accidents -- not traffic accidents, but reconstruction and the investigation of those types of incidents, and he used those human factors.

I have a right to go into those factors and whether or not an officer, knowing those factors, still has the power to make the arrest and that person is still subject to criminal charges. Because, all we know, we do have a crime called negligence, lack of focus, lack of attention.

So just because human factors are in play doesn't exculpate an individual, so I have a right to ask him those questions.

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, he called for a legal opinion. He can certainly ask him about human factors and how that affects perception because that's what human factors deal with, but not whether or not those human factors are going to relate at some point in time to someone being charged with a criminal law violation.

He is not in a position -- he has no -- he's not a lawyer. He has not studied the law to that extent. It's an improper predicate for a legal opinion.

1 THE COURT: I will sustain it as to the 2 improper predicate and calls for a legal 3 speculation. If you can otherwise lay a foundation for that, 4 I will allow it under those circumstances. 5 BY MR. MARTIN: 6 7 Mr. Escobar talked about the interview process, 0. 8 establishing credibility of witnesses. Do you remember 9 that conversation? 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 And he's asked you numerous questions regarding Q. 12 and touching upon the credibility and bias, if you will, 13 of the police officers at the scene on January 13th. Do you remember that line of questioning? 14 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 Q. Now, Mr. Reeves was also at that scene; was he 17 not? Yes, he was. 18 Α. 19 Witnesses saw Mr. Reeves shoot Mr. Oulson, Q. 20 right? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. There's no question about who killed Mr. 23 Oulson, right? 24 Α. No, sir. 25 Q. The only question that day is whether or not

Mr. Reeves was going to go home to his family or handcuffed and taken to jail, correct?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Mr. Escobar talked to you about the background of Mr. Reeves, that he's a police officer.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. He mentioned to you the training that you could have presumed that he had as far as use of force in a given situation. Because you received that information as law enforcement, he presumed Mr. Reeves?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. That's a logical assumption, right?
- 13 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

- Q. That Mr. Reeves, over a 27-year period, would have, over those years, numerous opportunities to be schooled and updated in the use-of-force aspect of law enforcement?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 19 O. Both non-lethal and lethal?
- 20 A. Correct.
 - Q. And you would expect Mr. Reeves, with that type of training, to know exactly what factors are used to determine whether or not deadly force was warranted, either under the law or agency policy, right?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

Q. In all the people that were interviewed on January 13th, 2014, at the theater, other than potentially Matt Reeves and Corporal Hamilton, was there anyone else with law enforcement who potentially could have had that same knowledge?

MR. ESCOBAR: I'm going to object. It calls for a hearsay response. It's clearly hearsay.

MR. MARTIN: That doesn't call for a hearsay response. He asked him over and over, "What were you told? What were the witnesses? What facts were given to you by the detectives about what the witnesses told you so that you could conduct your crime scene investigation?"

I have a right to go into that.

MR. ESCOBAR: That's hearsay, classic hearsay, and he hasn't even laid a predicate for which witnesses he's considering. Even if were to lay the predicate, it would be hearsay.

Bring the witness come in, so we can have a confrontation right for those witnesses.

MR. ESCOBAR: I'm not offering it for the truth of whether or not they had that training, just whether or not he knew it. Because what he knew at the time, Mr. Escobar touched upon as far as how he conducted the investigation, and I'm allowed to

follow up on that.

2

3 He can't -- he can't gather from witnesses

4 5

the matter asserted, and then give an opinion

information that he is gathering for the truth of

6

concerning those witnesses' statements. It's

7

classic hearsay.

8

MR. MARTIN: I'm not asking for an opinion.

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, it's the same objection.

9

May I proceed, Your Honor?

10

THE COURT: Without the exact wording as to

11

what he was told by someone else, that kind of

12

smacks of classic hearsay. So you can ask in

13

general what he was --

14

BY MR. MARTIN:

15

Q. Did you become aware of any other witnesses on

16

January 13th, 2014, other than Corporal Hamilton and Matt

17

Reeves, who had any law enforcement background?

18

A. Not that I'm aware of, no.

19

Q. And, of course, it goes without saying that

20

Mr. Matt Reeves, the Defendant's son, and Corporal

21

Hamilton were not the subject of an investigation because

22

on that day they did not shoot anyone?

23

A. Correct.

24

Q. So of all of the people there, it was only

25

Mr. Reeves with his law enforcement background, knowledge

1 of the factors that can be used to determine whether or 2 not deadly force was, in fact, justified -- he was the 3 only one there on that day? 4 Α. Yes, sir. And it was Mr. Reeves who was taken in 5 handcuffs to the back of the theater, sat in a police car 6 7 and interviewed by Detective Proctor; was he not? 8 Α. Yes, he was. 9 **Q**. He gave a statement to Detective Proctor? 10 Α. Yes, he did. 11 That was approximately one hour, approximately Q. 12 after the shooting incident? 13 Α. I believe so. Yes, sir. 14 And during that one-hour time, Mr. Reeves sat Q. 15 there in that chair until he was arrested, looking down 16 at the evidence down at his feet; did he not? 17 MR. ESCOBAR: Objection. Improper predicate. 18 He's got to be able to be a witness to that 19 process. He can't speculate as to what was 20 happening anywhere in another room, anywhere in a 21 vehicle unless he raises the proper predicate, 22 because that's an opinion. 23 MR. MARTIN: That's not an opinion. 24 THE COURT: I'm not sure what the question was

25

aimed at.

1 MR. MARTIN: Let me just rephrase it.

THE COURT: Rephrase it and make it a little clearer.

BY MR. MARTIN:

- Q. Were you aware whether or not Mr. Reeves, before he was interviewed, sat in the theater in the seat where the shooting took place with the evidence at this feet?
- A. Yes, I'm aware that he was seated there for some time when the investigation started.

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, it's an improper predicate, because if he's giving an opinion that he was aware that he was seated there at some time, he had to be aware from someone else, because he didn't get in there until an hour-plus after the incident.

It's classic not laying the proper predicate.

If he got that information from someone else, it's being offered today for the truth of the matter asserted, and so we've got to lay a proper predicate if someone is going to be giving an opinion about a happening that he was not in. He was not in that happening because he didn't come into that scene until way, way after.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Escobar went over and over about everything that occurred before Mr. Smith got

there. He went over and over again about everything that was provided to him so that he could conduct his investigation.

I am following up on what knowledge he had and dealing with as a crime scene detective and why he did the things that he did. I'm just following up on what Mr. Escobar did.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Judge.

BY MR. MARTIN:

- Q. Have you had the life experience of interviewing individuals who have been involved in an event that could potentially lead to criminal charges?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. One of the things that a police officer will look at in evaluation of the statement that he obtained from such an individual --

MR. ESCOBAR: Objection. Beyond the direct.
We haven't talked at all at this point in time about
Mr. Proctor's interview of Mr. Reeves, and that's
exactly where he's going at right now. That's
beyond the scope of direct.

THE COURT: I'm not quite sure what the question was going to be, but it -- clearly there was significant testimony elicited from this witness

1 about the manner in which the whole crime scene 2 investigation was conducted and to whom he talked to 3 and what he did and who said what and what -- how 4 did he know about this and that. I'm not seeing 5 that from, as best I could tell, where the question 6 was going, that is outside of that scope. 7 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge --8 MR. MARTIN: He asked -- just a minute. asked over --9 10 MR. ESCOBAR: He never asked about the 11 interview of Mr. Reeves. That's the issue. 12 never spoke about the issue of Mr. Reeves because he wasn't involved in the interview of Mr. Reeves at 13 14 any point in time. 15 So he's now trying to elicit testimony that 16 somehow when you interview someone that's a suspect, 17 you know, like Mr. Reeves, that --18 MR. MARTIN: Mr. Escobar doesn't know what's in 19 my head. Now, that's the second time I've made that 20 statement, and I assure you, it's just as true today 21 as it was the other day. 22 MR. ESCOBAR: I think he'd be surprised. 23 THE COURT: What was the question? 24 Judge, Mr. Escobar --MR. MARTIN:

MR. ESCOBAR: Let me hear what the question

was.

MR. MARTIN: Mr. Escobar asked over and over about the iPhone and the popcorn and whether or not he was told about the interview of Mr. Reeves, whether or not Mr. Reeves said he was hit by an iPhone, whether or not he was hit by any other object. Do you remember that line of questioning?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MARTIN: Well, that's about the interview of Mr. Reeves.

MR. ESCOBAR: No, that's about a statement that he made to his wife immediately after the incident that he was hit on the face. That's what it's about.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ESCOBAR: He --

THE COURT: What's the question again,

Mr. Martin?

MR. MARTIN: I don't know. I kind of fell in that same situation you were in. I lost track. It happens to the best of us, right?

THE COURT: Let's move on. Let him ask that question, and then we'll go from there.

BY MR. MARTIN:

Q. Now, would you not agree that an individual who

1 is facing charges would be highly motivated in order 2 to --3 MR. ESCOBAR: Objection. Calls for 4 speculation. That calls for speculation. 5 If someone that is charged -- just because 6 they're charged, they're now motivated to fabricate. 7 That is the most speculative question I've heard in 8 34 years of my practice. 9 THE COURT: Rephrase, please. Rephrase. 10 BY MR. MARTIN: 11 An individual who is a potential suspect in a Q. 12 crime has the motive to paint --13 MR. MARTIN: You know, I felt that presence of 14 someone standing up behind me. Extremely annoying. 15 MR. ESCOBAR: We get to know each other very 16 well during these proceedings, Your Honor. 17 Judge, let me just -- I have a MR. MARTIN: 18 right to ask about the evaluation of the information 19 received. Mr. Escobar did not lay the foundation that that information came from his wife. 20 That was 21 not said at all. 22 He kept talking about Proctor and when did they 23 discuss things and whether or not it was used as 24 to -- hit the phone. 25 Ms. Reeves never said that he was hit by the

phone. It's Mr. Reeves -- it's Mr. Reeves that said he was hit by the phone. So how could Mr. Escobar say he got that information from Mrs. Reeves, because she doesn't know anything about that?

So all the questions about the DNA and picking it up, and you knew that Mr. Reeves said he was hit by the phone -- in fact, I have it written down and circled -- he said he was hit over the head with the phone. That's Mr. Reeves' statement.

I have a right to go into it. It's very disingenuous for Mr. Escobar to stand up here and suggest: Oh, that just came from Mrs. Reeves, because that's just wrong.

MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, let's go back to the question because he's trying to circle around the question.

The question was, very simply: Someone like Mr. Reeves that's being investigating and charged with a crime, is there some indicia that he has the motive to fabricate? That's what -- that's what the question was. That is the most speculative type of question that you could have. It has no foundation.

There is nothing unique about someone that's accused of a crime. In this country we are innocent until proven guilty. There is nothing to suggest

that someone that is accused of a crime now has the propensity to lie just because they've been accused of a crime. That is outrageous, that's prejudicial and it has no foundation. It has no foundation whatsoever.

MR. MARTIN: You know --

THE COURT: Just --

MR. MARTIN: -- it is the most logical thing in the world, and I have a right to ask about whether or not you take that into consideration in evaluating how you do the crime scene, because I'm going to go, "He said he was hit with the phone.

Where?"

I mean, how do you evaluate how you're going to do things without going into the credibility of the witness as to whether or not this man right here has a motive when he is sitting in a cruiser with handcuffs on, knowing that he's going to jail, knowing that only the police officer he spins the right web can let him go, that is absolutely relevant to the investigation that they have to do.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Escobar definitely opened the door to going into the intent and the focus of the investigation.

As far as the actual question, Mr. Martin, I

agree, though, you do need to lay some foundation as to, you know, that question. So I'll sustain it in part and overrule it in part.

BY MR. MARTIN:

- Q. Mr. Escobar asked you a question about the iPhone. He equated it with a baseball and a cue ball. Do you remember that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Did you see Mr. Reeves at the scene?
 - A. No, sir. I didn't.
 - Q. Mr. Proctor did? Detective Proctor did?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. In determining whether or not and how the iPhone was to be processed, did you make any inquiry as to any of the injuries about Mr. Reeves that would suggest that he was -- as Mr. Escobar lamented, he was hit over the head with a cell phone?
 - A. At the time, I don't recall asking any specific information about injuries because I wasn't aware and didn't have information that he had been hit with the phone.
 - Q. What was that?
- A. If I understand your question correctly, at the time I didn't have any information when I was processing the scene that Mr. Reeves had been hit by the phone or

1 had -- that I would be looking for any injury. 2 MR. MARTIN: Judge, Detective Smith will be 3 called in the State's case. I'm going to continue 4 my questioning with Detective Smith at that time, 5 reserving the right to go into the matters 6 Mr. Escobar raised, but I will do it during my case 7 on direct. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I just have a couple of 10 questions that I'm going to be -- if you want me to 11 recall him, I'll recall him right now because 12 they're outside scope of cross, but it's going to be 13 very, very short. THE COURT: Any objection. 14 15 I'm calling him back, so... MR. MARTIN: MR. ESCOBAR: Okay. Well, chances are I won't 16 17 be allowed to ask those questions if he stays away 18 from the topic, so I apologize. It will be very

MR. MARTIN: Okay. Sorry..

short, I promise you.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ESCOBAR: Short by Escobar standards.

THE COURT: I have no objection --

MR. MARTIN: Okay. I'll accept that.

THE COURT: I will overrule any objection, if there is, to recalling him at this point.

1 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ESCOBAR: It will 2 be, again, very, very short. 3 THE COURT: Go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 6 Detective, I just want to direct your attention 7 to a period of time where you went to Alabama --8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 -- in an effort to go pick up some hard drives. 10 Do you remember that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Who did you go with? 13 Α. Detective Proctor. 14 Why were you going to Alabama to pick up hard Q. 15 drives? 16 At some point after the initial investigation, Α. 17 we had been made aware by Cobb Theater that they pulled 18 the hard drives out of the DVRs that were in the theater 19 in Wesley Chapel and transported them to their -- I 20 believe their headquarters there in Birmingham. 21 Once we were aware of that, we contacted their 22 attorneys and said that we would like to seize those as 23 items of evidence since they were out there and traveled 24 to retrieve those. 25 Q. Were you familiar at that time as to when they

1 | had gone to retrieve those hard drives?

A. No. Not as far as what date they had taken them out, no, sir.

- Q. Did you know how many hard drives were in the system? Did you actually look at the DVR the day of January 13th of 2014 to determine how many DVRs were in the system?
- A. I did not look at them. I think I was aware that there were four, I believe, in total, but I honestly can't say where.
 - O. Four hard drives?
- 12 A. No, four DVRs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. Well, that's my question. Did you look
 at the DVR to see how many hard drives were in the DVR on
 January 13th of 2014?
 - A. I didn't look at the DVR at all that day.
- Q. In fact, when you went to Alabama to go retrieve the DVR, you went to an attorney's office, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You didn't go to this IT person that you believed was competent to Cobb Theater?
- A. No, sir. He was not in possession of the drives.
 - Q. You went to an attorney's office.

And there at the attorney's office, did you get a suitcase of some sort?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What do they call it, (inaudible) case or something like that?
- A. That's called a Husky case. That's a protective black plastic case.
- Q. Okay. What was the condition of that Husky case when you first got it?
- 10 A. It had been locked with a padlock, had a 11 numbered seal on -- to prevent it from opening.
 - Q. Were you given the key?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Did you open it?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 | Q. Why not?
 - A. The items we were given, the inventory sheet that Cobb Theater gave where they laid out for us what was in the box, at that point in time we were not looking to analyze the drives for anything.

We were picking them up as physical evidence to retain, and I could not have told you at that point. It could have bought a hard drive at Best Buy that afternoon put it in and I wouldn't have known the difference anyway.

- Q. But I imagine you'd want to open the box and at least count them, right? Because you've got a sheet -- let's see -- one, two, three, four, five, six, seven.

 You want to count them, right?
 - A. No, not at that point, sir. We wanted to leave the box locked and sealed because it would eventually be on the testimony of the IT professionals who put them in there because they'd never been, you know, in a law enforcement chain of custody of any kind.
- Q. Well, maybe not the IT professionals because it's gone through somebody else's hands, right, an attorney?
- A. Yes, sir, at some point. Who locked the case,
 I'm not sure of.
 - Q. Why didn't you ask? Since you were there at the attorney's office, why didn't you ask?
 - A. Honestly, I may have, but I don't recall what the answer is or not sure if I did.
- Q. Did you memorialize that maybe in your police report?
 - A. I could double-check.
 - Q. Any time you need to.
- 23 A. But I don't think so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

No, sir, I did not note who had actually sealed the box. I know the inventory, I believe, was signed by

```
1
     Mr. Andrews, their IT professional, but...
 2
          Q.
               Okay. So you get a sheet of paper that's
              You don't look in the box. You take the box,
 3
     you get the key for the box, but you don't open the box?
 4
               Eventually we did, but not while we were up
 5
          Α.
 6
     there.
             No, sir.
 7
               So now you leave Alabama?
          0.
 8
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
 9
               On the trip to Tampa, do you open the box?
          Q.
10
               No, sir.
          Α.
11
               So now you get to the property room?
          Q.
12
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
13
          Q.
               So what do you do?
14
          Α.
               It's secured as it was.
15
               Do you open the box before you gave it to
          Q.
16
    property?
17
                         The seal was left intact on the box
          Α.
               No, sir.
18
     when we put it into property.
19
               MR. ESCOBAR: No further questions.
20
               THE COURT: Any cross as to that?
21
               MR. MARTIN: Judge, I'll handle that during my
22
          case.
23
               THE COURT: May this witness -- he can be
24
          released for now?
25
               MR. ESCOBAR: For now.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: And you will remain under subpoena
2
          either by the Defense or the State and subject to
 3
          recall another day, right, not today?
 4
               MR. MARTIN: No.
 5
               THE COURT:
                           Then you're free to go for today.
 6
          Thank you.
 7
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
8
               (Witness excused.)
 9
               THE COURT: Now, we're on lunch hour going to
10
         break. Who's the next witness that you'll --
11
               MR. ESCOBAR: He's my homicide reconstruction
12
          expert, Mr. Knox.
13
               THE COURT: Okay. And I would anticipate that
14
          taking a bit of time. You anticipate one other
15
          witness?
16
               MR. ESCOBAR: My use-of-force expert and,
17
          Judge, I think we're doing what I think timewise
18
          would be best, so I'm hoping.
19
               THE COURT: How about if we come back at 1:00?
20
               MR. ESCOBAR:
                             Perfect.
21
               THE COURT: Then we're in recess for lunch
22
          until 1:00.
23
               THE BAILIFF: All rise.
24
          (Lunch recess taken.)
25
```