IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY CASE NO. CRC14-0216CFAES

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**VOLUME XIV** 

CURTIS J. REEVES,

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS: Stand Your Ground Motion

DATE:

February 28, 2017

BEFORE:

The Honorable Susan Barthle

Circuit Court Judge

PLACE:

Robert D. Sumner Judicial Center

38053 Live Oak Avenue Dade City, Florida 33523

REPORTED BY:

Charlene M. Eannel, RPR

Court Reporter PAGES 1635 - 1818

VERBATIM PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS, INC. 601 Cleveland Street, Suite 380 Clearwater, Florida 33765 (727)442-7288

```
1
     A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
 2
 3
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
     THE STATE OF FLORIDA:
 4
 5
     Glenn Martin, Assistant State Attorney
     Office of Bernie McCabe, State Attorney
 6
     Pinellas County Judicial Center
     14250-49th Street North
 7
     Clearwater, Florida 33762
 8
     Manny Garcia, Assistant State Attorney
     Stacy Sumner, Assistant State Attorney
 9
     Robert D. Sumner Judicial Center
     38053 Live Oak Avenue
10
     Dade City, Florida 33523
11
     APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
12
     THE DEFENDANT: CURTIS REEVES
13
     Richard Escobar, Esquire
     Dino Michaels, Esquire
14
     Rupak Shah, Esquire
     ESCOBAR & ASSOCIATES
15
     2917 W. Kennedy Blvd.
     Suite 100
16
     Tampa, Florida
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| 1      | INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS  |              |
|--------|---|--------------|
| 2      | DEFENSE WITNESSES   |              |
| 3      | PHILIP HAYDEN   |              |
| 4      | Direct Examination by Mr. Michaels<br>Cross-Examination by Mr. Martin | 1639<br>1704 |
| 5      | Redirect Examination by Mr. Michaels                                  | 1726         |
| 5<br>6 | CURTIS REEVES   |              |
|        | Direct Examination by Mr. Escobar                                     | 1740         |
| 7      |   |              |
| 8      |   |              |
| 9      |   |              |
| 10     |   |              |
| 11     |   |              |
| 12     |   |              |
| 13     |   |              |
| 14     |   |              |
| 15     |   |              |
| 16     |   |              |
| 17     |   |              |
| 18     |   |              |
| 19     |   |              |
| 20     |   |              |
| 21     |   |              |
| 22     |   |              |
| 23     |   |              |
| 24     |   |              |
| 25     |   |              |
|        |   |              |

|    |           |                            | _          |
|----|-----------|----------------------------|------------|
| 1  |           | INDEX OF EXHIBITS          |            |
| 2  | EXHIBIT # | DESCRIPTION                | PAGE REC'D |
| 3  | 42        | Composite Certificates     | 1791       |
| 4  | 43        | Busch Gardens Certificates | 1791       |
| 5  |           |                            |            |
| 6  |           |                            |            |
| 7  |           |                            |            |
| 8  |           |                            |            |
| 9  |           |                            |            |
| 10 |           |                            |            |
| 11 |           |                            |            |
| 12 |           |                            |            |
| 13 |           |                            |            |
| 14 |           |                            |            |
| 15 |           |                            |            |
| 16 |           |                            |            |
| 17 |           |                            |            |
| 18 |           |                            |            |
| 19 |           |                            |            |
| 20 |           |                            |            |
| 21 |           |                            |            |
| 22 |           |                            |            |
| 23 |           |                            |            |
| 24 |           |                            |            |
| 25 |           |                            |            |
|    |           |                            |            |

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. 3 MR. MICHAELS: Good morning, Your Honor. 4 MR. MARTIN: Good morning. 5 THE COURT: Welcome back. It feels like we 6 never left. 7 All right. Mr. Escobar? 8 MR. ESCOBAR: It's Mr. Michaels this morning. 9 MR. MICHAELS: Good morning, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 MR. MICHAELS: Defense calls Dr. Philip Hayden. 12 THE BAILIFF: Step this way, stand right here. 13 Face the clerk, raise your right hand to be sworn. 14 (Thereupon, the witness was duly sworn on oath.) 15 THE BAILIFF: Come have a seat up here. Adjust 16 the mic. Speak in a loud and clear voice for the 17 Court. 18 THE COURT: You may proceed, Counselor. 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. MICHAELS: 21 Please state your name, spelling your first and 0. 22 last name for the court reporter and the Judge, please. 23 My name is Philip Hayden. First name Philip, Α. 24 P-H-I-L-I-P, last name Hayden, H-A-Y-D-E-N. 25 Q. And before we start, I'm going to give you an

1 exhibit. It's Exhibit 111. That's the Defense's number. 2 MR. MICHAELS: If I may approach, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: Uh-huh. BY MR. MICHAELS: 4 That's a copy of your CV to help you if you need 5 **Q**. 6 to remember some of the many things you've done in your 7 past, in your educational and professional background. 8 Okay? 9 Okay. Α. 10 So let's talk about first, what is your Q. 11 occupation? 12 Right now I'm a law enforcement consultant, and Α. 13 I also have a company that's an investigative company. When you say, "law enforcement consultant," 14 0. explain to us what it is that you and your company does. 15 16 As a law enforcement consultant, I'm available 17 to law enforcement if they need help, but I also work as 18 an expert witness, in that for both the plaintiff and the 19 defense. 20 Have you also provided consultation and expert 21 witness services to state attorneys and U.S. Attorneys' 22 offices?

Give the court some examples of the offices you

State of Florida v. Curtis J. Reeves

Yes, I have.

provided these services for.

Α.

Q.

2/28/2017

23

24

- A. The Department of Justice in Washington D.C.,
  the Department of Justice in Iowa, Department of Justice
  in California, so several U.S. Department of Justice
  offices. State's attorneys have been in Birmingham, in
  Chicago, Des Moines, Iowa.
  - Q. Sarasota?
  - A. Sarasota.
    - Q. Miami Dade?
  - A. Miami Dade, yes.
- 10 Q. Feel free to look at your CV if you need to,
  11 Dr. Hayden.
  - A. Okay.

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What are some of the state and city attorneys' offices you've consulted and provided expert witness services for?
- A. Here in the United States Attorney's office in
  New York City, Western District of New York, District of
  New Jersey, Washington, D.C., El Paso, Texas, Portland,
  Oregon, Alexandria, Virginia, Eastern District of
  Pennsylvania, and State and County Attorneys' offices,
  Delaware, County Attorney's Office for Prince George's
  County, Maryland, Connecticut, and defense and plaintiff
  attorneys in Richmond, Virginia, Washington D.C.,
  Baltimore, Maryland, Las Vegas, Nevada, Denver, Colorado,
  Kansas City, Pasedena, Texas, Birmingham, Alabama, and the

1 | Swedish Defense Ministry.

- Q. Now, have you ever been qualified in state court to render an expert opinion?
  - A. Yes, I have.
  - Q. In what field?
- A. In use of force and also in police policies and procedures.
  - Q. In federal court?
  - A. In federal court, the same.
- Q. Now, before we get to your services in this particular case and your opinion in this particular case and what you've done in this particular case, let's talk a little bit about your background.
- I understand that you're a veteran of the U.S.
- 15 | Army?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And from what year to what year?
- 18 A. 1964 to 1968.
- 19 Q. And you entered as a private?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. What was your rank when you separated?
- 22 A. I was a captain.
- 23 Q. Why did you separate from the armed services?
- A. I was on physical disability. I got shot up pretty bad in Vietnam and retired out of the military.

Q. And so when you were in Vietnam, you received certain honors; did you not?

- A. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. The Purple Heart?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. The Vietnamese Cross of Gallantry?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. Conspicuous Service Cross?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. The Army Commendation for Valor?
- 11 A. Yes.

- 12 Q. Bronze Star for Valor?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q. And also the Distinguished Service Cross?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, before we get to your FBI service and your experience in the Federal Bureau of Investigations, let's talk a little bit about your educational background.
- 19 | Okay?
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. I called you Doctor when you came in. Let's talk about bachelor's. Where did you get your bachelor's and when?
- A. From Adelphi University. I received that in 1972.

- 1 Q. And what is your bachelor's in?
- 2 A. It's in accounting and business.
- Q. And you have a master's?
- 4 A. I have a master's degree I received in 1980.
- 5 Q. From what school?
- 6 A. Adelphi University.
- 7 Q. What is the master's in?
- 8 A. In accounting.
- 9 Q. Let's talk about your doctorate. From what 10 school?
- 11 A. From Nova Southeastern in Fort Lauderdale.
- 12 Q. What year?
- 13 A. In 1977.

19

20

21

22

- 14 Q. What is your doctorate in?
- 15 A. In education.
- Q. It's in education. How does that relate to your current work as an expert in -- consultant in the field of use of force?
  - A. As an educator, what I had to do is understand how people learn; how people transfer that information that they see, they hear, and how do they interpret that to, they actually use those types of skills that we're trying to teach.
- Q. And specifically, did that concept and those ideas apply to the training of law enforcement officers?

- A. Yes, specifically to that, yes.
- Q. That's a program that you developed?
- 3 A. That's correct.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

- Q. And that's training for use of force and things in that realm; is that fair to say?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Now, let's talk about your career in the FBI.

    When did you join the FBI?
  - A. I joined the FBI in 1973.
  - Q. How many years were you with the FBI?
- 11 A. Twenty-six.
  - Q. Before we go into some of your training and experience in the FBI, let's talk a little bit about what your various assignments are. So when you start the FBI, I imagine you go to an academy?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- 17 Q. Where is that?
- 18 A. That's in Quantico, Virginia.
- 19 Q. And what sort of training do you receive at that 20 point?
  - A. We receive sixteen weeks of training which involved all the investigations that you might do in the federal realm, and at that time there was like 350 different types of investigations; criminal, intelligence work, counterintelligence. So you receive training in all

those different areas and you received firearms training,
defensive tactics, physical fitness, investigations,
interviewing.

- Q. Are you also schooled in the concept of use of force?
  - A. Absolutely, yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And that would mean when it's appropriate, correct?
- A. When it's appropriate and what force you should be able to use.
- Q. And do you also learn at a very early time the various cues and things you should look for when deciding when and if to apply force?
- A. Yes, that's a critical factor in learning that, yes.
  - Q. And how much force to apply?
- 17 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Now, as an FBI agent, do you go in -- you go to Quantico, you go to the academy. What's your first assignment?
    - A. After I get out of Quantico I go to Chicago, and in Chicago I was assigned to the theft of interstate shipment.
      - Q. How long is that assignment for?
- 25 A. I was in Chicago for just about three years.

- Q. And tell the Court what it is that you did in that particular assignment. In other words, what do you do when you're assigned to, you said theft of interstate --
  - A. Shipment.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

- Q. -- shipment.
  - A. Basically, truck highjacking, things like that that cross the state lines, and investigating those crimes and conducting the arrest on individuals that you're able to identify.
- Q. Okay. So you would be involved in the investigation?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. And also the apprehension of the individuals that where being investigated?
- 16 A. That's correct, and all of paperwork that goes
  17 with it.
  - Q. Okay. What's your next assignment?
- A. I worked in counterintelligence and I worked in Polish intelligence, dealing with the individuals at the Polish Embassy.
  - Q. What years are we talking about?
- 23 A. This is from 1973 through 1976.
- Q. Before the wall came down?
- 25 A. Before the wall came down, yes.

- Q. What did you do in that particular assignment?
- A. I worked pretty much undercover, and did just -following the different individuals that they had in the
  Polish Embassy that we were interested in.
  - Q. Next assignment?

- A. Next assignment, I was in Chicago, and they move you around a bit so you get a different experiences, and I worked bank robberies, fugitives, and that was my last assignment in Chicago.
  - Q. What does that particular work involve?
- A. Here again, investigations. Investigations in bank robberies, doing interviews, doing the investigation, then conducting the arrest.

The others are fugitives that -- you might have a fugitive, as identified through the federal system, that there's a warrant for that person, and you try to find that person and make the arrest.

- Q. And does that particular assignment put you in contact directly with violent and potentially -- and potentially violent individuals?
  - A. Absolutely, it does.
  - Q. And what's your next assignment after that?
  - A. I was transferred to New York City.
  - Q. What did you do there?
- A. When I first arrived there, I worked in

1 | counterintelligence in the Russian Squad.

- Q. What year are we talking about?
- A. That was 1976 to probably 1977, early '78.
- Q. And so there you are doing similar work regarding the Russian Embassy as you did in Chicago with the Polish embassy? Is that fair to say?
  - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

22

- Q. How long do you do that for?
- A. Just about a year a year and a half.
- Q. What's your next assignment?
  - A. Next assignment, I ended up going to one of the organized crime squads. And on the organized crime squad I was one of four different individuals that was assigned to that squad to set up and develop plans and do the arrest of individuals that we identified.
  - Q. So you weren't actually involved in the undercover work itself?
- A. I wasn't involved in any undercover work in that, no.
  - Q. But you were involved in the arrest?
- 21 A. That's correct.
  - Q. So, again, placing you potentially in direct contact with violent or potentially violent individuals?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Next assignment?

- A. Next assignment, I worked on a squad that did go undercover. I worked undercover in identifying different criminal aspects, both in a criminal side and on counterintelligence, and we worked to help identify those people and to make the arrests of those people.
  - Q. And any sort of segment that was targeted?
- A. No, it's what they requested from the different squads within the division I was assigned to that if they needed help in certain -- identifying or arresting certain people, then they brought us in to assist them.
- Q. Okay. Again, in contact with dangerous and potentially dangerous individuals?
  - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

And we were working on -- or we were working with task forces from the New York City Police Department also that was involved in this.

- Q. Okay. Next assignment?
- 18 A. Next assignment after I left Chicago, I went to 19 Quantico, Virginia.
  - Q. You mean after you left New York?
  - A. After I left New York. I'm sorry, yes.
    - Q. What year are we at now?
- 23 A. When I went to Quantico, it was 1983.
- Q. And at that point are you a supervisory special agent?

1 A. That's correct.

- Q. What is the purpose of you going to Quantico?
- A. I was asked to go to Quantico to work on the SWAT program and the different aspects of SWAT, sniper training, defensive tactics, firearms.
- Q. And does part of that have to do with your military background?
  - A. Yes, it did.
- Q. What sort of training did you receive in the military?
- A. Well, I went through the basic training of combat infantry, I went through officer candidate school. I went through airborne school, ranger school, pathfinder school, demolition -- part of a demolition school. I trained for two and a half years.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you go to Quantico, is it to be part of the squad team or is to help develop it or both?
- A. When I went to Quantico, the unit was called the Special Operations and Research Unit, which was designed to work with the SWAT teams throughout the United States or FBI SWAT teams, and we did the training for them, getting equipment for them and assisting them if they needed our assistance in setting up posts and things like that.
  - Q. So is it fair to say, that in 1983 began your

career where, specifically, you're training other law enforcement personnel, special agents, local police, et cetera?

- A. That's when the large portion of my training began. We did do training back in New York, and I was a firearms instructor there, defensive tactics instructor, and sometimes we worked with local police as well as FBI agents.
- 9 Q. But you were also involved in training other 10 people then at that point?
  - A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. In 1993?
- 13 A. 1983.

4

5

6

7

8

- 14 Q. In 1983.
- 15 A. Right.
- Q. Now, during that time period -- what is it, '83 to '99? Is that fair to say?
- 18 A. '83 to '90 I was in the source unit.
- Q. And actually training individuals, that goes all the way out to '99, right?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 22 Q. In terms of with the FBI?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. During that time period, what sort of areas are you training officers and special agents in?

A. We're training them in the tactics of officer sound -- sound tactics. How do you go into a situation and do it in a way that's conducive to your own safety and to the safety of other individuals?

We dealt with all of those tactics dealing with firearms, defensive tactical, hands-on arrest techniques and then also planning, how to plan for an arrest and how to actually take it down.

- Q. During that time period, give the Court an estimate of how many law enforcement personnel, special agents, and local and state law enforcement that you were involved in training. How many people?
- A. In the combined of the source unit and when I went over to the practical applications unit and then to the law enforcement training for safety and survival, the SWAT was probably 1,500 or so people.

We went into the other areas of the task force training, probably another 4,000, 4,500 people, both -- and that was both federal agents. It could be from DEA, FBI, a lot of different federal organizations, and the task forces were also combined of federal and local, state, county police officers.

- Q. Did you also train law enforcement entities in other countries?
  - A. Yes, we did.

1 Q. Give the Court some examples.

- A. We went to Russia on five different occasions.

  We went to the Ukraine. We went to Uzbekistan. We went to several countries over in the Eastern Bloc countries.

  We went to Jordan. We went to Egypt; and what we did there was train our police officers in the program that was developed for the law enforcement training for safety and SWAT.
  - Q. And did you help create a law enforcement training for safety and survival?
    - A. Yes, I did.
      - Q. When did you do that?
  - A. I was asked to do that in 1992 for the Violent Crimes Task Forces.
  - Q. And that's after you had already been training individuals for nine years or so?
    - A. Yes, that's correct.
  - Q. Let's talk about while you were with the FBI, some of the lesson plans you designed.

First tell the Court what a lesson plan is.

- A. Lesson plans were developed to help our students have the guideline for what they're going to be instructed in and take them through the stages of what the first step would be all the way through that training process.
  - Q. And what areas are we talking about?

A. We are talking about several different areas. I had them written down here. I can't see it right here.

Oh, here we go.

Some of these lesson plans that we did were:
making arrests and handling subjects, preparation for an
arrest, arrest and search warrants, side surveys, law
enforcement operations orders for arrest and search
plans. Approaching an entry point. Conventional room
entry. Techniques for room clearing. Procedures and
equipment for room clearing. Clearing hallways, interior
movement, stairways, attics, roofs, crawl spaces, vehicle
stops, quick entries, which is sometimes referred to as
dynamic entry, use of ballistic shields, entries and
vehicle clearing, mechanical breaching, tactical
operations and repel master instructor.

- Q. So what happens with those lesson plans? You write them up and it's FBI property, or explain how that works.
- A. These lesson plans, they had -- the original lesson plans were there. They were not in very good order nor in detail, so I was asked to rewrite these for the new programs and --
  - Q. Who is it that asked you to do that?
  - A. The supervisors within the FBI at Quantico --
  - Q. Okay.

- 1 A. -- asked me to do that.
  - Q. And you also wrote some articles for the FBI?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. What do you mean by articles written for the FBI?
- A. They asked me to write different articles on the use of force, how to arrest an individual in a safe manner, how officers can be protected and do their job in a way that is more safe and conducive to safety, and so these articles were written for their benefit.
- Q. And in addition to your vast experience, what other information did you use to write those articles and to create those lessons plans you talked about?
- A. At the FBI academy they have a legal library, and in that legal library they have thousands of books dealing with different police topics. I spent many, many, many hours in that library going through different research material, trying to find people that had written different articles, see if it was peer reviewed, and if it's things that we could actually use.

Once I pulled it out and we thought we could use it, then we mixed it within our own group of about twelve different individuals.

Q. Did you also incorporate the training that you had received?

A. Oh, absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. And the experience that you had in your various assignments during your tenure in the FBI?
- A. I did that for myself, but I also did it for other agents that were there that we all had an input into if we thought that process was a good process or not.
- Q. You also helped to produce a video or videos for the FBI?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, when you were on the FBI, were you on any special units? You mentioned SWAT. Were you a member of the SWAT unit?
- 13 A. Yes, I was.
- 14 Q. What other units?
- 15 A. I was also an aviator. I flew aircraft for the 16 FBI.
- Q. So you were an FBI pilot is what you're telling us?
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. Were you a member of the FBI Shooting Board?
- 21 A. Yes, I was.
- 22 Q. Tell the Court what that is.
- A. The Shooting Review Board is held at the headquarters in Washington, D.C., and that board is made up of about twelve different individuals that some of them

have tactical background, some of them have a legal background, some have an administrative background, and every shooting that an FBI agent is involved in or every time that an FBI agent discharges a weapon outside of the training area, it could be an accidental discharge, it could be something -- he's cleaning his weapon at home and it goes off. Anytime an FBI agent is involved with a weapon that is fired outside of training that comes to the Shooting Review Board, and the Shooting Review Board analyzes everything that's done for the report that was given.

- Q. And that means that if an agent -- special agent fires his weapon and actually shoots somebody, that's included within that review board?
  - A. Absolutely.

- Q. As well as dropping the weapon at home and then discharging it?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And nationally, every single special agent of the FBI or any FBI personnel who's involved in any sort of shooting, that review board that you were a part of reviewed that shooting; is that fair to say?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Now, you did that for how long?
    - A. I did that for about seven years.

- Q. And did you always -- was your opinion always that the shooting is justified?
  - A. No, it was not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, during your tenure with the FBI, did you have occasion to interview agents or other police officers postshootings? In other words, police officers, agents involved in a shooting, were you involved in the interview of any of those individuals?
  - A. Yes, I was.
  - Q. How many occasions, do you think?
- A. Well over 200, 200 to 300. I would have to look exactly, but it was well over 200, probably closer to 300.
  - Q. Now, in this case you were hired by my firm?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Escobar & Associates, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And you're being paid for your services?
- 18 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Now, in this particular case what sort of materials were you provided to review to formulate your opinion?
    - A. I was given 137 different documents from your association to review, and I have a list of that if you'd like to see that list.
      - Q. Why don't you tell us what is on that list or

1 | read it if you need to.

- A. Well, there's depositions, over twenty depositions, statements. There are police reports, there are officers' statements of interviews that they did, a lot of statements many, many, many statements.
  - Q. Did you look at some photographs as well?
- 7 A. I looked at photographs.
  - Q. Autopsy report?
- 9 A. Autopsy report.
- 10 Q. Did you listen to the recorded statement of Mr.
- 11 Reeves?

2

3

4

5

6

- 12 A. I listened to the recorded statement of 13 Mr. Reeves.
- 14 Q. Did you get a big, thick police report as well?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And what else did you do in terms of your investigation?
- 18 A. Well, I reviewed all of that material, and then
  19 I --
- Q. How many hours do you think you've spent
  reviewing the materials and formulating an opinion in this
  case?
- A. Probably -- the material that you sent, probably well over 50, 55, 60 hours, then reviewing materials, my own material, probably another 30 hours.

Q. Okay. At some point did you come down to Tampa --

A. Yes, I did.

3

4

- Q. -- as part of your investigation in this case?
- 5 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Did you actually go to the Cobb Movie Theater?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Tell us about that. You went there. Who did you go with?
- 10 A. I went with Mr. Escobar.
- 11 Q. All right. And where did you go?
- A. We went to the Cobb Theater. We went inside. A manager opened it up for us. It was not open at the time.

  We went into Theater 10.
- Q. All right. And that's the theater that's in question in this particular case?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And you knew about that before you went into 19 Theater 10?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Tell me what happens when you get into Theater 10.
- A. I went in there, and we looked at the different seating arrangements they had back there. We went over to the seating that Mr. Reeves was sitting in. I sat in that

1 chair. I went to where Mr. Oulson --

- Let's talk about that. 0.
- 3 So you went into the theater. You sat in the chair that Mr. Reeves was in. How did you know that was the chair that Mr. Reeves was in? 5
  - Α. From the videos and from the reports.
  - And did Mr. Escobar also indicate to you that Q. that was the seat?
  - Yes, he did. Α.

2

4

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

- 10 Tell me what happens. Do you actually sit in Q. 11 the seat?
- 12 Yes, I do. Α.
- 13 0. What is the lighting -- what are the lighting conditions in the theater? 14
  - Well, at the time Mr. Escobar and I are talking and it's just a -- opened up the lighting that they had in there, the actual lighting, and after we got done talking the manager turned on the sound.
- 19 We're going to get there. Q.
  - Α. Okay.
  - So when you go in, the theater is not dark; is Q. that what you're telling the Court?
  - Α. That's correct.
- 24 And I know it's a relative term because you Q. 25 probably don't know what the settings were and all of

that, but it was not a darkened theater; that's what you're telling us?

A. That's correct.

- Q. So you sit in the seat, and what is your purpose of sitting in the seat? What are you doing there?
- A. What I'm trying to do, I'm trying see what Mr. Reeves, how he was sitting in that seat, how he felt in that seat.
- Q. Agree or disagree: You're trying to evaluate what the environment is, at least as it's concerning the physical constraints of the seat, if you will?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And so when you sit in that seat, what do you notice in terms of the seat itself? For instance, are there arms side to side or no arms?
  - A. Arms.
- Q. And what does that do in terms of restricting your movement or do you even try to move?
- A. No, I definitely tried to move within that seat, and I tried to move around. I tried to move to the left, to the right, how I would have to stand up in there, how close it is to the seat in front of me, so I'm moving around there, seeing what the restrictions might be.
  - Q. We're going to talk about that.
    So you're in the seat, and how much do you

1 | weigh?

- A. I weigh about 250 pounds.
- Q. Okay. And so did you find it easy to move around in that seat?
- A. Not easy to move very far. I could kind of move my body around in there, you know, trying to get in a comfortable position, but there wasn't much room to move to the left or right.
- Q. Now, in terms of the seat in front of you, initially, when you sat down, was that seat leaned back or just left in the position with nobody sitting there?
- A. It was left in the position of nobody sitting there.
- Q. And tell me what observations you made concerning that seat in terms of distance from where your knees were.
- A. Well, from the photographs that the crime scene photographers had done, I saw that they had a measuring tape. It was about eighteen inches from the front of the seat that I was sitting in to the back of the seat with just sitting straight up. From where Mr. Reeves was was about 36 inches from his seat to where Mr. Oulson was.
- Q. Okay. But without getting into measurements, I want to know what you observed, because certainly you were not there with a tape measure.

1 A. No, I was not.

- Q. So when you were seated there, could you easily reach up and touch the seat in front of you?
  - A. Yes, I could.
- Q. You said you tried to get up. Tell the Court how it is that you tried to get up.
- A. Well, when you're standing up there, I wanted to see just how easy it would be to stand up from that position.

When you see, because of the seats and the way they're developed, you have to lean forward quite a bit in order to be able to stand up, and because my back is also messed up, I had used the hand rest to kind of push myself up.

Q. And what did you observe when you tried to push yourself up? And specifically, I'm talking about what did you observe in terms of your proximity to the row in front of you?

In other words, as you were pushing up, did you get closer to the row or did you get further back?

- A. When I was pushing up, I was right to the back of the row, so I was all the way forward.
- Q. When you pushed yourself up, where was your head positioned in relation to that seatback?
  - A. Just about where the seatback was.

Q. Now, in that initial seat, what else did you do?

Did you try moving around?

You saw on the video, because you said you looked at the video, Mr. Reeves sticking out his leg. Did you try to move around at all?

- A. Yes. Mr. Reeves said that he had slid down in the seat, so the back of the seat -- so I wanted to see how that would be, and I tried to do the exact same thing.
  - Q. And were you able to?
  - A. Yes, I was.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, from that back row did you try leaning the seat back at all of the back row seat?
  - A. Of the back row seat, trying to lift --
  - O. Lean it back. Did it lean back at all?
- 15 A. No, not really, because there's a wall back 16 there. It leans back very little.
  - Q. Okay. Now, what's the next thing that you did?

    Now you sat in that seat. What do you do next?
  - A. Mr. Escobar was over where Mr. Oulson was, and we were kind of interacting, pushing the seat back to see how close we could be to -- if he could reach me, if he couldn't reach me, and then --
  - Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Escobar is not six-four. We can agree with that, I'm sure.
    - A. Absolutely.

- Q. So tell me what your experience was then with Mr. Escobar, at least, leaning on the seat. Was he able to reach you?
  - A. Yes, he was.
  - Q. What area of your body did he reach to?
  - A. He was able to reach, right, basically almost to my chest by leaning over the seat.
  - Q. And was he pressing on the seat so it was leaning back?
    - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Could you tell?
- 12 A. Yeah, it appeared that's what he was doing.
- 13 Q. Did you see where his legs or knee was?
  - A. No, I couldn't see from there, but he tried in different positions, and he's leaning back in the chair. He did not try to stand up in the chair, but he was kneeling in the chair on one occasion.
  - Q. Okay. And that's the seat that's not in front of you but the one that would be to your right?
    - A. To the front right, yes.
  - Q. So he's not actually coming between the seats, but he's manipulating the seat to the right?
    - A. He moved over in-between the two seats also.
- Q. When he moved over between the two seats, was he closer to you when he reached over?

A. He was close to me when he came over that second time, when he came through the crack of the seat, basically, and it appeared that he could almost be right

- basically, and it appeared that he could almost be right on top of me.
  - Q. Okay. Now, from there, what's the next thing that you do in the theater?
    - A. Well, I ended up sitting where Mr. Oulson was.
- Q. Let's talk about that.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

23

24

- So now you change positions, you sit in the seat that Mr. Escobar was near?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. That would be the seat that as you're looking forward, if you're sitting in Mr. Reeves' seat, it would be the seat to the right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. So what did you do in that seat?
- A. I did the same type of things that Mr. Escobar did. Mr. Escobar was sitting in Mr. Reeves' seat.
- Q. Okay. So tell me what it is that you did. Now, you're there. Is the seat bottom up or down?
  - A. The seat was down. I was sitting in it. When I stood up, the seat came up and I was leaning back in the seat.
  - Q. When you were sitting in the seat, did you try to reach back at all?

- 1 Α. Yes, I did.
- 2 Were you able to? Q.
- 3 Α. When I was sitting in the seat?
- 4 Q. Yes.

7

8

9

16

17

- Not when I was not sitting in the seat I didn't 5 Α. 6 try to reach back.
  - Okay. When did you try to reach back? Q.
  - When I turned around. Α.
  - So tell us about that. You turned around? Q.
- 10 I turned around, I stood up and turned around Α. 11 and I reached back.
- 12 All right. And the seat bottom is up or down? Q.
- 13 Α. Up.
- 14 And your knees are where? Against the seat 0. 15 bottom?
- Basically right against the seat. They were Α. in -- the upper part of the seat is where it comes up, and 18 that's about where my knee is.
- 19 All right. So you're not kneeling on the seat? Q.
- My knee is there, but I wouldn't say I was 20 Α. 21 kneeling on the seat.
  - Q. You're not kneeling on the seat when it's down?
- No, I'm not. 23 Α.
- 24 The seat is folded up? Q.
- 25 That's correct. Α.

1 And your knee is contacting the seat --Q. 2 MR. MARTIN: Leading, Judge. 3 BY MR. MICHAELS: I'm trying to understand. Do you agree with me 4 Q. or disagree with me that your knee was touching the bottom 5 6 of the seat as the seat was in the up position? 7 That's correct. Α. 8 Q. So you agree with me? 9 Yes, I do. Α. 10 All right. Now, when you're in that position, Q. 11 are you right in front of the seat or over to the side? 12 I was -- I did both. I was right in front of Α. 13 the seat and moved over to the side. 14 0. Tell us about right in front of the seat, 15 what -- you reached over? 16 I reached over, and I couldn't get as close as I 17 could when I moved over. 18 When you say as close, how close did you get Q. 19 from the position where you're in front of the seat? 20 When I was standing right in front of the seat, 21 I could probably reach over and just about touch it, but 22 not quite. 23 Okay. And touch Mr. Escobar? Ο.

We can agree, not only is he not six-four but he

Yes, that's correct.

24

25

Α.

Q.

doesn't weigh 250 pounds?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And so when you reach over, you can almost touch him, you say. How tall are you?
  - A. Six foot.
    - Q. Okay. You're not six-four?
- A. No, I'm not.
  - Q. Now, when you reach over, are you -- is your hand on the back of the chair? By that I mean the part you lean back in --
    - A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- or where is your hand?
- 13 A. My hand was on the back of seat.
  - Q. When you say you're reaching over, you're reaching over with your right or your left hand?
    - A. I'm reaching over with my right hand.
    - Q. Now, you said you had a bad back. How were you able to balance yourself? Tell me -- explain to us what it is that you were doing to accomplish that sort of position.
    - A. Well, in order to accomplish that, my knee was on the back of the seat. My hand was on the back of the seat. My knee was on the back of the seating part, and I was pushing over and trying to reach over with my hand to see how far I could go.

Q. So you were pushing on the back of the seat that actually moves a little bit; is that what you are explaining?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Now, after you do that in front of the seat, what's the very next thing that you do?
- A. Well, the next thing we did, we had the manager turn on the sound.
- Q. Did you move towards the -- in-between the seats?
  - A. Yes, I did move in-between the seats, yes.
- Q. What did you do -- what did you do once you were in-between the seats?
- A. I did the same thing. I tried to move over toward Mr. Escobar to see how much I could reach over.
  - Q. Were you able to get close or not?
- 17 A. Yes. Closer, yes.
- 18 Q. Were you able to touch him?
- 19 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

- 20 Q. In what area?
  - A. I could touch him in his -- pretty much his -- where he's sitting back where his hands are, in that area.
    - Q. And -- well, I'm not sure --
- A. Right around the chest area. I could get in that close.

- Q. Okay. What's the next thing that happened in the movie theater?
  - A. We had the manager turn the sound on and then the preview, and I don't remember which one it was, and turn the lights the way the lights would have been at that time.
  - Q. Okay. And in terms of how the lights really were on the day this all happened -- agree or disagree -- you don't really know what it's like -- what the lights were physically like on the day this happened?
  - A. Right. No, just what the manager said, this is what it would have been on that day.
  - Q. Okay. And so you're there. The lights are, according to the manager anyway, at preview level, and you're watching some preview but we don't know which one, right?
    - A. That's correct.

- Q. What do you do -- where are you when you're watching that?
- A. We do the same thing for Mr. Reeves' seat to Mr. Oulson's seat. We kind of moved around. Mr. Escobar was moving back and forth to see how much I could see of him when he was moving around. It was just the two of us, so I could follow him okay.
  - Q. Okay. So the purpose of that, from sitting in

1 Mr. Reeves' seat, was what?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. At that time, to see with the lights down, to see how clearly I could see Mr. Escobar.
- Q. Okay. And agree or disagree with me: You also did that to get some idea of Mr. Reeves' perspective.

  Agree or disagree?
  - A. I agree.
- Q. Now, part of what you did in this case, you talked about the materials that you looked at. Let's talk a little bit about those materials.

You said you looked at the depositions. Would that be depositions of both laypeople or patrons and law enforcement as well?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you review statements by laypeople or the patrons in the movie theater?
- A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. And did you consider those statements in formulating your opinion in this case?
  - A. No, I read through all of them, but I did not use it because I thought it was really contaminated at that point.
    - Q. Let's talk a little bit about that.

As an FBI agent, from the very time you began in Quantico, tell us about your training in interviewing

large groups of people?

- A. One thing that's pointed out, it was pointed out very clearly, right from the very beginning is that you have to separate witnesses in order to make sure that you get statements that is in their mind, not words from somebody else.
  - Q. Why is that a big deal?
- A. Because people have a tendency to want to fill blanks, and when they hear what other people have to say, they have a tendency to put that in their statement, thinking that that's what they did see or hear.
- Q. So what does your training tell you in terms of considering statements that have been subject to this contamination?
- A. Well, what it says is that you have to request everything and be as thorough as you possibly can when you read any of these documents, so whether or not it's contaminated or not, to see if there's some kind of consistency.
- So I did. I did read them, but when I formed my opinion, my opinion was not based on much of what they said at all.
- Q. And what is it that gave you the idea, or why are you of the opinion that these statements are contaminated witness statements here?

- A. Because several of the witnesses within their
  depositions stated that they had talked to other people.

  They had talked to each other. They had talked to
  spouses, you know, before they made their statements, and
  that large groups of people were standing around talking
  about what happened, discussing the case.
  - Q. Okay. So they overheard other people talking about it?
  - A. They overheard other people talking and were involved in some of the discussions of what happened.
  - Q. So you actually went to the movie theater.

    There was a video in this case. Certainly you've had a chance to review the video?
    - A. Yes, I have.
- 15 Q. And several clips?
  - A. Yes, I have.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

21

22

24

- 17 O. And several versions of the video?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Mr. Martin showed you a video during your deposition?
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. Clips and all of that? Do you recall that?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. So it would be fair to say, that you had a chance to review the video in this case?

1 Yes, I did. Α.

2

4

5

6

7

8

- Now, did you use your review of the video as Q. 3 part of your formulation of your opinion in this case?
  - Α. Yes, I did.
  - What else did you do in this case? Ο.
  - Α. Well, I -- after reviewing all of the material, the videos, the photographs.
    - Q. The reports?
- 9 The reports, everything that was -- that was 10 given to me by you in looking at my background, my past 11 experience.
  - Well, did you also interview Mr. Reeves? Q.
- 13 Α. Yes, I did.
- 14 Well, when you came down to the movie theater, Q. 15 you interviewed Mr. Reeves, right?
- 16 That's correct.
- 17 All right. Tell us about that. Where was the 0. 18 interview conducted?
- 19 At Mr. Escobar's office. Α.
- 20 0. How long did that interview last?
- 21 Probably no more than an hour. Α.
- 22 Q. All right. Now, did you take notes?
- 23 No, I did not. Α.
- 24 Did you record the interview? Q.
- 25 Α. No, I did not.

- Q. What was the purpose of interviewing Mr. Reeves?
- A. I already read all the statements and had a very good understanding of what he said, and what I wanted to do was clarify in my own thinking some of the questions that I had about his statements and things he had said, so I just wanted to talk to him about that.
- Q. Okay. And had you, before this, before you interviewed Mr. Reeves, in addition to all of the material, did you actually listen to the interview Mr. Reeves gave Detective Proctor and Koenig at the scene?
  - A. Yes, I listened to that twice.

- Q. Okay. Now, tell me about the interview with Mr. Reeves. What does he tell you?
- A. There was nothing really different from what his statement was, that his oral statement said, and so when I talked to him, I really didn't see much difference. I just got more clarification about his fear and things like that.
- Q. And tell the Court, what sort of clarification did you get concerning Mr. Reeves' fear?
- A. Well, he said in his statement that he was scared shitless, that he was really scared.
- Q. Now you're talking about what he said in his statement to whom?
  - A. To Detective Proctor.

1 Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. So I asked him about that, "What do you mean? Why would -- were you scared? What was it that scared you?

And he explained to me that he was scared from the demeanor, the words, the actions of this individual, was totally out of context of anybody being in a theater, and he was explaining that to me, why he felt that fear.

- Q. Well, let's look at Mr. Reeves' statement that you have there in front of you?
  - A. I have a statement.
- Q. Again, I'm talking about the statement he gave to law enforcement that day.
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, Mr. Reeves says to Proctor, and it's on page 79 of the report, it's line 34 of the transcription that we were provided from the State, Mr. Reeves says to tell you the -- it says, "Damn," there. I believe the recording accurately says, "Dang, I hate to be here. This is crazy. It was absurd. I tell retired cops that you don't do this."

What did Mr. Reeves mean by that? Did you talk to him?

- A. I did talk to him about --
- Q. Did you talk to him about that particular line?

```
1
               Not exactly about that particular line.
          Α.
 2
               So then let's talk about this.
          Q.
 3
               Tell me what it is regarding law enforcement --
     law enforcement officer involved in a shooting, a
 4
 5
     justified shooting. In your interview, how does that law
     enforcement officer feel?
 6
 7
               It's probably one of the worst experiences that
          Α.
 8
    you'll have, taking another individual's life, and every
 9
    police officer I talk to basically said that, that it's
10
     not something that you ever want to be involved in.
11
               Okay. And so that's a possible explanation,
          Ο.
12
     even though you didn't ask --
13
               MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
          That calls for speculation.
14
15
               MR. MICHAELS:
                              I'll move on, Judge. He's right.
16
17
    BY MR. MICHAELS:
18
          Q.
               Let me ask you this:
19
               Mr. Reeves says "But I've never had anybody jump
20
     on my ass like that."
21
               MR. MARTIN: Page and line, please?
22
               MR. MICHAELS:
                              Line 35, same page.
23
               MR. MARTIN: What page are you on there?
24
               MR. MICHAELS:
                              It's the printed-out transcript.
25
          Let me get you a copy of it, if I may.
```

May I approach, Judge? I'll show Mr. Martin. 1 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 MR. MICHAELS: This way we can be, literally on 4 the same page. 5 BY MR. MICHAELS: 6 Q. All right, Doctor? 7 Α. Okay. 8 Q. Okay. Now, I know I asked you before if that's 9 how Mr. Reeves felt and, of course, you can't say that he 10 felt that, you know, he said this for that reason, but let 11 me ask you this: 12 Would that sort of statement be consistent with 13 that sort of sentiment that you described regarding law 14 enforcement postshooting? 15 Yes, it would be. Α. Now, let's talk about the next line down which 16 Q. 17 is starting on 35, "I've never had any" --18 What page are you on? Α. 19 Same page 3. I'm sorry, 3, line 35. Do you see Q. 20 the little number? There you go. Page 3, line 35. Okay. 21 All right. 22 Mr. Reeves says "But I've never had anybody jump 23 on my ass like that."

the statements that he made to you during your interview?

Let's talk about that.

Is that consistent with

24

- 1 Yes, it is. Α. 2 Tell us about that. What do you know factually Q. 3 about, "Never having anybody jump on my ass like that"? Well, I asked him about that and he said in 27 4 Α. 5 years being a police officer he never had anybody get up into his face like that, and he said it was frightening. 6 7 It was very frightening that somebody did that. He said, 8 "It totally took me by surprise." 9 Let's go to page 5 --Q. Okay. 10 MR. MARTIN: Excuse me, Mr. Michaels. 11 Judge, would you like a copy of this transcript 12 so can you follow along? 13 MR. ESCOBAR: I think she's got one. 14 THE COURT: I did have one but it's in my 15 office. Sorry. If you've got an extra one, I'd 16 appreciate it. Thank you. 17 BY MR. MICHAELS: 18 Page 5, line 4, Mr. Reeves tells Detective Q. 19 Proctor, "He kept on hollering. I'm not sure what he 20 said, to be honest with you." 21 Do you have any kind of proof that you can point 22 to that Mr. Oulson kept on hollering? 23 No, I have no proof that he kept on hollering Α.
  - Q. And certainly the video does not have any sort

except from what Mr. Reeves said.

24

of audio.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Is there anything that you see in the video that may be indicative of somebody being angry and that sort of behavior?
- A. I see different movements from the row that Mr. Oulson was in.
- Q. Okay. Again, page -- line 5 and 6, same page,
  "Not sure what he said, to be honest with you. He said
  something, and that led me to believe he was going to kick
  my ass."

Now, again, is that consistent with Mr. Reeves' statement to you?

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And the previous statement that we talked about in terms of he had never been in that situation before?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. Is there anything that you can point out in the video that says, "Oh, that is where he's saying it"?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Is there is anywhere on the video that you can point and say, "Yeah, I see where Mr. Oulson is saying it"?
    - A. No, not where I can see it, no.
  - Q. So, again, you're basing your belief on what

Mr. Reeves says?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

- A. What he says and the video.
- Q. And the video which you talked about earlier where you see that movement towards Mr. Reeves?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So looking briefly, line 7, "I know I can't get anywhere," so what evidence do you have or what backs up that statement that Mr. Reeves is making to Detective Proctor?
- A. I sat in that chair in the theater, and I knew it was extremely difficult to go anywhere.
- Q. Did you all observe or not observe the distance between Mr. Reeves' chair and the chair in the very front?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Is that part of your analysis in determining that that's a truthful statement and that could be backed up with physical evidence?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you have any reason to disbelieve
  Mr. Reeves on any of these statements?
- 21 A. No, I didn't.
  - Q. Now, Mr. Reeves says, "So I'm leaning all the way back in my chair." Again, is that something that we see on the video?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Now, line 13 of the same page, Mr. Reeves says, Q. 2 "My left arm is out in front of me." Now, let's talk a 3 little bit about that. 4 When you interviewed Mr. Reeves in Tampa the day 5 you came down to the movie theater, does Mr. Reeves tell 6 you that same thing? 7 No, I really didn't ask him about that. Α. 8 Q. Did -- can you see that in the video at all? 9 I do not see that in the video. Α. 10 Now, is that what a trained police officer would Q. 11 do, put his hand down in front? 12 If -- the trained police officer would probably Α. 13 put his hand up to block whatever strike is coming in at 14 him. 15 If a police officer was in danger of great 16 bodily harm or death, is a police officer going to put his 17 hand in front before he draws his pistol? 18 Judge, I'm going to object. MR. MARTIN: That 19 calls for speculation. We're talking about every 20 police officer. There are so many variables in the 21 situation. 22 BY MR. MICHAELS: 23 In your training in your --Q. 24 MR. MARTIN: Judge, I have an objection. 25 MR. MICHAELS: I'm sorry. I was moving on.

1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 Rephrase. 3 MR. MICHAELS: She sustained it, I thought. THE COURT: 4 Uh-huh. 5 BY MR. MICHAELS: 6 So in your vast experience of being trained and 7 training, is it your experience that police officers are 8 trained to put their hand in front of them if they're 9 about to discharge their firearm? 10 No -- well, no, it isn't. 11 Now, Mr. Reeves says, line 15, starting at the Q. 12 end of 14, "Suddenly my head was to the right, so he hit 13 me with something. I assumed it was his fist, but I don't 14 know." Did you -- could you see anything in the video 15 16 where you can identify a hundred percent sure that 17 Mr. Reeves is getting hit with something? 18 Not a hundred percent sure, no. Α. 19 Now, what sort of evidence do you have, whether Q. 20 it's interview, photographs, or other evidence, that 21 Mr. Reeves may have been hit with something other than a 22 fist? 23 Α. Yes. 24 What evidence is it that you can point to that Q. 25 would suggest that?

- A. Two things: That there is a photograph of the cell phone between Mr. Reeves' feet. So we know that that cell phone ended up there, and in the video I saw an arm coming in. I saw a light. I don't know what that light was, but something happened at that point in time.
  - Q. Did Mr. Reeves also tell you same thing in your interview of him in Tampa when you came down to go to the movie theater?
    - A. Yes, he did.

Q. Line, starting at 16, "Something was wrong with my left eye. I had them wash it out for me, so he hit me with his fist or something. I think he had a cell phone in his hand because I saw the -- I saw the -- the blur of the screen."

Again, are we talking about evidence to back that up, the same sort of thing you just talked about, the photograph?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Video?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Mr. Reeves' statement.
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Again, with the left hand, we already talked about that. "Hit me in the face, knocks my glasses sideways."

Do you see anything in the video or have any evidence that his glasses were knocked sideways?

- A. No, I don't. Just his statement.
- Q. Okay. And, again, there are witnesses that you've discounted because of contamination, so they're not in this mix; is that fair to say?
  - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Page 6, line 5, Reeves says, "But, uh, and I, and good heavens, I didn't mean to do that. That was just -- I had to say that I've counseled cops for" --

"Question: How many rounds did you shoot?"

"One. I guess you could say I was scared shitless."

So did you question Mr. Reeves, specifically on what he meant by the phrase at the end of that first sentence, "I didn't mean to do that"?

- A. I didn't ask him specifically that.
- Q. Okay. Certainly the last part of it that seems to be a continuation of the sentence that he was scared shitless, you talked to Mr. Reeves about that?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And was that consistent with his statement to you?
  - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Was that also consistent with anything else that

you did? For instance, your theater visit, that someone would be scared?

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I object. That calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. MICHAELS: Well, Judge, I think --

MR. MARTIN: Judge, that calls for speculation.

If he's trying to equate that with Mr. Reeves or if someone else would be scared, there's too many variables.

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, he made an evaluation in this case, and he's going to formulate an opinion about whether the use of force here was justified. Certainly when we talk about whether or not someone is scared, we have to consider certain factors.

Obviously we can't cut their brain open and look at the scary part and see if that's been affected, but certainly we can say, Well, he's telling me this, I saw the video, I see this individual coming over on three occasions, and by sitting in the chair and seeing the close proximity I can understand why he's scared.

It is the same thing that any investigator would do and certainly an expert in formulating an opinion by putting himself in the place, I think he can

explain that and make a determination as to whether or not he used that fear in his formulation of his professional opinion.

THE COURT: All right. I will overrule.

MR. MICHAELS: Okay.

## BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. Anything else aside from Mr. Reeves telling you?
- A. No, just from what Mr. Reeves is telling me and what I see in the video.
  - Q. Okay. And what about being in the theater?
- A. Being in the theater. I sat in his position. I had the lights down. Mr. Escobar was interacting with me at that point, and I was trying to look at this in an objectively, reasonable way to understand what anybody with his background and experience would feel in that I have many of the same things that Mr. Reeves has; bad back, bad knees, bad elbows --

MR. MARTIN: Excuse me, Judge. I'm going to object to this line of questioning and the response.

He's saying, "I'm just like Mr. Reeves, so if I was scared, he's scared." I object to that. That's pure speculation. The way he's answering the question is pure speculation.

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, again, an expert can base their opinion on not only education, not only

1 provocation but certainly their own personal 2 experience, professional experience as well, so he's 3 just answering the question. I'll move on, but he 4 just answered the question. I'm going to sustain as to that one. 5 THE COURT: 6 Move on. 7 MR. MICHAELS: All right. BY MR. MICHAELS: 8 9 Now, Mr. Reeves tells you, line 13 of the same Q. 10 page, "As you get older, you find out you're a physical 11 wreck," right? 12 That's correct. Α. 13 0. Did you look at any x-rays or radiology reports? I did not. 14 Α. 15 Can you even read those? Q. 16 Α. I can not. 17 Did you have any reason to believe Mr. Reeves in **Q**. 18 that statement? 19 I just know that physiologically that when you Α. 20 get older, things don't really work the way it was when 21 you were thirty years old, so, yeah, I have reason to 22 believe that could happen.

And as part of that, did you actually delve and

A. Yes, I did.

Q.

23

24

Page 6, line 34 -- line 33, I will start there: 1 Q. 2 "Proctor: I hear you. Did, um, your wife 3 where -- where was your wife at?" 4 "Reeves: She was sitting on the right-hand side 5 of -- if she's paying attention, and bless her heart, 6 she's -- you know, there's no justification for what 7 happened in there." 8 And so did you ask Mr. Reeves specifically, 9 about that statement? 10 No, I did not. Α. 11 Page 7, line 19. Q. 12 "Reeves: His wife was talking. Whoever was 13 with him was trying to hold him back." 14 Do you see any evidence of that in the video? 15 From what Mr. Reeves was saying is the -- really 16 the only thing that I see, that somebody was trying to 17 hold somebody back. 18 What about any physical evidence in terms of Q. 19 autopsy or other medical reports that you received? 20 What I did see in the autopsy is that where he 21 was shot in the chest, that Mrs. Oulson was also shot in 22 the hand -- in the left hand would be consistent with her 23 putting her hand up in front of his chest in the bullet 24 path.

So, because you saw -- you actually -- did you

25

Q.

see photographs of Mrs. Oulson's hand?

A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Line 22 -- page 7, line 25.

"I think when I leaned over and asked him to turn his cell phone off, he told me to get the "F" out of his face, so I knew right away that" --

What about that? Mr. Reeves is saying that he leaned over and asked Mr. Oulson. Does that -- what Mr. Reeves told you in his interview, is that consistent?

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Is there anything on the video that would indicate or make you think that that's a true statement?
- 13 A. Yes, there is.
  - Q. What is it that you observed on the video.
  - A. I see him move forward in his seat three times. I don't know what he's doing at that time, but I see him moving in his seat, and it looks like he appears to go forward.
  - Q. Is that the time period before he goes to the manager?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Page 7, line 34, Reeves says "It was enough for me to try to look for a way out, and my wife was saying when I got up to go tell the manager. He says, 'Why don't we' -- we should have just moved is what we should have

1 done, and she said that after the shooting, I think."

Did you ask Mr. Reeves specifically, about that statement?

A. I did ask him about that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And what did he tell you?
- A. He basically told me that at that point he believed that Mr. Oulson was just being mouthy and there wasn't a problem. He was just going to go to the manager and resolve it, come back in, sit down, and enjoy the movie. He thought that was it, so he didn't feel like he really needed to move.
- Q. Reeves tells Proctor, page 88, that's page 10 as it's printed, line 27:
- "If I had thought that I wasn't going to get beat up, it would have never happened. I was -- I was pretty confident after being hit one time that he wasn't going to stop."
- Again, is that consistent with your interview with Mr. Reeves?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Did you see anything in the video to back up that statement?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What do you see?
- 25 A. I see in the video that arm appears -- arm and

part of the body coming in and that light coming across, and that's when Mr. Reeves said he was hit, at that point in time.

Q. Okay. Then do you see a second time an arm coming across?

- A. In about eleven seconds later I see an arm coming across, and then that's when the popcorn comes out of his hand and then an arm comes back in a third time.
- Q. Page 10, line 35, Reeves says, "No, you got to know, the lady that was sitting one seat away from me, she should have seen everything."

Did you talk to Mr. Reeves about that?

- A. I didn't really ask him about that.
- Q. Okay. Now, there had been some suggestion that, you know, Mr. Reeves made this up because he's a law enforcement officer and had time to think.

Is there anything in that statement that would, in your opinion, would be indicative of just the opposite?

- A. He's pretty consistent with what he's saying in his statement and what I talked to him about, and as a law enforcement officer, once he was handcuffed and put in the car, he should have known at that time not to speak to anybody, at that time. That's what he should have done.
- Q. Does it seem here like he's trying to hopefully get the police to talk to somebody so they could verify

what he's telling them?

A. Yes. What he told me about talking, he said, "I wanted them to understand what happened," because he says, "I felt like I was the person being assaulted here. I wanted them to understand" --

MR. MARTIN: Excuse me, Judge. That's nonresponsive to the question. We're talking about whether or not he was suggesting to the police you go talk to this lady, and all of a sudden we have an answer that's out of the blue that's totally not responsive to that question. I don't know where it came from.

The question was about talking -- going and talking to the lady, and then he never responded. I don't know what he's talking about.

MR. MICHAELS: He's in the middle of responding and he got cut off, so I'm not sure what the response was going to be.

MR. MARTIN: Well, the response would have kept going, was that he was sitting in the car and he shouldn't have spoke and that sort of thing, and it was nothing about going to talk to the lady, because -- not Mr. Knox, Mr. Knox; I apologize -- Dr. Hayden has already indicated he didn't talk to him about that.

Now he's asking him to speculate what he meant by that, so it's speculation and it's nonresponsive.

That's my legal objection.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MICHAELS: Part of the problem, there's been a suggestion here that Mr. Reeves fabricated this and has these, quote/unquote, self-serving statements, so since we are going to be talking about those, this is a statement that appears on the face of it -- certainly the suggestion of it not to be self-serving at all: Go talk to somebody else, please, because they must have seen it, and everything is going to be all right if you talk to them.

THE COURT: Okay. I got that question, and

Mr. Martin is right. I don't recall hearing -- all I

recall hearing is that he didn't really ask him about

that statement, so let's move on.

MR. MICHAELS: All right.

## BY MR. MICHAELS:

- Q. Now, you didn't ask Mr. Reeves about that statement, but let me ask you: Would you consider such a statement in formulating your opinion in this particular matter?
  - A. The statement you're talking about --
  - Q. That Mr. Reeves made, where he's saying, you

know, "You've got to know the lady that was sitting one seat away from me. She should have seen everything."

Does that help you determine whether or not Mr. Reeves is being truthful in your interview with him?

A. Yes, it does.

- Q. How is that?
- A. Because he wants the police to go talk to other people in there. Somebody should have seen what was going on. "They would be able to tell you what I'm saying is truthful."
- Q. Now, tell us regarding your training what's important if an adversary has a size advantage. Why are you looking at size?
- A. Well, size -- every police officer is trained to evaluate when they go into a situation. Size is a consideration; is that person a large person or a small person? You know, what is that person doing? You're evaluating everything, and size is one of those things you're going to evaluate.
- Q. Does that help an individual, a trained police officer make a determination in assessing the potential danger of the individual? Yes or no?
  - A. A police officer is going to do that, yes.
- Q. Now, in terms of age, again, assessment of danger and of threat, does an age difference play into

that sort of assessment, in police training?

A. Absolutely.

- Q. Tell us about that.
- A. If you're going up against a younger individual and you're an older police officer, you don't have the skills that maybe you had when you were younger.
- Q. What about this: What if you're a younger police officer and you're going against a seventy-year-old?
- A. If you're a younger police officer going against a seventy-year-old, you probably have an advantage.
- Q. Now, let's talk about confined space. You described you went to the theater. You sat in the chair. How does that play into the assessment of what a person is able to do and a trained police officer not able to do in terms of gauging what their -- the proper reaction is?
- A. Well, when you're sitting in that seat, you're trying to figure out, at that point, is there a way to get out of that seat? Is there a way that you could flee the area and get out of it?

I didn't believe that there was any kind of a way that he could have gotten out of that situation as it occurred that fast.

- Q. You know that, why?
- A. I was sitting in that position.

Q. Could you get out of the seat without coming towards where the threat was coming from?

- A. I could not.
- Q. Could you go to the side somehow?
- 5 A. I could not.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Could you go back at all?
- A. No, I could not.
- Q. Language, use of language, how does that play into the whole idea of assessing what a threat is and what the proper response of that threat is?
- A. Police officers are taught from the very beginning: You have to assess a person by everything that you're seeing; the body language, the actual physical language, a person threatening you. What is that person doing? You're assessing all of those things that are going on.
- Q. What about the idea of, you know, as far as your training and -- both training you received and training you imparted to others, tell us about the idea of time and place. In other words, because this was happening in a movie theater, does that make a difference?
  - A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. Why is that?
- A. Well, you're dealing in a different environment.

  It's a darkened area. It's loud noises. There's other

people in the theater. You're not able to gather all of the information by visual.

- Q. What about the idea that this whole behavior is out of place in a movie theater?
- A. Absolutely. It's not characteristic of what would be happening.
- Q. What about unexpected movements? For instance, a hypothetical:

An individual is sitting in a movie theater, he's a trained police officer, and now all of a sudden somebody in front of him or a little off to his right suddenly jumps up and starts cussing. Should that officer be alarmed and be on alert at that point?

A. He better be.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And if that individual actually moves over now, does that make the threat even greater?
  - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And if that police officer is confined to a small space, again, does that make the threat greater?
  - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. If that individual on the other side is actually moving over with hands or whatever, does that make the threat greater?
- A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. Now, let's talk about hands and feet as weapons.

Are you aware that hands and fists are

weapons -- because it doesn't appear to be any feet

involved here; at least there are no allegations of

that -- tell me about what your experience is with hands

and fists. Have you seen hands and fists used as weapons

in your time in the military in Vietnam?

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. What sort of damage could hands and fists cause?
- A. It can do great bodily harm to you. It can kill somebody.
  - Q. What about cut somebody open?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

22

- Q. So that they need stitches?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What about fracture of the eye socket?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What about the little bone around the temple?
- 18 A. There's several bones in your face that can be 19 broken very easily by being hit.
  - Q. In your experience as a special agent for the FBI and a supervisory special agent, have you also witnessed that in personal experience with injuries, serious injuries and even death caused by hands or fists?
- 24 A. Yes, I have.
- 25 O. Just once or twice?

- 1
- Α. Several times.

Q.

neck area?

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

death?

that point in time.

I have.

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

May I have a moment, Judge? MR. MICHAELS:

My opinion is that he believed that there was

Now, let's talk about objects to the head.

The one thing that's taught when you're doing

Okay. Now, in this case have you formulated an

us about the FBI policy regarding use of nonlethal force,

defensive tactics and you're learning about use of force,

deadly force, you have the head -- if you go to the head

with any kind of a hard object, it could be your fist, it

could be anything else, it's considered deadly force at

opinion as to whether or not Curtis Reeves reasonably

the theater to prevent imminent great bodily harm or

What is your opinion?

believed that, in what his perception was.

believed that his actions were necessary on that day in

fists, asps, batons if they're applied to the head and

THE COURT: This would be a good time for a

going to be imminent harm or danger to him, great bodily

harm or he could be killed, and I believe he honestly

break.

1 That would be good, Judge, because MR. MARTIN: 2 I need to set up my computer and get some technical 3 things done. Can we get 15 minutes? 4 THE COURT: All right. Let's take 15 minutes. 5 Dr. Hayden, you're free to take a break as well, 6 but you can't discuss your testimony with anyone at 7 this point. And here's your copy back. Thank you. 8 I got mine out of my office, of the transcript. 9 Thank you. 10 (Recess taken.) 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. MARTIN: 13 Q. Good morning. 14 Α. Good morning. 15 During the course of the conversation that you 0. 16 had with Mr. Michaels -- let me start over. 17 What I will try to do, I want to go through 18 certain topics with you. I'm going to jump around a 19 little bit, but what I plan to do is tell you when I 20 change from topic to topic so that you and I can talk 21 about the same thing. Fair enough? 22 Α. That's fine. 23 When you say, "You had a conversation with," Q. 24 we're changing topics and moving on. All right?

You had a conversation with Mr. Michaels during

direct examination where you indicated that you were going 2 through Mr. Reeves' statement that you saw, at least in 3 your mind, in the video Mr. Oulson engage in certain 4 conduct by turning in his seat or whatever he did. There 5 was a lighted object. Do you remember that conversation?

> Α. That's correct.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- All right. And you said that that is where Q. Mr. Reeves says he was hit. Do you remember that?
  - Α. That's correct.
- All right. Then you had another conversation Q. with Mr. Michaels dealing with reaching in towards Mr. Reeves. Do you remember that conversation?
- 13 Α. That's correct.
  - All right. Now, Mr. Reeves told you that he 0. believed that he was hit with a fist?
  - He said a fist. It could have been a fist. didn't necessarily know it was a fist or not, but he said it could have been.
  - All right. In fact, from his statement you know Q. that he said that he was hit with such force that he was dazed. Do you remember that in his statement?
    - Α. Yes, I do.
    - You'll have to speak up just a little bit. Q.
- 24 Α. I'm not close to -- I could get closer.
  - Q. There you go. I appreciate that.

1 A. Thank you.

- Q. He also indicated that when he was hit, that his glasses became askewed on his face. I know he didn't use the word "askewed," but they were not knocked off but they've become not adjusted correctly on his face. Do you remember that?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. All right. You also indicated to Mr. Michaels that you took it upon yourself to discount the patrons' statements because, in your opinion, you believed they were contaminated, not worthy of your consideration, right?
  - A. Not quite in those words, no.
- Q. All right. You did not factor those into your opinion, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. All right. What you relied on, you relied on the statement of Mr. Reeves along with your perceptions of what occurred in the video, correct?
    - A. That's correct.
  - Q. All right. Now, in making a determination as to what information you're going to use in order to form a basis of your opinion, you would want to make sure that that information is accurate, true, and correct?
    - A. That's correct.

- Q. You know as a law enforcement officer that an individual who is a suspect in a crime that's been taken into custody has the motive to not be quite truthful with the person conducting the interview, right? You've had that occasion?
  - A. It depends on the individual. I can't say.
  - Q. But it does happen, right?
  - A. I'm sure it does.

- Q. And you have to take into consideration whether, you know, it did or did not happen that an individual who's trying to explain a situation so that he can go home to his wife and children has a motive to either embellish or misdirect the officer in the attempt for that goal, "I want to go home." You have to take that into consideration, don't you?
- A. I try to take into consideration the facts of the case, what I read, and try to understand it from a reasonable standpoint.
- Q. That wasn't my question. I appreciate your answer.

My question to you was in determining the credibility of the information that you received, you have to take into consideration that a person who is -- who's arrested has a motive to lie, right?

A. I take a lot of things into consideration and

being not truthful might be one of those things, is he truthful or not, and I don't know.

Q. You don't know that?

- A. I don't know until I go through the facts of the case.
- Q. Now, Dr. Hayden, you've come in here and you told us that you're an experienced federal agent. You've been to numerous places. Are you telling me that it's your life experience that individuals who have been arrested don't have a motive to lie?
- A. Not always. I don't know what branch you're looking at, but in my experience some people that come in are very honest with you. Some people are not telling you the truth. Some people are way out in left field someplace.
- Q. All right. Now, having said that, my question to you in determining the credibility of Mr. Reeves, you had to take into consideration whether or not he was being truthful to you. Based on your life experience some people lie and some people don't when they're in custody, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And you indicated that you determined the credibility of Mr. Reeves by watching the video and going to Cobb Theater and making a determination

1 of certain facts that he said. We're going to go into the 2 facts, but very generally that's what you did, right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 MR. MICHAELS: Objection. That wasn't what the 5 testimony was, Your Honor. That's an improper 6 characterization of the testimony. The testimony 7 was --8 MR. MARTIN: Excuse me. He just said yes, it 9 Now he's trying to explain the answer of his 10 witness? 11 THE COURT: Hold on. One at a time. 12 Judge, I didn't yell over the MR. MICHAELS: 13 prosecutor and I don't expect him to yell over me. 14 MR. MARTIN: But what we have is Mr. Escobar and 15 Mr. Michaels constantly interrupting so that they're 16 teaching their witness what to say. That's been 17 going on for days and days. 18 MR. ESCOBAR: Objection. I will object. THE COURT: Hold it. Stop. 19 20 MR. MARTIN: He's teaching witnesses. 21 THE COURT: Do you this think this poor young 22 lady is a magician? One at a time, gentlemen. 23 know the rules. 24 MR. MICHAELS: Thank you, Judge. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Michaels, you start.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I appreciate that. MR. MICHAELS:

My objection is it is improper characterization of prior testimony. I think that the Court has notes, and my recollection is the prior testimony was not that Dr. Hayden relied solely on the evidence that he saw. He relied on his personal experience.

There are a lot of things he relied on to make his determination as to whether Mr. Reeves is telling the truth, not only what he saw in the video, so I think it's improper characterization of what his testimony was.

So, you know, if the question is to tell the prosecutor what it is that he considered, if he has any specific questions regarding specific areas of what Mr. -- of what Dr. Hayden used in his examination of the interview that he did with Mr. Reeves, then I think that's fair, but characterizing it as, you know, strictly going by the video, I don't think that is -- that's not accurate.

THE COURT: Response?

Judge, my question was: You used MR. MARTIN: the content of the video and your experience at Cobb Theater in order to corroborate Mr. Reeves. That's what I said. And he said, "Yes," because that is true, because do you remember the questions:

in the seat. I couldn't get up. I couldn't move to the left or right," and he said, "Yes."

THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule. You can redirect if you wish, and I'm taking notes, so go ahead.

MR. MICHAELS: I know you are, Judge.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Martin.

MR. MARTIN: May I have just a moment, Judge?

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

## BY MR. MARTIN:

- Q. My question to you, Dr. Hayden, was in determining the credibility of Mr. Reeves you relied on your interpretation of the content of the video and your experience at Cobb Theater when you went in there with Mr. Escobar, correct?
- A. I said I relied on a lot of things, not only being in the theater but talking to Mr. Reeves, to interacting and looking at the video, a lot of things.
  - Q. Those were two of the things; was it not?
  - A. It was two of the things, yes.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.

Determining the credibility of Mr. Reeves is very important to you as an individual who's going to come in and opine whether or not his conduct was reasonable in our particular circumstances, right?

- A. Not just what he says but a lot of things, whether it's reasonable or not.
  - Q. I appreciate that, Dr. Hayden. My question to you was in determining the -- determining the credibility of Mr. Reeves is very important to you.
    - A. It's one of the factors.
  - Q. The credibility of Mr. Reeves is very important to you if you're going to rely on his statements to you as one of the bases of formulating your opinion?
  - A. If it was the only thing, it would be very heavy, but if it's not the only thing, then it's not. This is a consideration.
- Q. I'm going to ask that question one more time.

  Now, please allow me to do that.
  - MR. MICHAELS: Judge, (indiscernible) asked and answered.
- 17 MR. MARTIN: No. No. No.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 BY MR. MARTIN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

20

21

22

- Q. Determining the credibility of Mr. Reeves is very important to you as one of the factors that you're going to use in formulating your opinion; is it not?
- A. It's a factor, yes.
- Q. In your discussions with Mr. Michael you indicated that you wanted to sit down and speak about

Mr. Reeves and ask him the "why" questions, how he was feeling, his perceptions. Do you remember that line of questioning?

A. That's correct. I do.

- Q. Do you remember the line of questioning when he was going through the statement of Mr. Reeves to law enforcement and what he said to you? He kept asking you: "Is that consistent with what he told you?" Do you remember responding that way?
  - A. I do remember that, yes.
- Q. The conversation continues between you and Mr. Michaels regarding, "You can't really look into someone's head," and as Mr. Michaels indicated, you can't look at that scary part in the head and determine it was activated. Do you remember that conversation?
  - A. I remember that conversation.
- Q. And that's true. When someone's telling you what they felt or what they perceived, first of all, you have to take what they say at face value and then try to corroborate it, right?
  - A. You do, yes.
- Q. But feelings and perceptions very difficult to corroborate; are they not, if not impossible?
- A. I wouldn't say impossible. They -- you have to look at all of the different factors, and then you would

be able to give an opinion on that, yes.

- Q. As to whether or not someone is afraid?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Of what their intent was?
- 5 A. Yes.

- Q. What their motive was? You can do that by talking to someone?
- A. I'm not saying I can do that. I am saying that's a factor that you have to look at in trying to understand fear. If you look at fear, you try to understand why fear occurs and what happens to the individual.
- Q. I understand that, but we're talking about Mr. Reeves, and your final opinion you said that he honestly believed that it was necessary to shoot Mr. Oulson. I know that's not your exact words, but that's the bottom line of your testimony, right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And that's what I'm trying to go into is the underlying factors that led you to that conclusion, and what I'm asking you is, or discussing with you is when we talk about someone relating their perceptions as far as feelings and state of mind and emotions. You first have to take their words at face value and then see if there's any facts to back it up,

right?

- A. Well, I don't take their words at face value, but I do try to back it up with other things that might be there.
- Q. And you don't take it at face value, especially with someone who's been arrested and may have the motive to embellish or lie about what took place in order to achieve a self-serving goal, right?
- A. I don't know what their emotion -- the emotion is at that time. What I'm trying to do is I'm trying to understand, so I try to look at everything in a reasonable fashion in trying to understand without -- with being objective about it.
- Q. Did you understand my question? I don't mean to be argumentative --
- A. I guess I didn't, because I think I'm answering your question.
- Q. In determining the credibility of information provided by an individual that cannot be corroborated, one of the things that you can look at is verifying whether or not those things that can be corroborated were, in fact, true. That's one way to determine: Are you going to believe what we can't corroborate if he was truthful about other things, right?
  - A. That's part of it, yes.

Q. All right. As a very simple example, a suspect says, "I was hit and, therefore, I had to engage in conduct A." Would you determine that that person wasn't hit?

You take that into consideration as to whether or not his conduct A, was, in fact, reasonable since he wasn't hit. That's the analysis that you go through, right?

- A. That's part of the analysis that you go through, trying to look at all the facts and trying to balance it out.
  - O. But that is one of them?

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. That's basically one, yes.
- Q. And in this particular case if it was shown that a cell phone was not thrown at Mr. Reeves and he was not hit with a cell phone and he was not hit with a fist, your opinion in this case would be different, wouldn't it?
- A. If it could be factually documented that that did not happen, then my opinion might change. I'd have to look at the rest of the situation.
  - Q. How might it change?
  - A. It depends on everything else that occurred.
- Q. There would be no escalating of violence, would there?
  - A. I don't know if there would be or not. Just the

punch that you're saying is not the only one factor.

There are several different factors that you have to look

at.

- Q. But it sure would call it into question, your opinion, wouldn't it?
- A. What you're looking at is perception. What does he actually believe? So would it affect my opinion?
  - Q. Dr. Hayden, did you understand my question?
- A. I just -- Mr. Martin, I did understand your question.
- Q. Well, my question was -THE COURT: One at a time, please.

## 13 BY MR. MARTIN:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. My question to you was, in the event that it was shown that Mr. Reeves was not hit with a fist or the cell phone was not thrown, then that would definitely call into question your opinion; yes or no? Then you can explain it, but first yes or no.
  - A. There's -- not everything is an easy yes or no.
  - Q. You can explain it. Yes or no?
  - A. When --

MR. MARTIN: Judge, I'm asking the Court to instruct the witness to answer the question. He can explain it all he wants, but I want a simple one-word answer to that question, and I'm entitled to that.

He can explain it later.

THE COURT: All right. With the ability to explain, you can answer.

### BY MR. MARTIN:

- Q. Yes or no?
- A. Just ask the question again, please.
- Q. If it was shown that the cell phone was not thrown at Mr. Reeves and he was hit in the head with it to the extent that he was dazed or that he was hit with a fist in his face to the extent that he was dazed, if those things were shown not to happen, it would seriously call into question your opinion in this case; yes or no?
- A. When you put one word there, I would have to say no.
- Q. Okay. Why is it "No" when those things no longer exist?
- A. Because you're saying seriously consider it's a factor because you're looking at the perception of what he believes at that time. Does he believe he got actually hit in the head or not? So it's perception at that time. It might not be exactly what happened, but it's a perception.
- Q. So you're telling me that perception, getting hit in the head with a fist, if it didn't occur, you could perceive that pain? Is that what you're telling this

1 | Court?

- A. I'm not telling the Court that at all.
- Q. Well, that's what you said, is it is perception as to whether or not he was hit or not. So you're telling me that you could perceive pain and that's a viable mental state that, "I was hit in the head," justifying shooting somebody?
  - A. I did not say that.
- Q. You're either hit or you weren't. There's no perception about it, correct?
- A. Yes, there is a perception. Perception a lot of times depends on what's going on in your mind, what you believe. If you believe you're being attacked, there is people that believe they've been hit. I don't know at that time. I'd have to look at everything else, not just one factor.

MR. MARTIN: Defense Exhibit -- is this yours,
Madam Clerk up here?

THE CLERK: The blue one?

20 BY MR. MARTIN:

Q. Defense Exhibit 27, the picture's been passed around. Many people have looked at it.

One of the things that you would consider is whether or not there's any injuries about the face of Mr.

Reeves consistent with a punch to the face or being hit

with a cell phone. That's one thing that you would 2 consider, right?

- Α. If I saw damage, yes, it would be one thing that would be another consideration.
  - And you don't see any damage there, do you?
- Α. I'm not a doctor, but looking at this, I don't see any damage, no.
- Q. You went through several of these statements of Mr. Reeves and said, "Yes, I looked at the video and I saw that," or, "I didn't see that." Do you remember that line of questioning?
- Α. (No response.)
- 13 0. Where you went through with Mr. Michaels?
- Yes. 14 Α.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- And do you remember the discussion with Mr. Michaels where it was asked whether or not when Mr. Reeves was stretched fully out, he had his left hand extended, there was a discussion about that's what somebody would do if they're trying to ward off an attacker. Do you remember that?
  - Α. Yes.
- Q. And you said you looked at the video?
- 23 That's correct. Α.
- 24 And you saw that? Q.
- 25 I did not see that. Α.

- Q. Do you recall Mr. Reeves' statement to law enforcement, he further explained to law enforcement that when he had his hand out, he was either touching the shoulder or the clothes or the chest of Mr. Oulson. Do you remember that in the statement?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You didn't see that in the video, either, did you?
  - A. I did not.

- Q. Do you recall in his statement to Detective

  Proctor that he indicated he was fully stretched out and
  that he shot and, of course, he probably described it in
  an audio statement -- we don't have the benefit of that -but he shot basically stretched out and, therefore, it had
  to be an upward trajectory. Do you remember that?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. He said he indicated he shot while he was fully stretched out. Do you remember that?
  - A. I remember that.
- Q. You didn't see that in the video, either, did you?
  - A. I did not.
  - Q. You indicated there's a part in the video where you believe that some body part of Mr. Oulson came over the seat and you said you saw a light. Do you remember

1 | that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you indicated that that's where Mr. Reeves believes he was hit, right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Some eleven seconds before the shooting I believe was your testimony?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And in looking in that video at that particular time after you see what you said was Mr. Oulson with some type of body part extended over the seat, immediately after that you see Mr. Reeves lean forward towards Mr. Oulson, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. You did not see Mr. Reeves in that video grab his face like he'd just been hit with a fast pitch from a baseball, right?
- A. I didn't see that in that video, no.
- Q. In fact, when you said you see that light and that's where Mr. Reeves said he was hit, after he leaned forward he then leaned back and settled back into his seat; did he not?
  - A. He moved back into his seat, yes.
- Q. He didn't get up and go attempt to get any medical attention, right? He didn't stand up at that

1 | point?

- A. At that point in time, I don't believe he wanted to stand up because he thought it would put him in more danger.
- Q. As you mentioned after looking at that photograph, you didn't see any injuries on his face, right?
  - A. I didn't see any injuries, no.
- Q. And those are the type of things that the tryer of fact can look at in determining the credibility of Mr. Reeves as far as his statement, correct?
  - A. That's part of the factors, yes.
- Q. And the reason it's important is because

  Mr. Reeves is describing conduct that we cannot see in the

  video, right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So in order to believe Mr. Reeves' statement about what we cannot see, it would be very helpful for us to believe what we can see; would you not agree?
- A. That's just a factor. You're putting it all together.
- Q. Now, we've gone through several items where Mr. Reeves has made a statement to law enforcement about what occurred that we do not see in the video. Do you remember that? We just had that conversation, right?

1 A. That's correct.

Q. Some of those statements that we cannot see in the video, and I'm going to point out two of them, all right, that would be a factor in considering whether or not his actions were reasonable, and I'm going to go through the first one and then the second one.

The first one is when he said he had his hand out and he was touching Mr. Oulson's chest or shoulder, "And he was virtually on top of me," and he shot him, right? That's what he told law enforcement, right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Well, that's not what happened in the video, was it?
  - A. That's not what happened, no.
  - Q. But that particular statement is very weighty as to the close proximity of Mr. Oulson and what Mr. Oulson was doing and how imminent the threat was, correct?
  - A. So many other things to take into consideration, not just what you see.
  - Q. I'm asking you a specific question, Dr. Hayden. That particular statement to law enforcement about Mr. Reeves being so close that he can reach out his hand and either touch his shoulder or his chest, and he was stretched out and he had to shoot Mr. Oulson in that location, that is some very weighty evidence about whether

or not his actions were reasonable because the threat is very imminent if it's in his lap, his shoulder, hand on his shoulder. He's got to shoot while he's straightened out, right?

A. No, it isn't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. No, it's not?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. If you saw that in the video, would we even be in the courtroom here today?
- A. I don't know what you would do if you brought it in the courtroom or not, but there are so many other factors that you don't want to include in this that are essential that you include.
- Q. Well, right now I get to ask you questions and you get to answer them. Okay?
  - A. Sure.
- Q. And if someone else wants to talk to you about them, I'm sure they will, but please answer my questions.

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, could you please instruct the prosecutor not to admonish the witness? He's been asking question after question, but it's improper for him to lecture the witness on answering a question or not answering the question.

MR. MARTIN: Not when Mr. Hayden has been as nonresponsive that he's been for the last twenty

1 minutes to my question. 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. MICHAELS: That's the Court's job, Your 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: Let's just move on. I'll do the 6 directing of it. Thank you. 7 BY MR. MARTIN: 8 Q. In fact, Mr. Reeves in that segment about his 9 hand being forward, wanted to so convince Detective 10 Proctor that that was true that he explained, as a police 11 officer, "We never put our hand in front of the muzzle, 12 and I thought I could have shot my hand." 13 Do you remember that? 14 Α. I do remember that. 15 Another attempt by Mr. Reeves to get Detective Proctor to believe -- to believe how imminent that threat 16 17 was, but that's not what we see on the video, is it? 18 That's not what you see in the video, no. Α. 19 MR. MARTIN: May I have a moment, Judge? 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. MARTIN: Thank you for the time, Judge. 22 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 23 I don't have any further questions. MR. MARTIN: 24 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Martin. 25 Redirect?

1 Thank you, Judge. MR. MICHAELS: 2 MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Michaels. 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. MICHAELS: 5 Now, I know the prosecutor talked to you a 6 little bit about what you didn't see. You definitely saw 7 Mr. Oulson coming over the aisle and a lighted object 8 appear to be moving in some fashion, right? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I object. That wasn't 11 his testimony during direct. He just saw a lighted 12 object. Otherwise I would have gone into it a lot 13 more if he said anything different. 14 THE COURT: Rephrase. 15 MR. MICHAELS: Okay. 16 BY MR. MICHAELS: 17 One of the things that you saw definitely on 0. 18 that video is Mr. Oulson and what appears to be his hand, 19 arm and body -- right? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 -- reaching over his row towards Mr. Reeves? 0. 22 Α. That's correct. 23 And there is some appearance of something Q. 24 lighted or a light area in the video coincidentally at 25 that same time?

1 That's correct. Α. 2 You saw crime scene photos and you noticed a Q. 3 phone, a hundred percent you saw between Mr. Reeves' feet? Α. I did. 4 You are aware of DNA evidence in this case? 5 **Q**. 6 got a report to review? Yes. Α. 8 Q. And in terms of the outside case of the phone, 9 could the FDLE, could they exclude Mr. Reeves as a 10 possible contributor to DNA on that phone? 11 MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I object. 12 MR. ESCOBAR: (Indiscernible). 13 MR. MARTIN: No. No. No. No. 14 MR. ESCOBAR: Yes, they have. 15 MR. MARTIN: No, we need to approach. 16 (Sidebar conference was held at the bench.) 17 THE COURT: State, before argument I will let you refresh your memory about the stipulation. 18 19 The question by Mr. Michaels was MR. MARTIN: 20 after reviewing the DNA report, Mr. Reeves could not 21 be excluded from a particular area on the DNA. 22 That's not what it says. There was one area where 23 it's uninterpretable. That doesn't mean he could be 24 excluded. That means it's uninterpretable.

Where we do have the DNA, we have Chad Oulson

1 being included and Mr. Reeves being excluded. 2 just because it's uninterpretable doesn't mean that 3 he was excluded. So that's why I brought it up. 4 That's not what the stipulation says. 5 MR. MICHAELS: Judge, that's --6 MR. ESCOBAR: That's exactly what it says. 7 definitely found a mixture of three individuals. 8 That's without question. That's without question. 9 They found a mixture of DNA by three individuals. 10 They could not exclude anybody from it because it was 11 not interpretable, but that's what they found. 12 It's not like they found, "Oh, you know, it's three individuals --13 14 THE COURT: Well --15 MR. ESCOBAR: No, no. That's different. 16 at the screen. No, no. Judge, that's different. 17 Look, let me -- because he had the same problem 18 initially --19 MR. MARTIN: No, there are two individuals. 20 is on outterbox and one on the screen. There are two 21 different areas --22 MR. ESCOBAR: So if you look at -- I'll give you 23 The first paragraph right here the first paragraph.

has a screen, a clear screen. So in the black case

That black case also

says a P13 black case. Okay.

24

1 they found the mixture, okay, of at least three 2 individuals, not that this is just found, a mixture 3 and they couldn't tell how many individuals. a mixture of three individuals, and they couldn't 4 exclude Mr. Reeves because it was not interpretable. 5 6 Now, if you look -- Mr. Martin, would you please 7 have the courtesy --8 MR. MARTIN: I didn't say a word. 9 THE COURT: No, no. 10 Then if you look at Number 4, you MR. ESCOBAR: 11 will see that it says Exhibit AP13 screen -- get the 12 phone, so we can see. 13 THE COURT: I got it. I got it. I got it. 14 This is --15 MR. ESCOBAR: So that was -- and listen, this is 16 the same problem. He'll tell you that when he first 17 read the report, he didn't read it that way when we came back, and I said, "No, look," this is what it 18 19 says." 20 We drafted the stipulation, so I know the 21 stipulation like the back of my hand. 22 MR. MARTIN: Well, I know it, too, and that's 23 exactly word-for-word from the DNA. It's 24 uninterpretable. That doesn't mean he was not

excluded? That's not the way it's reported out, and

1 you can't follow that conclusion. 2 MR. ESCOBAR: Could not be excluded, could not, 3 could not. It's not interpretable. 4 MR. MARTIN: No. 5 THE COURT: All right. I'm the trier of fact 6 here. I get it. We're -- I'm going to allow the 7 question. MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, it's important. So the 8 Court knows, I'm just trying to be -- (indiscernible) 9 10 three individuals were found, the DNA of three 11 individuals. 12 (End sidebar conference.) 13 BY MR. MICHAELS: 14 So you're able to look at the DNA report 0. 15 provided to you by us, those that were provided to us by 16 the State. 17 Α. That's correct. 18 And in terms of the case, what is the long and Q. 19 the short of it? What conclusions did you get, in terms 20 of the outer part of the case, as it relates to Mr. 21 Reeves? 22 Α. What I understood --23 Judge, I'm going to object to that MR. MARTIN: 24 How he interpreted the report is not question. 25 relevant. If he wants to read the report word for

word, but his interpretation -- he is not a DNA expert. He hasn't been qualified for that. He doesn't know how to make those interpretations.

MR. ESCOBAR: Could we just read the stipulation? It's as simple as that. I don't have a problem with that.

THE COURT: You either have to lay a foundation or --

MR. MICHAELS: The Court read the stipulation in. In terms of the case, due to the limited nature of the DNA results obtained from the iPhone's black case screen, the data is insufficient for inclusion purposes, but may be suitable for inclusion.

I'm sorry. I read it out of order.

Number 1: The DNA obtained from the iPhone black case, AP13, black case, demonstrated the presence of a mixture of at least three individuals. Due to the complexity of the mixture obtained from the iPhone black case, Exhibit AP13 case, this data was not interpretable.

Paragraph 2: Due to the limited nature of the DNA results obtained from the iPhone's black case screen, Exhibit AP13, screen, this data is insufficient for inclusion purposes and may be suitable for exclusion.

1 Due to the limited nature of the results 2 obtained, Chad Oulson could be neither included nor 3 excluded as a contributor to the iPhone's black case 4 screen. Curtis Reeves, Exhibit AP13 screen, is excluded 5 as a source of the limited DNA source obtained from 6 7 the iPhone black case screen. 8 BY MR. MICHAELS: 9 Now, there was a phone in the video where we Q. 10 can't see -- you see movement about eleven, twelve seconds 11 later, correct? 12 That's, correct? Α. 13 0. By Mr. Oulson towards Mr. Reeves? That's correct. 14 Α. You see Mr. Oulson's hand come out? 15 Q. 16 Α. On the second occasion or the first one? 17 The second. Ο. 18 The second occasion, yes, I do. Α. 19 We are already past the first one. You see the Q. 20 hand go back? 21 Α. Yes, I do. 22 Q. The hand come back out? 23 That's correct. Α.

That's correct.

In between there's popcorn grabbed?

24

25

Q.

Α.

Q. Did Mr. Reeves at any time tell you that Mr. Oulson told him or indicated in any fashion that, "Hey, Mr. Reeves, I'm going to take your popcorn"?

A. No.

Q. So in terms of perception at that point, what is an individual seated in the seat in that sort of theater and that sort of setting, what sort of perception is reasonable at that point? What are you looking at?

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, I'm going to object at that point as far as his expertise as far as determining that particular question, you know, what is reasonable or not reasonable, sitting in that location.

He's already rendered his opinion. He believes Mr. Reeves was honest in his belief that he had to shoot Mr. Oulson. Now, whether or not Mr. -- I apologize -- Dr. Hayden, you know, now we come in and we do this reconstruction that we heard about that's kind of interesting, he can't answer that question.

MR. MICHAELS: Judge, I'm going to object to those gratuitous comments, number one. I appreciate that the prosecutor finds it interesting. I only wish that the police found it interesting enough to try it themselves before they even arrested Mr. Reeves, but that's another matter.

Nonetheless, Your Honor, I'm just asking what the perspective was in terms of what's reasonable for an individual in that particular environment to perceive. In other words, is that person perceiving that the individual who's been cussing and had come over the aisle before is now going to grab the popcorn, or is it reasonable to perceive it as a threat?

THE COURT: I will overrule.

#### BY MR. MICHAELS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

- Q. Is it reasonable to perceive that motion that we see twelve seconds later after the first throwing motion as a threat?
  - A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. And as the hand comes back and goes forward again, would it be reasonable to perceive that particular second motion as a second threat?
- A. Absolutely.
  - Q. Or a continuing threat?
- 20 A. Yes.
  - Q. All right. This is Exhibit 27. I know the prosecutor showed it to you. You looked at it.
- I know you're not a doctor, but do you agree or
  disagree with me when you look at what would be
- 25 Mr. Reeves' left eyelid --

1 Judge, I'm going to object. MR. MARTIN: 2 mean, I don't care about agree or disagree. 3 When you're pointing at something, he's already 4 looked at the picture and said, "I saw nothing." Now, if that's not totally suggesting the answer, I 5 6 don't know what is. When you point at a red mark and 7 say, "Would you agree or disagree," when he already 8 had an opportunity -- fair opportunity to look at the 9 picture, that's absolutely leading. 10 MR. MICHAELS: Judge, I'm not leading. 11 indicating the area that I want to draw his attention 12 to. 13 THE COURT: All right. I've been sitting 14 here --15 MR. ESCOBAR: Judge, I don't want to interject, but he said "damage" in the question to Mr. Hayden. 16 17 He did not say anything. He said "damage," and the use of the word is very important. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. MICHAELS: At any rate --21 THE COURT: I'm sure it is. 22 I heard the prior testimony. I get it. 23 figured this was coming. 24 I think it's absolutely leading to some extent, 25 but then again, I guess you're entitled to direct him

```
1
          to certain areas of the photographs, so...
 2
    BY MR. MICHAELS:
 3
          0.
               Well, let's look at this photograph, Exhibit 27,
     okay. Now, look closely. Take your time, please. I know
 4
 5
    you're not a doctor.
               Direct first your attention, if you would, to
 6
 7
     the right eyelid, and then work yourself across the face
8
     to what would be Mr. Reeves' left eyelid and your right.
 9
    Okay?
10
               Okay.
          Α.
11
               All right. Can you see this all right?
          Q.
12
          Α.
               Yes, I can.
13
          Q.
               All right. Do you see anything that appears to
14
    be any redness on either eyelid?
15
               He said --
          Α.
16
               MR. MARTIN: Give me a break.
17
               THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. I see the redness on
18
          his left eye.
19
    BY MR. MICHAELS:
20
               Okay. And would that be consistent with
21
     somebody getting hit in the eye?
22
               MR. MARTIN: Judge, that calls for speculation.
23
               MR. MICHAELS: Well, Judge, the prosecutor asked
24
          the question.
25
               THE COURT: I will allow it.
```

#### BY MR. MICHAELS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- O. Is that consistent?
- A. It would be consistent with him having his eye affected somehow.
- Q. It would be consistent with having his glasses knocked to the side?
  - A. Yes, it would be.
- Q. Now, as a special agent in training police officers, are there certain techniques and interrogation techniques on a suspect or a subject that is willing to talk, that you use to try to get information out of?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And is that -- are those technique used to try to ferret out the truth the best that you can?
- A. It's called cognitive interviewing techniques. It is to get to the facts of what actually happened, what he believes happened, not to trip anybody up, to try to get as many facts as you can.
- Q. And in terms of as a police officer, are you aware that individuals that are arrested have the right, absolutely, not to speak to you?
  - A. Absolutely.
  - MR. MICHAELS: May I have a moment, Judge?
- 24 THE COURT: Uh-huh.
- MR. MICHAELS: That's all I have. Thank you.

1 THE COURT: May this witness be released? 2 We're going keep him under MR. ESCOBAR: 3 subpoena but most probably will not have to recall him. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 MR. MICHAELS: He can go back to Virginia for 7 now. 8 THE COURT: He'll be on standby. Dr. Hayden, 9 you are free to go today. You will still be under 10 subpoena, but hopefully they won't be calling you 11 If someone does have to call you back, I'm 12 certain they'll give you plenty of advanced notice. 13 Thank you, sir. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much, Judge. 15 THE COURT: All right. 11:20. 16 MR. ESCOBAR: I think it's early lunch. 17 last thing I want to do is break up my presentation 18 of Mr. Reeves, so we will have to take lunch sooner 19 or later. 20 THE COURT: I will give you that option. It is 21 a pretty early lunch, but if obviously -- I'm 22 quessing we will not finish Mr. Reeves tomorrow 23 before 12:00. 24 MR. ESCOBAR: I think that's -- especially with 25 me doing the questioning, I would think not.

1 THE COURT: All right. If you're requesting not 2 to break up his testimony, then I'll oblige and we'll 3 go ahead and take an early lunch. MR. ESCOBAR: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: What do we need, an hour, hour and 6 fifteen minutes, say 12:30? Would that be enough 7 time? 8 MR. ESCOBAR: Yes. 9 THE COURT: All right. That's a little over an 10 hour. We will reconvene at 12:30 and be in recess 11 until then. 12 (Recess taken.) 13 THE COURT: You may be seated. Thank you. 14 All right. Are we ready to begin? 15 Defense is ready, Mr. Escobar? MR. ESCOBAR: Your Honor, the Defense would call 16 17 Curtis Judson Reeves. 18 THE BAILIFF: Step this way, stand right here. 19 Face the clerk, raise your right hand to be sworn. 20 (Thereupon, the witness was duly sworn on oath.) 21 THE BAILIFF: Come have a seat up here. Adjust 22 the mic. Speak in a loud and clear voice for the 23 Court. 24 THE COURT: You may proceed, Counselor. 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

# 1 BY MR. ESCOBAR: 2 O. Good

3

6

7

9

17

18

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Reeves.
- A. Good afternoon, sir.
- Q. Mr. Reeves, would you please state your full name for the record and spell it?
  - A. Curtis Judson Reeves, R-E-E-V-E-S, Jr.
  - Q. Mr. Reeves, are you married?
- 8 A. Yes, sir, I am.
  - Q. And to whom are you married?
- 10 A. Vivian Reeves.
- 11 Q. And when did you get married?
- 12 A. October of 1967.
- 13 Q. Do you have children?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, I do. I have a son and a daughter.
- Q. What are their names and what are their occupations?
  - A. Matthew is a police officer in Tampa, and my daughter is -- works for the bank here in Dade City.
- Q. Mr. Reeves, I'm going to first start focusing on some of your education. Please tell the Court, did you graduate from high school?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did, in 1961.
- 23 Q. What high school did you graduate from?
- 24 A. I went to Hillsborough High School.
- Q. While attending Hillsborough High School, did

- you develop an area of interest to possibly pursue post your high school diploma?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did. I joined the Naval Reserve in my -- between my junior and my senior year I joined the Naval Reserve in Tampa.
    - Q. What's the Naval Reserve?
  - A. Well, it's a six-year program that you go into.

    You do two years of active duty and six years' obligation.

    That's a military obligation.
  - Q. Okay. And did you start that program while you were still in high school?
    - A. Yes, sir, I did.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

- Q. Explain to the Court how that took place.
- A. I joined the Naval Reserve between my junior and
  my senior year. I went to boot camp. Then as soon as I
  got out of high school, I went to submarine school in
  Connecticut.
  - Q. Now, submarine school, meaning that you were going to be working the submarines?
    - A. Yes, sir, submarine service.
  - Q. Now, when you graduated from high school, what did you do then?
- A. I went into the Navy for two years. I spent two
  years on a submarine in the U.S. Navy, and at the end of
  that time, then I reverted to my Reserve time.

- Q. Okay. What was your position there in the Navy on the submarines?
  - A. I was a leading seaman for probably about a year-and-a-half or so, two years. Then I took the test for promotions, so as I got out I was a Machinist's Mate, Third Class.
  - Q. Now, you get out of the Navy. You're still in the Reserves. You still have to participate in the --
    - A. That's --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. -- to be active?
- A. Yes, it's monthly meetings, then two weeks active duty each year.
- Q. Okay. Your choice of going into the military right after high school, did that play a role in you wanting to have a further education?
- A. Yes, sir. I was interested in the GI Bill. I didn't think I would be able to afford college, so I wanted to get the GI bill, which was part of the military program.
- Q. Now, after you got out of the military, after that two-year period, did you begin to work?
  - A. Yes, sir. I did.
- Q. And what did -- what occupation or what job did you first obtain?
  - A. I was hired by General Telephone at the time,

GTE. I was ultimately designated as a heavy construction lineman.

- Q. What is a heavy construction lineman?
- A. We put in -- we replaced what used to be called open water leads, which was -- if you were remember all the cross arms that used to be up there with all the wires, we replaced those with cables. It was running cables in the neighborhoods, running cables between different offices. Just expanding the telephone service, in general.
  - Q. How long did you do that for?
- A. About two years, sir.

- Q. And then what happened?
- A. At the end of that two-year period, I took a -I applied, I think, about that same time for the
  Hillsborough County Sheriff's Office and Tampa PD.
- Q. Why were you choosing a law enforcement career now?
- A. I guess I was -- in the service, so to speak, I was kind of accustomed to the regimen of the life. I saw law enforcement as an opportunity to serve the community.
- Q. So you applied to both of these particular outfits and who do you get a call from first?
- A. Tampa PD. They both interviewed me. Tampa PD called with a job offer first.

Q. What year was that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. That was in 1966.
- Q. Let's talk a little bit about your initial years there with TPD. Did you go to the academy?
  - A. Yes, sir. I did.
  - Q. How did that process work, initially?
- A. Initially, they hired you, and I spent about a week or two on the street with a corporal. And then the academy started. So then I went to the academy which was there at the police department in those days. I graduated before Christmas in 1966.
- Q. Okay. And once you graduated -- let's talk a little bit about your time there with the academy.

The academy lasts for how many weeks, you said?

- A. I think it was like 300-and-something hours, if I remember correctly.
- Q. And were you selected for a particular position, as part of your academy class?
- A. Well, I was vice president of the class. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were you given any awards as a result of your participation there at the academy?
- A. I was given an award called -- I think it was called an Award of Achievement, which was a kind of the best all-around performer during the academy, I suppose.

- Q. Did that particular award, at some point in time, become even more special to you?
  - A. I'm not sure of the exact time frame, but my son has been in law enforcement for about 12 to 13 years and he got the same award.
  - Q. You finish the academy. Do you start your service to the community?
    - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And what position do you first occupy as a law enforcement officer with TPD?
  - A. The first year a probationary officer. So you ride with a field training officer -- in those days it was a corporal. So you're assigned with a corporal. And I think the probationary period is, like, four to six months, something like that.
    - Q. So you're working patrol?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How old are you at this point in time,
- 19 Mr. Reeves?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

- A. I'm probably about 24 -- 23, 24.
- Q. Okay. Now, going into the community and serving the public, tell the Court about your experiences working as a patrol officer in the streets of Tampa back then.
- A. It was quite an experience. I never realized how much -- how much -- how many problems were in the

community. I mean, you're constantly going from call to call with high activity levels of all sorts of activities that require police presence.

- Q. And the activities that you're talking about, are they activities that sometimes result in serious injuries?
- A. Absolutely. Very high percentage of injuries, fights, thefts.
  - Q. Robberies?
  - A. Yes, sir, thefts.
- O. Thefts?

- A. Murders, I guess you could say. Well, I know you could. I've responded to a couple of those as a young officer. A lot of domestic disputes, such as -- that was one of the calls in those days.
- Q. In experiencing your first couple of years as a law enforcement officer, did you have an opportunity to see individuals that were seriously injured as a result of someone else's hands?
- A. Oh, yes, sir. Absolutely. That was a -- I guess you could say that was a daily occurrence. There was always somebody hurt by someone for something.
  - Q. Did you ever respond to domestic violence calls?
  - A. Rather frequently, yes, sir.
    - Q. And did you see the injuries that had been

perpetrated between one individual and another in domestic
violence?

- A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. With their hands?
  - A. With their hands and every tool imaginable.
- Q. Did you see injuries such as a broken orbital bones?
  - A. I did see a lot of eye injuries, yes, sir.
- Q. Broken jaws?
- 10 A. Oh, yeah. Yes, sir.
- 11 O. Broken noses?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 13 Q. Facial lacerations?
  - A. Yes, sir. One of the responsibilities for the zone that I worked at was Tampa General Hospital, so you got to see a lot of the injuries that came there from other zones around the city, so...
    - Q. Back in that period of time, was it just -- was it just going to the person's house and seeing the injuries on their person, or was it a follow-up also in going to the hospital?
    - A. Well, you -- if you -- if you were available and it was a serious enough offense, you followed it up at the hospital. If not, then someone else could follow it up.
    - At one point in time, we actually ended up with

what we call a -- hospital man that was there all the time. But in those early years you followed up on your own, and if somebody was coming from the hospitals from somewhere else in the city, then you could be dispatched there to deal with their victim.

- Q. Prior to starting your career in law enforcement, had you ever experienced anything like that on a daily basis, seeing some of those tragedies that occur day in and day out?
- A. It was an absolute eye opener. And the answer is, no, nobody can have that kind of experience. The only way that you would have that kind of experience is if you're in law enforcement.
- Q. Now, you married Mrs. Reeves, I think you told me, in October of 1967?
  - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. In 1968, did you apply for a promotion?
- A. Yes, sir. There was a -- I think it was called a Selective Enforcement Unit, it had an opening. The corporal that -- that was my field training officer was in that unit and he let me know about it, so I applied for it. Yes, sir.
- Q. And by that time, I guess, you had only been on the force for just a couple of years?
  - A. About a year-and-a-half.

- Q. Okay. And describe to the Court what this Selective Enforcement Unit was all about.
- A. Well, a Selective Enforcement Unit was a small group of officers working plainclothes, unmarked cars. We attacked high-crime grid areas. We called them "grids."

  We attacked the high -- we targeted crimes that were high-activity crimes that were going on in particular neighborhoods and areas. We worked a lot of stakeouts, that sort of thing.
  - Q. Were those dangerous assignments with the selective unit?
    - A. It was pretty dangerous, yes, sir. We did a lot of stakeouts. We did a lot of -- we were able to do a lot of crime -- come across crimes in progress. We would do stakeouts, that sort of thing.
      - Q. Far greater danger?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 18 Q. Far greater responsibility?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And more training in order to handle those things?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. How long did you work in that particular unit?
- 24 A. I was in with that unit for about two years.
  - Q. Now, working those types of high-risk crimes,