Filing # 109629648 E-Filed 06/30/2020 05:16:17 PM

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY, STATE OF FLORIDA CRIMINAL DIVISION

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Case No.:

CRC-1400216FAES

Plaintiff,

Division:

1

٧.,

CURTIS J. REEVES,

Defendant.

MOTION TO DESIGNATE ANTHONY BOSSONE AND EACH AND EVERY LISTED
WITNESS EMPLOYED BY THE PASCO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE AS
WITNESSES IDENTIFIED WITH AN ADVERSE PARTY UNDER §90.612(3), AND TO
ALLOW THE DEFENSE TO QUESTION EACH OF THEM WITH LEADING
QUESTIONS

COMES NOW, the Defendant, CURTIS J. REEVES, by and through undersigned counsels, and pursuant to Florida Statute § 90.612(3) and moves this Honorable Court to designate Anthony Bossone and each and every listed witness employed by the Pasco County Sheriff's Office as witnesses identified with an adverse party and to allow the Defense to question them with leading questions, and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION TO SECTION 90.612(3)

The plain language of section 90.612(3) authorizes defendants to ask leading questions of certain categories of witnesses on direct examination. The law states, in pertinent part, that "[w]hen a party calls a hostile witness, an adverse party, or a witness identified with an adverse party, interrogation may be by leading questions." § 90.612(3), Fla. Stat. (2013) (emphasis added). As noted by Professor Ehrhardt, "[a]n inherent incentive exists in an adverse party ... to provide self-serving testimony by avoiding the question or slanting the answer." Charles W. Ehrhardt, Florida Evidence § 612.1 at 727-28 (2016) (emphasis added). In addressing this

problematic phenomenon, in 1995 the Florida Legislature amended section 90.612(3) so that "witness[es] identified with an adverse party" called to the witness stand may be interrogated with leading questions. § 90.613(3). After the amendments were implemented, once a witness is identified with an adverse party, "leading questions are automatically permitted during direct examination." Ehrhardt, at 728 (footnotes and internal quotations omitted) (emphasis added).

The 1995 amendments were modeled on Federal Rule of Evidence 611(c)(l)-(2), which allows hostile witnesses, adverse parties, and witnesses identified with adverse parties to be subjected to leading questioning. 1995, Fla. Laws ch. 95-179, § I, 1647 (codified as amended at Fla. Stat. § 90.612(3) (1995)). Because the "Florida evidentiary rule is patterned after its federal counterpart, federal cases interpreting comparable provisions are persuasive and routinely looked to for interpretative guidance." *L.L. v. State*, 189 So. d 252, 255 (Fla. 3d DCA 2016) (citations and internal quotations omitted).

Federal courts have had the opportunity to identify a number of circumstances when a witness is deemed to be sufficiently identified with an adverse party so as to allow them to be subjected to leading questioning on direct examination. In *Haney v. Mizell Memorial Hosp.*, 744 F.2d 1467, 1477-78 (11th Cir. 1984), a nurse employed by defendant-hospital was determined to be identified with the party. In *Perkins v. Volkswagen of Am., Inc.*, 596 F.2d 681, 682 (5th Cir.1979)1 an employee of a party was identified with the party for the purposes of Rule 611 (c)(2). In *Stahl v. Sun Microsystems, Inc.*, 775 F. Supp. 1397, 1398 (D. Colo. 1991), the district court allowed a plaintiff to ask leading questions of *defendant's former* administrative secretary. In *Ellis v. City of Chicago*, 667 F.2d 606, 613 (7th Cir. 1981), a plaintiff was allowed to ask leading

¹ Decisions from the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit preceding October 1, 1981 are binding on the Eleventh Circuit. *Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981).

questions of police officers who worked closely with defendant police officer. In *United States v. Hicks*, 748 F.2d 854, 859 (4th Cir. 1984), a plaintiff asked leading questions of the defendant's girlfriend. In *United States v. Brown*, 603 F.2d 1022, 1025-26 (1st Cir. 1979), a prosecutor was allowed to lead a witness who was a close friend of the defendant and a participant in the crime. The above-cited cases make clear that there is any number of ways to be deemed a witness identified with an adverse party.

ANTHONY BOSSONE AND EACH AND EVERY LISTED WITNESS EMPLOYED WITH THE PASCO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE IS IDENTIFIED WITH THE STATE OF FLORIDA UNDER SECTION 90.612(3)

Here, Detective Bossone and all of the other listed witnesses employed by the PCSO are clearly identified with the State of Florida, the opposing party. In the context of this case, the PCSO has been an arm of the State Attorney's Office.

Numerous employees of the PCSO- including Detective Bossone - have been heavily involved in the investigation and prosecution of Curtis Reeves. Detective Bossone and the others are subject to the language of section 90.612(3) authorizing the questioning of him/them by leading questions.

This motion was previously filed by Defendant and was granted by the Court on February 23, 2017. See Exhibit A.

CONCLUSION

Given all of the above, section 90.612(3) applies to Det. Bossone. When undersigned counsel(s) call him and his colleagues to the witness stand, it is respectfully requested that the Court grant permission to ask leading questions.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and accurate copy of this has been furnished by electronic delivery to the Office of the State Attorney for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, c/o Glenn Martin, Esq., at glenmartin@co.pinellas.fl.us and via U.S postal service at P.O. Box 5028, Clearwater, Florida 33758 on this 30th day of June 2020.

/s/:Richard Escobar

Richard Escobar, Esquire Escobar and Associates, P.A. 2917 W. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 100

Tampa, Florida 33609 Tel: (813) 875-5100 Fax: (813) 877-6590 rescobar@escobarlaw.com

Florida Bar No. 375179 Attorney for Defendant

/s/:Dino M. Michaels

Dino M. Michaels, Esquire Escobar and Associates, P.A. 2917 W. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 100 Tampa, Florida 33609

Tel: (813) 875-5100 Fax: (813) 877-6590

dmichaels@escobarlaw.com Florida Bar No. 526290 Attorney for Defendant

/s/: Nicole N. Sanchez

Nicole N. Sanchez, Esquire Escobar and Associates, P.A. 2917 W. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 100 Tampa, Florida 33609

Tel: (813) 875-5100 Fax: (813) 877-6590 nsanchez@escobarlaw.com Florida Bar No. 107402 Attorney for Defendant

Exhibit A: Circuit Court Event Form February 23, 2017

Circuit Court Event Form SAO: MARTIN, GLENN

STATE OF FLORIDA	Case	2014CF000216AXE	S Court Date:	02/23/2017
VS	No(s):			
REEVES, CURTIS	months of the Common of the Co		Defense Attorney:	ESCOBAR, RICHARD
			Hearing Type:	STAND YOUR GROUND MTN
Charges(s): CT 1 - MURDER IN THE 2ND DEG, 1F; CT 2 - AGG BATT, 2F				
Lesser(s):		And the second s		F.S.
7	econvene	at Cobb	theatre on	, Uictim Present
Next Court Date: 2/24/17 (2) 8:30 gm, def's pres wid at theathewst				
Court Appointed:	TO TO C	Verdict Of:	(around 10:	To Prep Order:
PD	<u>Plea of:</u> ☐ Guilty	Guilty	Motion for:	☐ Court
□RC	☐ Not Guilty	☐ Not Guilty	and the state of the special sets of the special sets of the set of the sets of the special sets of the sp	ПSAO
☐ Conflict	☐ No Contest		☐ Granted ☐ w/ Prejudice	
HSNR	☐ Admit to	☐ By jury	☐ Denied ☐ w/o Prejudice	
Failed To Appear		☐ VOP dismissed	☐ Take Under Advisemen	
☐ Estreat Bond	☐ Viol, Cond(s);	· · · cericocción circoceristicio en el como en constitución con constitución de constitución	☐ Withdrawn	SIOC
Surety Cash		anning to the state of the stat		
Revoke ROR	Adjudication:	GuiltyCour	nt(s) [] Withheldt	Count(s) Departure
☐ Issued Capias Amount:\$			R Min/Man yr ew term Revoke and Term	
Count(s)				C ☐ as to count(s)
Amount:\$	☐ time served as	to count(s)	☐ Credit T/S:	dave dave
Count(s)	mos /	vr(s) \square CC \square Pro	bb. FIDOPO FI Sex Off FI	MM ☐ as to count(s)
As to count (s)				
Recall Capias DOC DOC DCC PCDC CC Prob. DOPO Sex Off. MM				
Reinstate ROR F/B:mos / yr(s) CC Prob. DOPO Sex Off.				
☐ Reinstate Bond ☐ Concur. ☐ Consec. ☐ Co-Term: w/ ☐ any active case				
Surety Cash W				
CA Fundantian	Conditions:			Costs:
SA Evaluation Psych Evaluation	U Kellialio Dimilihed	eu (0 PCDC snace available	☐ Standard \$550 ☐ Lessor \$500	Restitution \$
Anger Management	□ @		COP - \$100	☐ Pay min \$
BIP	☐ Enter & o	complete 1 st time	Attorney fee \$	Per mos beg.
within Da	ys ∐Includ	ling aftercare	PD RC Conflict	Costs Imposed as Lien
Random UA F/B trans. housing Waive THC for 30 Days Early Term			PD Indigent Fee \$50 COI - \$to:	☐ C/S opt. \$8.05 hr ☐ O/S Costs as Lien
Waive Search & Seizure			PCSO NPRPD FIF	Conc w/
No Alcohol Auto Term			☐ PRPD ☐DCPD ☐ZPO	Consec
☐ No bars, est. where ☐ No Early Term			☐ DV \$201	☐ Pay Costs
primary purp is sale ald Curfew 10PM-6AM		e hrs. C/S	☐ RAPE CRISIS \$151 ☐ Minor \$151	Within \$1 per mos. 1 st Step
Except:	□ @ rat	e hrs. per	☐ FDLE \$100	Pay:
□ NCW		OS while in &	☐ DNA - \$7	
☐ No contact w/Co De			State Radio \$3	图 SS In Lie of 家。
Report to P&P:		nsfer To:	☐ Crim. Use of ID \$1,001 ☐ Trafficking fine & SC.	Eline/SO-
☐ No Mind Alt, subs, d ☐ No poppy seeds		nterlock	S Transcring line & SC.	
DL susp/rev. for		or all status cks		onditions: W ES
All prev. cond. rema	- Common	leapon to PCSO		
Standard pharm. Co		ool 🗌 Advanced		
Employed FT or 8hr		support meetings		
Per week No driving w/o valid	Per week			
Notes:				
mtn to designate Anthony bosone of each a every listed witness employed by				
the p coo as withe see identified wian adverse party - Granted				
my to designate Dicolc Oulson as a mitreos identified when adverse				
Rolly-Granted,				
Circuit Court Event Form R012016				